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## Section 30
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AMEC Data Validation Reports
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Reports

# CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA 

## AMEC Earth \& Environmental

550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226

## ACTION ITEMS:

Laboratory Alta
Reviewer K. Shadowlight
Analysis/Method Dioxins

Package ID T711DF36
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 4



1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, egg.
Holding Times

| Qualifications were assigned for the following: |
| :--- |
| * EMPCs. |
| * Detects below the lower method calibration level |

Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$
${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

- Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

# ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#:<br>Project Manager:<br>Matrix:<br>Analysis:<br>QC Level:<br>Multiple<br>B. Mcllvaine<br>Water<br>Dioxins/Furans<br>Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 4<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: March 252005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1042-01 | $25897-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 002 | IOC0995-01 | $25899-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC0450-01 | $25848-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | $25898-001$ | water | 1613 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG No.: |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of $\%$ RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of $\%$ Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (6613-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6613-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

Martha M. Maier 22-Mar-2005 09:19
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# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: METALS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC0449, IOC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0449, IOC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 29, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form L as having only the 'R' data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA D | Laboratory DD | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | water | LM04 |
| Outfall 004 | Outfall 004 | IOC0450-01 | water | LM04 |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | water | LLM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | IOC0452-01 | water | LLM04 |
| Outfall 007 | Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | water | LM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for all the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | SDG No.: |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Multiple |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Lead was not detected in any of the blanks associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB standards were not analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG; therefore, no assessment can be made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C08106-BS1 and the LCS result on the summary forms and in the raw data was within the laboratory-established ICP/MS control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on the LCS result:

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Lead detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 CO 450

Sampled: 03/04:05
Received: 03/04;05

DRAFT: METALS
MDL Reporting
Analyte Method Batch Limit Limit Result FactorExtracted Analyzed Qualifiers

Sample D: IOC0450-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 004 - Water)
Reporting Units: ug/
Lead
EPA $200.8 \quad 5 C 08106 \quad 0.13$
1.0
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## DRAFT REPORT

DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 004

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
Issued: 03/28/05 10:26

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis umless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report:
This entre report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOC0450-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 004

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004

|  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: $10 C 0450$ | Received: 03/04/05 |

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C0450-01 (Outfall 004 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 3/8/2005 | 3/9/2005 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 1.0 | 0.040 | 1 | 3/8/2005 | 3/9/2005 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 08106 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 1 | 3/8/2005 | 3/9/2005 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 1.0 | 0.49 | 1 | 3/8/2005 | 3/9/2005 | J |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C09049 | 0.20 | 0.066 | 1 | 3/9/2005 | 3/9/2005 | J |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
$\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Sampled: } 03 / 04 / 05 \\ \text { Report Number: } 10 C 0450 & \text { Received: 03/04/05 }\end{array}$

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample Result | Dillution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C0450-01 (Outfall 004 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.50 | 3.5 | 1 | 3/4/2005 | 3/5/2005 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.11 | 1.1 | 1 | 3/4/2005 | 3/5/2005 |  |
| Oll \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09091}$ | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1 | 3/9/2005 | 3/9/2005 | B, J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.50 | 4.6 | 1 | 3/4/2005 | 3/5/2005 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | $5 \mathrm{C08110}$ | 10 | 110 | 1 | 3/8/2005 | 3/8/2005 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 \mathrm{C07073}$ | 10 | ND | 1 | 3/7/2005 | 3/7/2005 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
$\begin{array}{lr} & \text { Sampled: 03/04/05 } \\ \text { Report Number: } 10 \mathrm{C} 0450 & \text { Received: 03/04/05 }\end{array}$

Sampled: 03/04/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 004 (IOC0450-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200514: 30$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 2005$ | $23: 00$ | $03 / 05 / 200501: 16$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
10C0450 Sampled: 03/04/05
Report Number: IOC0450
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $03 / 04 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0450$ | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKJQC DATA

## METALS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{CO450}$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C04107 Extracted: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride ND | 0.50 | mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N ND | 0.11 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate ND | 0.50 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride 5.16 | 0.50 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 103 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate 10.4 | 0.50 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 104 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Batch: 5C07073 Extracted: 03/07/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 980 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  | $\vdots$ |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-DUP1) |  |  |  | ource: I | C0451-0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C08110 Extracted: 03/08/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 976 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 98 | 90-110 |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{CO450}$
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKMCC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C08110 Extracted: 03/08/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-DUP1) | Source: IOC0454-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 187 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 180 |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C09091 Extracted: 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 1.70 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 22.4 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 112 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 18.8 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 94 | 65-120 | 17 | 20 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 C0450 Received: 03/04/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1OC0450-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.00 | 5.0 | 15 |
| 10C0450-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/l | 0 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| 10C0450-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/ | 0.040 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| 10C0450-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 3.50 | 0.50 | 150 |
| 10C0450-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 2.70 | 2.0 | 14 |
| 10C0450-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/ | 0.066 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 10C0450-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | mgh | 1.10 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| 10C0450-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 4.60 | 0.50 | 250 |
| 10C0450-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 110 | 10 | 850 |

MWH-Pasadena/Bocing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: $10 C 0450 \quad$ Sampled: 03/04/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.

## M-3

M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS). Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD

Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 004

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0450}$
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Alta Analytical Calfornia Cert \#1640

1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR Samples: IOC0450-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 10C0450-01
OSnCDET
Page 1 of 1


CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM
Del Mar Analytical version 02117/05

| Client Name/Address: | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Project: } \\ \text { Boeing-SSFL NPDES }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

MWH-Pasadena
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Project Manager: Bromwn Kelly Phone Number:
Sampler. "Cllcily


March 23,2005

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: Routine Outfall 004
Sampled: 03/04/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0450

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 Dioxin analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | Del Mar ID | Alta ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 004 | IOC0450-01 | $25848-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022, extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL

MUCNClHCM
Project Manager

March 22, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25848
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC0450". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,
Tucuillia taser
Martha M. Mayer
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

Section I: Sample Inventory Report
Date Received: 3/8/2005

Alta Lab. ID
25848-001

Client Sample ID
1OC0450-01

## SECTION II




| Sample 1D: $\quad$ IOC0450-01 |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client Data |  |  | Sample Data |  | Leboratory Data |  |  |  |
| Name: $\quad$ Del |  |  | Matrix: <br> Sample Size: | Aqueous$1.002 \mathrm{~L}$ | Lab Sample: QC Batch No.: Date Analyzed DB-5: |  |  | 8-Mar-05 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0450 \\ & \text { Iar-05 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18-Mar-05-05 |
| Time Collected: 1430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Conc. ( $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | DL | EMPC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | \%R | LCL-UCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Oualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | 1.38 |  |  | IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 69.6 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 1.64 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 62.9 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 2.56 |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 62.9 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 2.56 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 67.8 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 2.55 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 61.4 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 15.7 |  |  | J | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDD}$ | 39.7 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | 216 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 72.7 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 1.54 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 57.6 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 2.57 |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 61.0 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 2.28 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 54.8 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.705 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 59.9 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.692 |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 62.4 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.747 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 60.6 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 1.13 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 55.6 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 2.98 |  |  | J | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 66.9 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 1.01 |  |  | 13C-OCDF | 50.4 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | 6.09 |  |  | J | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 85.8 | 35-197 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | Footnotes |  |  |  |
| Total TCDD | ND | 1.38 |  |  | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDD | ND | 1.64 |  |  | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND |  | 1.60 |  | c. Method detection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | 29.7 |  |  |  | d. Lower control limit -upper control limit. |  |  |  |
| Total TCDF | ND | 1.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDF | NB | 2.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDF | 5.57 |  | 6.69 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | 8.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst: JMH |  |  |  |  | Approved By: Martha M. | 22-M | r-2005 09:19 |  |

## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99\% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

## CURRENT CERTIEICATIONS

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)






## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0450

| SENDING LABORATORY: <br> Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261-1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Menager. Michele Harper | RECEIVING LABORATORY: <br> Altn Analytical <br> 1104 Windfield Way <br> $2584 / 8 \quad 1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> El Dorado Hills, CA 95762 <br> Phone :(916) 933-1640 <br> Fax: (916) 933-0940 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Standard TAT is requented unless specific due date is requ | Due Date: In___ Initials: |
| Analynis Expiration | Comments |
| Simple ID: 1OCO450-01 Water <br> 1613-Dioxin-HR Sampled: 03/04/05 14:30 <br> EDD + Level 4 $04 / 01 / 0514: 30$ <br>   | Inmant Noflication <br> J flags, 17 congeners, no TEQ, sub to Alta Exeel EDD email to pm,Include Std logs for Lvi IV |
| Contaters Suppited: <br> 1 L. Amber ( $10 \mathrm{CO450} 01 \mathrm{O}$ ) <br> 1 L Amber (10C0450-01D) |  |



## SAMPLE LOG-N CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: 25848

| 1. Dete Samples Antvedr $38 / 050939$ inltals:1/200 Location: WR-2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Time / Date logged in: 1245 38/05 inlials: |  |  |
| 3. Samples Artived By. (drcie) FedEx DPS Wordd Courier Other: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| B. Shipping Container(s) Custody Seale Prosent? Intact If not hitaet, deserbe condition in comment tection. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 7. Shippling Documentation Present? (chrclo) Shipping Label <br> Tracking Number $7928 \quad 6415 \quad 1912$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 8. Sample Custody Seal(s) Prasent? No. of Seals $\qquad$ or Seal No. Intaot? In not intuct, deseribe condition in cornment section. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 9. Sample Container infact? if no, indicate sample condtion in cormment section. |  |  |
| 10. Chain of Custody (COC) or other Sample Documentation Preeent? |  |  |
| 11. COCrDocumentiton Accoptable? It no, complete COC Anomaly Form. |  |  |
| 12. Shipping Container (ckela): ALTA Clion m Retaln of (Retum of Diaposed |  |  |
| 13. Contaher(s) and/or Bottle(e) Requestad? |  |  |
| 14. Dinding Water Sample? (HRMS Only) If yes, Accaptable Preservation? Y or NPreegrvation info From? (crcie) COC or Sample Container or None Notad |  |  |

Cormments:

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0450



$$
\text { Sampler }=P . P .
$$



CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Dioxin\&Furans/1613

Package ID T711DF37
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 10
Date: April 4, 2005
Reviewer's Signature


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{2}$ <br> 1. Case Narrative <br> Deficiencies

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables $\qquad$

Incorrect Hardcopy
Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Intemal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^1]
## $a m e c^{0}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 10<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
|  | Analysis: | D/F |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC1521-01 | $25935-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC1523-01 | $25936-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | $25940-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | $25937-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | $25938-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1561-01 | $25941-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | $25939-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | $25942-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | $25943-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | $25944-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG No.: <br> Multiple <br> D/F |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples Outfall 001, Outfall 004, and Outfall 008 were received at Del Mar Analytical outside the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Due to non-volatile nature of the target compounds, no qualifications were required. The other samples were received with cooler temperatures within the limits. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| Analysis: | D/F |  |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed $08 / 30 / 04$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( $0 \_6624$ MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (0_6624_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "I." No further qualifications were required.


## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

## AMEC Earth \& Environmental

550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals
Package ID T711MT70

Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC1563
No. of Analyses 1
Date: 04/06/05

Analysis/Method Metals


## ACIION ITEMS

## 1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Qualifications applied for detects below the reporting limit, CCB detects and

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
the antimony MDL was raised.
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification
and Quantitation
System Performance $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## COMMENTS ${ }^{\text { }}$

${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{5}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES
Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>\section*{SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC1563}

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC1563 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1563<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: April 06, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 6010B for Inductively Coupled Plasma, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC1563 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 004 | Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCI563 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the sample and analyses presented in this SDG. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The date of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for the ICP/MS metals and 80 $120 \%$ for mercury. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCI563 |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony was detected in every CCB in the analytical sequence in which Outfall 004 was analyzed. The detects ranged from 0.52 to $0.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and indicated that the laboratory could not detect antimony at the reported MDL. The reviewer raised the antimony MDL to the level of the highest CCB, $0.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, and qualified the result as estimated, "UJ." There were no other reported detects in the CCBs or method blanks associated with the site sample. No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Aluminum was recovered below the control limit in all the ICSA and ICSAB analyses; however, as aluminum was found at a low level in the site sample, no qualifications were required. Copper, and cadmium were detected above the reporting limit in the ICSA. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP LCS sample was identified as 5 C05038-BS1 and the mercury LCS sample was identified as $5 \mathrm{C} 03115-\mathrm{BS} 1$. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCl563 |

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site sample.
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MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 Cl 563 Sampled: 03/19/05
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## DRAFT: METALS



## AMES VALIDATED

Level IV

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
$\quad$ Laboratory Del Mar Analytical

$\quad$ Reviewer | L. Jarusewic |
| :--- |
| Analysis/Method General Minerals |

Package ID T711WC117
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. IOC1563
No. of Analyses 1

| Date: 04/07/05 |
| :--- |
| Revigner's Signature |
| facuscerze |

ACTION ITEMS:

1. Case Narrative
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Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
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## COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^2]
# amec ${ }^{9}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC1563

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500

Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCI563 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: 10C1563<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>Reviewer: L. Janusewic<br>Date of Review: April 7, 2005

The sample listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 413.1, 160.2, and 300.0, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC1563 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 004 | Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | Water | General Minerals |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDGNo.: | IOCI563 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory above the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool in transit to the laboratory, no qualifications were required. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for all analyses present in this SDG. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the date of collection with the dates of analyses. The 28 -day analytical holding time for chloride, sulfate, and oil and grease, the seven-day holding time for total suspended solids and total dissolved solids, and the 48 -hour holding time for nitrate/nitrite were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. The initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. Calibration is not applicable to oil and grease, total dissolved solids, or total suspended solids. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the sample were nondetects at the reporting limit. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (oil and grease only) recoveries and RPD were within the laboratory-established control limits. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCIS63 |

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in this SDG.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Oil and grease detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, " J ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with this SDG.

Del Mar Analytical

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 05/1905 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 Cl 563 | Received: 03/19:05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Replanher, | Recaived. 0319705 |

## DRAFT: NORGANICS

Analyte
Method
Batch Limit Limit Result FactorExtracted

Date Data Analyzed Oualifiens

Sample ID: 1OC1563-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 004 - Warer) - cont. Reporting Units: mgh
Chloride
Nitrate/Nitrite-N
Oil \& Grease
Sulfate
Total Dissolved Solids
Total Suspended Solids

| EPA 300.0 | $5 C 20029$ | 0.26 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 300.0 | 5 C 20029 | 0.072 | 0.11 |
| EPA 413.1 | 5 C 21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 |
| EPA 300.0 | 5 C 20029 | 0.18 | 0.50 |
| SM2540C | $5 C 21073$ | 10 | 10 |
| EPA 160.2 | $5 C 21068$ | 10 | 10 |


| 1 | $03 / 20105$ | $03 / 20 / 05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $03 / 20 / 05$ | $03 / 20 / 05$ |
| 1 | $03 / 21 / 05$ | $03 / 21 / 05$ |
| 1 | $03 / 20 / 05$ | $03 / 20 / 05$ |
| 1 | $03 / 21 / 05$ | $03 / 21 / 05$ |
| 1 | $03 / 21 / 05$ | $03 / 21 / 05$ |

## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 004

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05
Issued: 04/01/05 09:08

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OC1563-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 004

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 C 1563

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1563-01 (Outfall 004 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 21088 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.68 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.094 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 7.7 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 21088 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.83 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C21082 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 1563 \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Sampled: } 03 / 19 / 05 \\ \text { Received: } 03 / 19 / 05\end{array}$

## INORGANICS

Analyte Method

Sample ID: 1OC1563-01 (Outfall 004 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/

| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 20029 | 0.26 | 0.50 | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | 1 | $03 / 20 / 05$ | $03 / 20 / 05$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 20029 | 0.072 | 0.11 | 0.84 | 1 | $03 / 20 / 05$ | $03 / 20 / 05$ |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 C 21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 1 | $03 / 21 / 05$ | $03 / 21 / 05$ |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 20029 | 0.18 | 0.50 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1 | $03 / 20 / 05$ | $03 / 20 / 05$ |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 C 21073 | 10 | 10 | 160 | 1 | $03 / 21 / 05$ | $03 / 21 / 05$ |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5 C 21068 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | $03 / 21 / 05$ | $03 / 21 / 05$ |

)el Mar Analytical, Irvine
Nendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper 'roject Manager

| MDL | Reporting | Sample <br> Limit | Limit | Desulion | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Date |
| :---: |$\quad$| Data |
| :---: |
| Factor Extracted |$\quad$ Analyzed | Qualifiers |
| :---: |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| Report Number: $10 C 1563$ | Sampled: $03 / 19 / 05$ |

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 004 (IOC1563-01)-Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 19 / 200511: 02$ | $03 / 19 / 200517: 30$ | $03 / 20 / 2005$ | $13: 30$ | $03 / 20 / 2005$ |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 Cl 563
Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Resalt |  | MDL | Units |  |  | \%REC | Limits | RPD |  | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C21082 Extracted: 03/21/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 7.98 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/l | 8.00 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C1561-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 7.93 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/l | 8.00 | ND | 99 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-MSD1) |  |  | Source: IOC1561-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.07 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 101 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |

## Batch: 5C21088 Extracted: 03/21/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 86.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 108 | 85-115 |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 |  | 106 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 81.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 101 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/1 | 80.0 |  | 105 | 85-115 |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10C1561-01 |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 94.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.45 | 118 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 86.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.025 | 109 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 78.5 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 80.0 | 1.9 | 96 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 83.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 104 | 70-130 |

[^3]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 C 1563 Received: 03/19/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## Analyte

Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5C21088 Extracted: 03/21/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-MS2) |  |  |  | Source: 10C1563-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 87.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.68 | 109 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 82.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 0,094 | 103 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 85.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 7.7 | 97 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 82.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.83 | 102 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-MSD1) |  |  | Source: IOC1561-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 88.8 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.45 | 110 | 70-130 | 6 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 83.0 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.025 | 104 | 70-130 | 5 | 20 |
| Copper | 77.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.9 | 95 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 81.3 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 102 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 Cl 563

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data <br> Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20029 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20029-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | ND | 0.11 | 0.072 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20029-BSI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 4.65 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 93 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate | 9.69 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Batch: 5C21062 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease | ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease | 171 | 5.0 | 0.94 | mg/ | 20.0 | : | 86 | $65-120$ |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease | 16.0 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 7 | 20 |  |

## Batch: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BLK1)
Total Suspended Solids ND
LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BS1)
Total Suspended Solids
942
$10 \quad 10 \mathrm{mg} /$
1000
$94 \quad 85-115$

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 004 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/19/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 1OC1563 | Received: $03 / 19 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## MEMHOD BLANKGC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 C 1563

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10C1563-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.30 | 5.0 | 15 |
| 10C1563-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/l | 0.68 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| 10C1563-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/1 | 0.094 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| 10C1563-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | mg/ | 7.40 | 0.50 | 150 |
| 10C1563-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 7.70 | 2.0 | 14 |
| 10C1563-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/ | 0.028 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 10C1563-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 0.84 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| 1OC1563-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 11 | 0.50 | 250 |
| 1OC1563-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | mg/ | 160 | 10 | 850 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004
Report Number: 10 C 1563

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD
Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 004

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 563 \quad$| Sampled: 03/19/05 |
| ---: |
| Received: 03/19/05 |

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640

1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 1OC1563-01
Analysis Performed: $\quad$ EDD + Level 4
Samples: 10C1563-01

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager


March 28,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 004
Sampled: 03/19/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC1563

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Routine Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | $25939-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Project Manager

March 24, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25939
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 22, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1563". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report Date Received: 3/22/2005 

## Client Sample ID

IOC1563-01

## SECTION II





## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B

D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I
J

P
*

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SAMPLE LOG -IN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$


Comments:


ALTA Analytical Laboratory ED Dorado Hills, CA 95762


## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1563



## $259393.2^{\circ}$



## APPENDIX G

## Section 31

 March Outfall 005
## AMEC Data Validation Reports

Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
$\quad$ Laboratory Alta
$\quad$ Reviewer K. Shadowlight
Analysis/Method Dioxins

## Package ID T711DF35

Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 6
Date: March 23, 2005


## ACTION ITEMS*

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g,
Qualifications were assigned for the following,

* EMPCs
* Detects below the lower method calibration level

GC/MS Tune/lnst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance


[^4]
## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG No.: <br> Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 6<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: March 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC0447-01 | $25853-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | $25854-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC0455-01 | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | $25856-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | $25852-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |
| Analysis: | D/F |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |
| D/F |  |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method $Q C$ limits. A representative number of $\%$ Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (6593-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. Total TCDF was reported at $1,4 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ and target compound $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ was reported as an EMPC. The results for total TCDF in samples Outfall 003 and Outfall 011 were qualified as estimated nondetects "UJ," at the levels of interference. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6593-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG No.: <br> Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." The result for total TCDF in sample Outfall 003 was flagged by the laboratory with a " $D$ " qualifier which indicated possible diphenylether interference; however, the result was qualified as a nondetect due to method blank contamination and no qualifications were required. No further qualifications were required.


## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID | T711MT47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order | 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. | Multiple |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses | 5 |
| Laboratory Del Mar | Date: 03/29/0 | 5 |
| Reviewer P. Meeks | Reviewer's | nature |
| Analysis/Method Metals | P. Meels |  |


| ACTION ITEMS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Case Narrative <br> Deficiencies |
|  | 2. |

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorreet Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
Qualifications were applied for detects below the reporting limit.
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identifica-
1
and Quantitation
System Performance $\qquad$

| COMMENTS $^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| "Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract andor method requirements. |  |
| : Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required. |  |

## amec ${ }^{9}$

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES
Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC0449, IOC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0449, 1OC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 29, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the ' $R$ ' data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA DD | Laboratory DD | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | water | LM04 |
| Outfall 004 | Outfall 004 | IOC0450-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | IOC0452-01 | water | LLM04 |
| Outfall 007 | Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for all the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All \%RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70-130\%. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Lead was not detected in any of the blanks associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB standards were not analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG; therefore, no assessment can be made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C08106-BS1 and the LCS result on the summary forms and in the raw data was within the laboratory-established ICP/MS control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on the LCS result.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Lead detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "JJ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
```

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 CO 0451

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## DRAFT: METALS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data

Analyte $\quad$ Method Batch Limit $\quad$ Limit $\quad \underset{\text { Result }}{\text { Factor extracted }}$| Date |
| :---: |
| Analyzed Qualifiers |

Sample ID: 1OC0451-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 005 - Water) Reporting Units: aug/
Lead
EPA 200.8 5C08106
$\begin{array}{llllll}0.13 & 1.0 & \text { ND } 1 & 03 / 08 / 05 & 03 / 09 / 05\end{array}$


## ALEC VALIDATED



## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
Project: Routine Outfall 005
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
Issued: 03/25/05 11:15

NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117
The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OC0451-01

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 005

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOC0451

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

|  |  |  | MET | LS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 10C0451-01 (Outfall 005 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.18 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.015 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.49 | 2.0 | 0.96 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09049}$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 C 0451

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC0451-01 (Outfall 005 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 1.3 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5C04107 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.5 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09091}$ | 0.94 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 | B, J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.18 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | $5 \mathrm{C08110}$ | 10 | 10 | 50 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 \mathrm{C07073}$ | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 005 (IOC0451-01)- Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200510: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 2005$ | $23: 00$ | $03 / 05 / 2005$ |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 C 0451

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## MIETHOD MLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugh |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh |  |  |  |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 90.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 113 | 85-115 |
| Cadmium | 86.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 108 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 98 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 105 | 85-115 |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 92.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.37 | 115 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 3.0 | 96 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 79.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 99 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 91.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.37 | 114 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 80.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 95 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 98 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

## Batch: 5C09049 Extracted: 03/09/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/l |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |
| :--- | :---: |

## METALS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 C0451 Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANINOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0451$ | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METIOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: $5 \mathrm{C08110}$ Extracted: 03/08/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-DUP1) |  |  |  | Source: 10C0454-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 187 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 180 |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C09091. Extracted: 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 1.70 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 22.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 20.0 |  | 112 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease - 18.8 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 94 | 65-120 | 17 | 20 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{CO451}$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  | Compliance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC0451-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | Result | MRL | Limit |  |
| IOC0451-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 2.60 | 5.0 | 15 |
| IOC0451-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOC0451-01 | Chloride -300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0060 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| IOC0451-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 1.30 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOC0451-01 | Mercury-245.1 | Mercury | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 0.96 | 2.0 | 14 |
| IOC0451-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2 -N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.043 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOC0451-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 1.50 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| IOC0451-01 | TDS -SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 2.00 | 0.50 | 250 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 C 0451

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Sampled: 03/04/05
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 0451 \quad$ Received: 03/04/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Callfornia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOC0451-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 1OC0451-01


March 23,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: $\quad$ Routine Outfall 005
Sampled: 03/04/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0451

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 Dioxin analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | Alta ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | $25855-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Project Manager

## ALTA

March 16, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25855
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC0451". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services


# Section I: Sample Inventory Report <br> Date Received: 3/8/2005 

Alta Lab. ID
25855-001

Client Sample ID
IOC0451-01

## SECTION II




## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

## CURRENT CERTIIICATIONS

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0451

| SENDING LABORATORY: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |  |
| 17461 Dorian Avenue. Suite 100 |  |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | $\ddots$ |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 | $\ddots$ |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |  |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |  |

## RECEIVNG LABORATORY:

Alta Analytical
1104 Winfield Way
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Phone: (916) 933-1640
25955

Fax: (916) 933-0940

Standard TAT is requested mules specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$

| Analysis | Expiration | Comments |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample ID: 10C0451-01 Water | Sampled: 03/04/05 10:50 | Instant Nofication |  |
| 1613-Dioxin-ER | $03 / 11 / 0510: 50$ |  |  |
| EDD + Level 4 | $04 / 01 / 0510: 50$ |  | J flags, 17 congeners, no TEQ, sub to Alta |

## Containers Supplied:

1 L Amber (IOC0451-01C)
1 L Amber ( $10 \mathrm{CO451-01D} \mathrm{)}$











 SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0451

| CEADING LABPORATORT: | (.... RECIVIVGLABORATORY: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Deil Mar Avalytical, Irvine | Alta Analytical 25055 |
| 17461 Derian Averwe. Suite 100 | 1104 Windfield Way 2585 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | E Dorado Hills, CA 95762 (140 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 | Prant : (916) 933-1640 |
| F=x: (949) 261-1228 | Fax (916) 933-0940 |
| Project Managce Michele Harper |  |
| Standard TAT is requerted maless specific due date is requ | $\Rightarrow \text { Due Date: } 2 \text { wel }$ |
| Analyst . Expiration | Comoments |
| Sample 1D: 10C0451-01 Water Sampled: 03/04/05 10:50 | Justumi Nofication |
| 1613-Dioxin-ER $03 / 11 / 0510: 50$ | I fliggs, 17 congexers, no TEO, sub to Alts |
| EDD + Level 4 04/01/05 10:50 | Excel EDD email to pmanolude Stailogs forLvil IV |
| Contathers Sappliced: - | - |
| 12 amber (10C0451-01C) |  |
| 12. Amber (IOC0451-01D) |  |

$$
\text { sampler }=P \cdot P H_{1 / 100}
$$



## SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$


Comments:

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Dioxin\&Furans/1613

Package ID T711DF37
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 10
Date: April 4, 2005
Reviewer's Signature


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis Detects below the calibration range were qualified "J."

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance


* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 10<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC1521-01 | $25935-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC1523-01 | $25936-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | $25940-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | $25937-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | $25938-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1561-01 | $25941-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | $10 C 1563-01$ | $25939-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | $25942-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | $25943-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | $25944-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples Outfall 001 , Outfall 004, and Outfall 008 were received at Del Mar Analytical outside the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Due to non-volatile nature of the target compounds, no qualifications were required. The other samples were received with cooler temperatures within the limits. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Mutiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed $08 / 30 / 04$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( 06624 MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs, There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (0_6624_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC :

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.


## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT57
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 5
Date: 03/30/05
RPriewer'signature
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## COMMENTS

${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

- Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

# ANALYSIS: METALS <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566 

Prepared by

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 30, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form 1 as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 008 | Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 009 | Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Outfall 008 was received above the temperature limit at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool prior to receipt at the laboratory, no qualifications were required. The remaining samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All \%RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70 $130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony was detected in every CCB in the analytical sequence in which Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 were analyzed. The detects ranged from 0.484 to $0.551 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and antimony was detected in Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 at concentrations below these values. The CCB detects indicated the laboratory could not detect antimony at the reported MDL. The reviewer raised the antimony MDL for Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 to the highest level of interference reported, $0.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and qualified the result as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Copper and cadmium were detected above the applicable reporting limit in the ICSA. Aluminum was recovered below the control limit in the all the ICSA and ICSAB analyses; however, as aluminum was not reported in the site samples, no qualifications were required. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples were identified as 5C21088-BS1 and SC19038-BS1. The mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C21082-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. The RPD was wthin the control limit of $20 \%$ and no qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. Both recoveries were within the AMEC control limits of $75-125 \%$ and no qualifications were required. For the remaining analytes, method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA YALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

MWH-Pasadena'Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Atention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outhall 005
Report Number: $10 C 1524$

Sampled: 03.18.05
Received: 031805

## DRAFT: METALS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data
Analyte Method Batch Limit Limit Result Factorextracted Analyzed Qualifiers

Sample ID: 1OC1524-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 005 - Water) Reporting Units: ug/
Lead
EPA 200.8
$5 C 19038 \quad 0.13$
$1.0 \quad 0.50$
1031905032105

## AMEC VALIDATED
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## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 005

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
Issued: 03/31/05 09:25

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## CASE NARRATIVE

SAMPLE RECEIPT: Samples were received intact, at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, on ice and with chain of custody documentation.
HOLDING TIMES: All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times and/or in accordance with the Del Mar Analytical Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the report.

PRESERVATION: Samples requiring preservation were verified prior to sample analysis.
QA/QC CRITERIA: All analyses met method criteria, except as noted in the report with data qualifiers.
COMMENTS: Results that fall between the MDL and RL are 'J' flagged.
SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OC1524-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 005

MATRIX
Water

## Reviewed By:



[^5]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

|  |  |  | MET |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 10C1524-01 (Outfall 005 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.64 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.034 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C19038}$ | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.50 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 Cl 9029 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 Cl 524

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METALS

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOC1524

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1524-01 (Outfall 005 - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 Cl 18104 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 2.2 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 18104 | 0.072 | 0.11 | 3.1 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 C 21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 18104 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 5.5 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 C 21073 | 10 | 10 | 51 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C21068 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
$\begin{array}{lr} & \text { Sampled: 03/18/05 } \\ \text { Report Number: } 10 \mathrm{Cl} 524 & \text { Received: 03/18/05 }\end{array}$

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time (in days) | Date/Time Sampled | Date/Time Received | Date/Time Extracted | Date/Time Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 005 (10C1524-01) - Water |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPA 300.0 | 2 | 03/18/2005 14:11 | 03/18/2005 20:15 | 03/18/2005 23:00 | 03/19/2005 00:14 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Bocing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOC1524

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANK/OC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C19029 Extracted: 03/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19029-BLK1)


Batch: 5C19038 Extracted: 03/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-BLK1)

| Antimony | 125 | 20 | 0.18 | ug |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 0.0170 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/1 |  |  |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 81.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 102 | 85-115 |
| Cadmium | 78.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 99 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 80.6 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |

Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-MS1)

| Antimony | 84.1 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.64 | 104 | $70-130$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 80.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.034 | 100 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 84.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 3.3 | 101 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 82.7 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{I}$ | 80.0 | 0.50 | 103 | $70-130$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 Cl 524 | Received: 03/18/05 |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C1524-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 82.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.64 | 102 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.034 | 98 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Copper | 81.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugl | 80.0 | 3.3 | 98 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Lead | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 0.50 | 102 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: IOC1524 Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5C21062 Extracted: 03/21/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BLK1)
Oil \& Grease

LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BS1)
Oil \& Grease 17.1
$5.0 \quad 0.94 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BSD1)
Oil \& Grease 16.0
$5.0 \quad 0.94$

| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 | 86 | $65-120$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 | 80 | $65-120$ | 7 | 20 |

M-NR1

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 Cl 524 Sampled: 03/18/05

Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BIINKKOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 942 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10 C | 566-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C21073 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids $\quad \therefore \quad \therefore 968$ | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | e: IOC1 | 566-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 320 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 300 |  |  | 6 | 10 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: 10 C 1524

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  |  | Compliance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC1524-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| IOC1524-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0 | 5.0 | 15 |
| IOC1524-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.64 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOC1524-01 | Chloride -300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.034 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| IOC1524-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 2.20 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOC1524-01 | Mercury -245.1 | Mercury | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 3.30 | 2.0 | 14 |
| IOC1524-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2 -N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.013 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOC1524-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 3.10 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| IOC1524-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.50 | 0.50 | 250 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 51 | 10 | 850 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 524 \quad$ Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 524 \quad$ Received: 03/18/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 005
Report Number: $10 C 1524$
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640

1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 1OC1524-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 10C1524.01

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager


March 28,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: Routine Outfall 005
Sampled: 03/18/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC1524

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Routine Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | $25940-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

March 24, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 25940

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 22, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1524". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services


Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report 

Date Received: 3/22/2005

## Alta Lab.ID

25940-001

## Clieat Sample ID

IOC1524-01

SECTION II




## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.
*
See Cover Letter
Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada- (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No, CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SAMPLE LOGAN CHECKLIST

## ALTA Project No.:

## 25940



Comments:


## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1524

| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA. 92614 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228. |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |

Alta Analytical
1104 Winfield Way
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Phone: (910) 933-1640
Fax: (916) 933-0940

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$

$25940 \quad 2.9^{\circ}$


# APPENDIX G 

## Section 32

March Outfall 006
AMEC Data Validation Reports
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer K. Shadowlight
Analysis/Method Dioxins

Analysis/Method Dioxins

Package ID T711DF34
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 4

| Date: March 21, 2005 |
| :--- |
| Reviayer's Signature |
| Shadnfat |

## ACTION TTEMS

## 1. Case Narrative

 Deficiencies2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^6]
# amec ${ }^{\theta}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

# ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 4<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: March 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha Outfall 012 | IOC0195-01 | $25837-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC0515-01 | $25849-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC0452-01 | $25851-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC0454-01 | $25850-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of $\%$ Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 . 1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( $6593-\mathrm{MB} 001$ ) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. Total TCDF was reported at $.4 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ and target compound $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ was reported as an EMPC. There were no other detects reported in the method blank and neither of the target compounds reported in the method blank was reported in the associated samples. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6593-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, " j ;" however, as Alta analyzed an additional calibration standard, not all results below the method calibration level were appropriately qualified by the laboratory. These results were qualified as estimated, "JJ" by the reviewer. No further qualifications were required.


## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID | T711MT47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order | 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. | Multiple |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses | 5 |
| Laboratory Del Mar | Date: 03/29/0 |  |
| Reviewer P. Meeks | Reviewer's S | gnature |
| Analysis/Method Metals | P. Mels |  |

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{*}$

1. Case Narrative Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis Protocol, e.g.,

Qualifications were applied for detects below the reporting limit.

Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identifica-
1
and Quantitation
System Performance
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS. IOC0449, IOC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0449, IOC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 29, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 004 | Outfall 004 | IOC0450-01 | water | LLM04 |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | $10 C 0452-01$ | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 007 | Outfall 007 | $10 C 0453-01$ | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for all the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICPMS metals. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70-130\%. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

Lead was not detected in any of the blanks associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB standards were not analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG; therefore, no assessment can be made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C08106-BS1 and the LCS result on the summary forms and in the raw data was within the laboratory-established ICP/MS control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on the LCS result.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Fumace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Lead detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "JJ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 006

Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: 1000452

Sampled: 03,04i05
Received: 03/04/05

## DRAFT: METALS



## AMEC VALIDATED



# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 006

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
Issued: 03/25/05 11:10

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OC0452-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 006

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0452$ | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OC0452-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.048 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.49 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 08106 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09049}$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0452$ | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |


| INORGANICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OC0452-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.26 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.9 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Oll \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09091}$ | 0.94 | 5.0 | 0.96 | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 | B, J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.18 | 0.50 | 7.3 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | $5 \mathrm{C08110}$ | 10 | 10 | 170 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 \mathrm{C07073}$ | 10 | 10 | 48 | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |
| :--- | :---: |



| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0452$ | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKOOC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/I |  |  |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 90.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 113 | 85-115 |
| Cadmium | 86.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 | 108 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 98 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | 105 | 85-115 |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 92.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.37 | 115 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 3.0 | 96 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 79.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 99 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 91.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.37 | 114 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 80.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 95 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 98 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

## Batch: 5C09049 Extracted: 03/09/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | $u g / l$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing Project ID: Routine Outfall 006

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 006

Report Number: 10 CO 045

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-BS1)

| Mercury | 7.82 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C0451-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.31 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 104 | 70-130 |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C0451-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.23 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 103 | 70-130 | 1 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: IOC0452

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C04107 Extracted: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chioride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | ND | 0.11 | 0.11 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 5.16 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 103 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate | 10.4 | 0.50 | 0.18 | mg/ | 10.0 |  | 104 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |

Batch: 5C07073 Extracted: 03/07/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BLK1)
Total Suspended Solids ND
LCS Analyzed, 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BS1)
Total Suspended Solids $\quad 980$
Duplicate Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-DUP1)
Total Suspended Solids ND
Batch: 5C08110 Extracted: 03/08/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND

LCS Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 976
10
$10 \quad 10$
$10 \quad 10$
mg/
Source: 1OC0451-01
$\mathrm{mg} /$
1000
ND
.
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { MWH-Pasadena/Boeing } & \text { Project ID: Routine Outfall } 006 & \\ \text { 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 } & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sampled: } \\ \text { 03/04/05 } \\ \text { Rasadena, CA 91101 }\end{array} \\ \text { Received: 03/04/05 }\end{array}\right]$.

## METHODBLANKIGC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C08110 Extracted: 03/08/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\therefore$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-DUP1) | Source: 1OC0454-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissoived Solids 187 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 180 |  |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C09091. Extracted; 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 1.70 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 22.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 112 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 18.8 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 94 | 65-120 | 17 | 20 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: 10 C 0452
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
```


## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  |  | Compliance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC0452-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.96 | 5.0 | 15 |
| IOC0452-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.27 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOC0452-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.048 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| IOC0452-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOC0452-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 4.60 | 2.0 | 14 |
| IOC0452-01 | Mercury -245.1 | Mercury | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.041 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOC0452-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2 -N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 1.90 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| IOC0452-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 7.30 | 0.50 | 250 |
| IOC0452-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 170 | 10 | 850 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: \(10 C 0452\)
```


## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: IOC0452 Received: 03/04/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640

1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOC0452-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples, IOC0452-01

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper<br>Project Manager



March 23,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project: | Routine Outfall 006 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
|  | Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0452 |

Dear Ms. Kelly:

Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 Dioxin analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | Alta ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 006 | IOC0452-01 | $25851-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

March 16, 2005

Alta Project I.D.: 25851

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 08,2005 under your Project Name "IOC0452". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Neculle heorer

Martha M. Maier<br>Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

Section I: Sample Inventory Report
Date Received: 3/8/2005

Alta Lab. ID<br>25851-001

Client Sanule ID<br>IOC0452-01

## SECTION II



$$
\text { Approved By: } \quad \text { Martha M. Maier } \quad \text { 16-Mar-2005 12:14 }
$$




## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.

I

J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
Chemical Interference
*
See Cover Letter
Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

Puncm 264-4ter
Mn(en) 300-mat




## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0452

| SENDNGG LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue: Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |

RECEIVING LABORATORY:
Alta Analytical
1104 Windfield Way
EI Dordo Hills, CA 95762
Phone: 2516 ) $933-1640$
Fax: (916) $933-0940$

Standard TAT is requested unless spectic due date is requested $m$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initiale: $\qquad$


| SAMPLE ENTEGRITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All contriocers intect: Custody Secla Premat: | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}} \\ & \mathbf{Y}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { No } \\ \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Smple iblediCOC aproe: Smpinof Precerved Proparty: | $\begin{array}{ll} \square \\ \mathrm{Y}_{\omega} \\ \mathrm{X}_{\omega} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a n o}_{\mathrm{No}} \\ & \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}} \end{aligned}$ | Smples Recavivo On loce: Suuple Rexelved at (comp): | 0 Ya | 口 No |



## SAMPLE LOGAN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No:: 25851 $\qquad$


Comments:

## (












## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0452



## Samplor $=$ P.. .

$\mathrm{MH}_{3 / 1 / 05}$


$\qquad$
,
,
1
$\square$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


$\square$

$\forall$

\% $\qquad$
$\square$
,
,
,
\%

V $\qquad$ + $\quad$,
 $\qquad$

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID | T711DF37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order | 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. | Multiple |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses | 10 |
| Laboratory Alta | Date: April 4 | 2005 |
| Reviewer H. Chang | Reviewer's S | gnature |
| Analysis/Method Dioxin\&Furans/1613 | alch |  |



## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 10<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: Multiple |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC1521-01 | $25935-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC1523-01 | $25936-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | $25940-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | $25937-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | $25938-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1561-01 | $25941-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | $25939-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | $25942-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | $25943-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | $25944-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples Outfall 001, Outfall 004, and Outfall 008 were received at Del Mar Analytical outside the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Due to non-volatile nature of the target compounds, no qualifications were required. The other samples were received with cooler temperatures within the limits. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( 06624 MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample ( 0 6624_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | NPDES |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ", Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID T711MT57 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. Multiple |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses 5 |
| Laboratory Del Mar | Date: 03/30/05 |
| Reviewer P. Meeks | Repiewer's Signature |
| Analysis/Method Metals | +10.S |

## ACIION ITEMS

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Qualifications applied for detects below the reporting limit and antimony MDL.s

Analysis Protocol, e.g., were raised and results estimated due to CCB detects.

Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Intermal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification and Quantitation
System Performance

## COMMENTS ${ }^{\text { }}$, $\quad$ 納

- Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
- Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOCl564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 30, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 008 | Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 009 | Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Outfall 008 was received above the temperature limit at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool prior to receipt at the laboratory, no qualifications were required. The remaining samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70$130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony was detected in every CCB in the analytical sequence in which Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 were analyzed. The detects ranged from 0.484 to $0.551 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and antimony was detected in Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 at concentrations below these values. The CCB detects indicated the laboratory could not detect antimony at the reported MDL. The reviewer raised the antimony MDL for Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 to the highest level of interference reported, $0.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and qualified the result as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Copper and cadmium were detected above the applicable reporting limit in the ICSA. Aluminum was recovered below the control limit in the all the ICSA and ICSAB analyses; however, as aluminum was not reported in the site samples, no qualifications were required. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples were identified as 5 C21088-BS1 and 5C19038-BS1. The mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C21082-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. The RPD was wthin the control limit of $20 \%$ and no qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. Both recoveries were within the AMEC control limits of $75-125 \%$ and no qualifications were required. For the remaining analytes, method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

# e Del Mar Analytical 







MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Ourfall 006
Report Vumber: $10 C 1525$

Sampled: 0318.05
Received: 031805

# DRAFT: METALS 

Analyte Method Batch Limit Limit Resorting Smple Dilution Date Factorextracted Analyzed Qualifiers

Sample ID: 1OC1525-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 006. Water) Reporting Units: ugA
Lead
EPA $200.8 \quad 5 C 19038 \quad 0.13$
1.0
1.2


## AMEC VAMDATED



## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 006

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
Issued: 03/31/05 09:26

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.
SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE
SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OC1525-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 006

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager 9494 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, Sen Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South S1st St, Suite B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Report Number: |  | 10C1525 |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { Sampled: } & 03 / 18 / 05 \\ \text { Received: } & 03 / 18 / 05\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOC1525-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 8 5C19038 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 8 5C19038 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.055 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 8 5C19038 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 8 5C19038 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C19029 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 1525$ | Received: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1525-01 (Outfall 006 - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5C18104 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 3.2 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 18104 | 0.072 | 0.11 | 1.7 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5C21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5C18104 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 6.8 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C21073 | 10 | 10 | 140 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C21068 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: $10 C 1525$

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 006 (10C1525-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 18 / 200514: 21$ | $03 / 18 / 2005$ | $20: 15$ | $03 / 18 / 2005$ |

[^7]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: 10 C 1525
```

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## MITHIOD BLANKKOC DATA

## METALS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: 10 Cl 1525

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C19038 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C1524-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 82.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.64 | 102 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.034 | 98 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Copper | 81.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.3 | 98 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Lead | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.50 | 102 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: IOC1525

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## MEIHOD BLANKEC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: IOC1525

## - METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 942 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | ce: IOC1 | 566-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C21073 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 968 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | e: 10C15 | 66-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 320 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  | 6 | 10 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 1525$ | Received: 03/18/05 |

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC1525-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | mg/l | 0 | 5.0 | 15 |
| 10C1525-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/l | 1.10 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| 10C1525-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/l | 0.055 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| 10C1525-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 3.20 | 0.50 | 150 |
| 10C1525-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/l | 5.20 | 2.0 | 14 |
| 10C1525-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/l | 0.0075 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOC1525-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.70 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| 10C1525-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 6.80 | 0.50 | 250 |
| 1OC1525-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 140 | 10 | 850 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Report Number: } 10 \mathrm{C} 1525 & \text { Sampled: 03/18/05 } \\ \text { Received: 03/18/05 }\end{array}$

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 006
Report Number: 10 Cl 525 Received: 03/18/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 10C1525-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 10C1525-01

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper<br>Project Manager



Del Mar Analytical varomon2urins CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORN




Sampled: 03/18/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC1525

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Routine Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | $25937-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

Section I: Sample Inventory Report
Date Received: 3/22/2005

Alta Lab. ID
25937-001

Client Sample ID
IOC1525-01

## SECTION II



| OPR Results |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: $\quad$ Aqueous |  | QC Batch No.: | 6624 |  | Lab Sample: 0-OPR001 | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |  |
| Sample Size: $\quad 1.000 \mathrm{~L}$ |  | Date Extracted: | 22-Mar-05 |  | Date Analyzed DB-5: 23-Mar-05 |  |  | NA |
| Analyte | Spike Conc. | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | OPR Limits |  | Labeled Standard | \%R | LCLUC |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10.0 | 9.02 | 6.7-15.8 | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 86.2 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50.0 | 44.9 | 35-71 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 83.6 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 45.7 | 35-82 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 83.1 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 47.1 | 38-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 90.5 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50.0 | 47.2 | 32-81 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 80.1 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50.0 | 49.7 | 35-70 |  | 13C-OCDD | 60.0 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | 100 | 102 | 78-144 |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 89.6 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10.0 | 9.28 | 7.5-15.8 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 82.2 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 49.7 | 40-67 |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 86.0 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 48.9 | 34-80 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ | 69.1 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 52.4 | 36-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.1 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.4 | 42-65 |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 80.9 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.3 | 35-78 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 77.1 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.3 | 39-65 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 77.1 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50.0 | 54.0 | 41-61 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 78.6 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50.0 | 53.2 | 39-69 |  | 13C-OCDF | 65.1 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | 100 | 103 | 63-170 | CR | S 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.8 | 35-197 |  |



APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference

J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

## CIURRENT CERTIEICATIONS

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SAMPLE LOGIN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$


Comments:
IOC|S21-01
IOCIS23-01
IOC1S25-01
IOC1S26-01
IOC1S63-01
ALTA Analytical Laboratory E Dorado File, CA 95762

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1525

| SENDING LABORATORY: |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |  |
| 17461 Derian Aveme. Suite 100 |  |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |  |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |  |
| Fax:'(949) 261-1228 |  |
| Project Manager. Michele Harper |  |



Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\square$
$\qquad$

$25937.3 .2^{\circ}$
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## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 6<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: March 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. I), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC0447-01 | $25853-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | $25854-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC0455-01 | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | $25856-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | $25852-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CSO through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (6593-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. Total TCDF was reported at $1.4 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ and target compound $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ was reported as an EMPC. The results for total TCDF in samples Outfall 003 and Outfall 011 were qualified as estimated nondetects "UJ," at the levels of interference. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6593-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." The result for total TCDF in sample Outfall 003 was flagged by the laboratory with a "D" qualifier which indicated possible diphenylether interference; however, the result was qualified as a nondetect due to method blank contamination and no qualifications were required. No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental

## 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard

Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Laboratory Del Mar
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT47
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 5
Date: $03 / 29 / 05$
Reviewer's Signature
P. Meels
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## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC0449, IOC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No:: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0449, IOC0450, IOC0451, IOC0452 \& IOC0453<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 29, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form 1 as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 004 | Outfall 004 | IOC0450-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | IOC0452-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 007 | Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | MET |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for all the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70-130\%. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Lead was not detected in any of the blanks associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB standards were not analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG; therefore, no assessment can be made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C08106-BS1 and the LCS result on the summary forms and in the raw data was within the laboratory-established ICP/MS control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on the LCS result.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No:: | Multiple |

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Lead detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.
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MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 007

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{CO453}$. Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## DRAFT: METALS





## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 007

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
Issued: 03/25/05 11:14

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire repart was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

## LABORATORY ID <br> IOC0453-01

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 007

## MATRIX

Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Report Number: |  | $10 C 0453$ |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 <br> Received: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MET | LS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 10C0453-01 (Outfall 007 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.18 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.069 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.13 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09050}$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: $10 C 0453$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC0453-01 (Outfall 007-Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mgl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5C04107 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 5.7 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.11 | 0.11 | ND | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 C 09091 | 0.94 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 | B, J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.45 | 0.50 | 2.1 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 C 08110 | 10 | 10 | 180 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 \mathrm{C07073}$ | 10 | 10 | 17 | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007

Report Number: $10 C 0453$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1D: Outfall 007 (IOC0453-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200511: 18$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 2005$ | $23: 00$ | $03 / 05 / 2005$ |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 C 0453 | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C08106 Extracted; 03/08/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 90.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 113 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | 86.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 108 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Copper | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 105 | 85-115 |  |  |  |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MS1) |  |  |  | Source: 1OC0448-01 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 92.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.37 | 115 | 70-130 |
| Cadmum | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 96 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 99 | 70-130 |


| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 91.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.37 | 114 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 80.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 95 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.19 | 98 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

## Batch: 5C09050 Extracted: 03/09/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | $u g / l$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^9]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: $10 C 0453$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## NETHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Sampled}:$ | 03/04/05 |
| Received: $03 / 04 / 05$ |  |

## METHOD BLANKYC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C04107 Extracted: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N ND | 0.11 | 0.11 | mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride 5.16 | 0.50 | 0.26 | mg/l | 5.00 |  | 103 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate 10.4 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 104 | $90-110$ |  |  | M-3 |
| Batch: 5C07073 Extracted: 03/07/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 980 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-DUP1) |  |  |  | Source: IOC0451-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |

## Batch: 5C08110 Extracted: 03/08/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND

## LCS Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-BS1)

Total Dissolved Solids 976
$1010 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \quad 1000 \quad 98 \quad 90-110$

## )el Mar Analytical, Irvine

Vendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper roject Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 C 0453 | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Resalt | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5 C08110 Extracted: 03/08/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daplicate Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08110-DUP1) |  |  |  | Source: $10 C 0454$-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 187 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 180 |  |  | 4 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C09091 Extracted: 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Oil \& Grease 1.70 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 22.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 112 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 18.8 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 94 | 65-120 | 17 | 20 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: IOC0453

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte |  |  | Compliance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC0453-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| IOC0453-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.20 | 5.0 | 15 |
| IOC0453-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.14 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| IOC0453-01 | Chloride-300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.069 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| IOC0453-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.70 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOC0453-01 | Mercury -245.1 | Mercury | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 3.00 | 2.0 | 14 |
| IOC0453-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2-N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.023 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOC0453-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.034 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| IOC0453-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 2.10 | 0.50 | 250 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 180 | 10 | 850 |

[^10]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: 10 CO 0453

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007

Report Number: 10 C 0453
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac |  | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | . | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640<br>1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762<br>Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR<br>Samples: IOC0453-01<br>Analysis Performed: $\quad$ EDD + Level 4<br>Samples: 1OC0453-01

$10(0453$
Page 1 of 1



Del Mar Analytical verionontrins CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM Client Name/Address: | Client Name/Address: | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Project: } \\ \text { MWH-Pasadena. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Boeing-SSFL NPDES |  |
| Routhe Outinll 007 |  |

MWH-Pasadena
300 North Lake Avenu
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite $1200 \quad$ Stomwater at Building 100
Pasadena, CA 91101
Project Manager: Bronwyn Kelly
Phone Number:
(626) 568-6691
Fax Number:
(626) $568-6515$

Sample $\quad$ Sample Container $\begin{gathered}\text { K of } \\ \text { Cont }\end{gathered}$

 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|}
\hline Outan 007 \& $W$ \& Poly-1L \& 1 <br>
\hline

 

\hline Outfall 007- \& W \& Poly $1 L$ \& 1

 

\hline Olasse- \& 2 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Glass- | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |


| Outfall 007 | W |
| :--- | :--- |


| Outfall 007 | W |
| :--- | :--- |

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: $\quad$ Routine Outfall 007
Sampled: 03/04/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0453

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 Dioxin analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | Alta ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | $25856-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL

March 16, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 25856

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 08, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC0453". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,



Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

## Section I: Sample Inventory Report

 Date Received: 3/8/2005Alta Lab. ID
25856-001

Client Sample ID
IOC0453-01

## SECTION II





APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
1 Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

## CURRENT CERTIFICATIONS

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy

## U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida -- (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)
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CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Dioxin\&Furans/1613

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{*}$

Contive
Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Intemal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


## amec ${ }^{0}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

# ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1817, IOC1818, IOC1819 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 6, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 010 | IOC1817-01C | $25954-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOC1818-01 | $25955-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | IOC1819-01 | $25956-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  |  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG No.: <br> Multiple | Analysis: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All samples in these SDGs were received with cooler temperatures within the QC limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank $(06631$ MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (0_6631_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J," however, as Alta analyzed an additional calibration standard, not all results below the lower MCL were appropriately qualified by the laboratory. These results were qualified as estimated, "J," by the reviewer. Total HpCDF in Outfall 010 was qualified as estimated since one of the total constituents was below the lower MCL even though total concentration was above the lower MCL. No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
Reviewer K. Okonzak
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT64
Task Order 313150010,313150012 SDG No. 10 Cl 1817, IOC1818
No. of Analyses 2

| Date: $3 / 31 / 05$ |
| :--- |
| Reviewer's Signature |



## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\prime}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
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Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy
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5. Incorrect Hardcopy
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Holding Times

GC/MS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
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System Performance


[^11]
## Data Qualifier Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics |
| :--- | :--- |

U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

J
The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
$\mathrm{N} \quad$ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."

NJ
The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.

UJ The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit, However, the reported quantitation limil is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.

R The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit.

The associated value is an estimated quantity.

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

The data are unusable. (Note: Analyte may or may not be present).

## Qualification Code Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics | Inorganics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | Holding times were exceeded. | Holding times were exceeded. |
| S | Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits. | The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect |
| C | Calibration \%RSD or \%D were noncompliant. | Correlation coefficient is $<0.995$. |
| R | Calibration RRF was $<0.05$. | $\% R$ for calibration is not within control limits. |
| B | Presumed contamination from preparation (method) blank. | Presumed contamination from preparation (method) or calibration blank. |
| L | Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate \%R was not within control limits. | Laboratory Control Sample \%R was not within control limits. |
| Q | MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high. | MS recovery was poor. |
| E | Not applicable. | Duplicates showed poor agreement. |
| I | Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory. | ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory. |
| A | Not applicable. | ICP Serial Dilution \%D were not within control limits. |
| M | Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant. | Not applicable. |
| T | Presumed contamination from trip blank. | Not applicable. |
| + | False positive - reported compound was not present. Not applicable. |  |
| - | False negative - compound was present but not reported. | Not applicable. |
| F | Presumed contamination from FB, or ER. | Presumed contamination from FB or ER. |
| \$ | Reported result or other information was incorrect. | Reported result or other information was incorrect. |
| ? | TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed. | Not applicable. |
| D | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. | The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available. |
| P | Instrument performance for pesticides was poor. | Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits. |
| DNQ | The compound was detected between the MDL and the RL and, by definition, is considered an estimated value. | The compound was detected between the MDL and the RL and, by definition, is considered an estimated value. |

Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section 2.\#, "Data Validation Findings." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the subsection where a description of the problem can be found (eg. *1 would indicate a sample was not within temperature limits).

Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section 2.\#, "Data Validation Findings." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the subsection where a description of the problem can be found (eg. *1 would indicate a sample was not within temperature limits).

# amec ${ }^{9}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS
SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1817, IOC1818

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: SDG No.: Analysis: | NPDES multiple MET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010,313150012<br>SDG\#: IOC1817, 1OC1818<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Okonzak-Lowry<br>Date of Review: March 31, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required $Q C$ criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NDG No.: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis: |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 010 | Outfall 010 | IOC1817-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 007 | Outfall 007 | IOC1818-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> Multiple |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. The COCs listed duplicate samples for both site samples; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. The laboratory performed the required tune solution analyses. The \%RSDs for the tune were all within the $5 \%$ control limit. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | multiple |
|  | Analysis: | MET |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for the ICP/MS and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The applicable reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$, with the exception of the $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ standard for antimony, which was not detected by the instrument at the $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ antimony MDL. Therefore, the nondetected antimony result for sample Outfall 010 was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blanks and bracketing ICBs/CCBs associated with the samples in these SDGs were nondetected at the laboratory MDL, with the exception of antimony for the ICP/MS method blank, 5 C 23123 -BLK1, which was reported at $-0.43 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Therefore, the nondetected antimony for sample Outfall 010 was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further sample qualifications were required.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphonus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. The result for potassium was above the calibration range of the instrument in all the ICSA analysis. The aluminum recoveries were low for the ICSA/AB analyses at $79.3 \%$ and $76.5 \%$, respectively. The site sample matrix was low in aluminum; therefore, the low recovery for aluminum by the laboratory wouldn't have caused IEC miscalculations affecting the quantitation of the reported analytes. Copper and cadmium were detected at above the reporting limit in the ICSA analysis. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the level of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C23123-BS1, and the mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C24056-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

The MS/MSD analyses were performed for the ICP/MS analysis only on sample Outfall 010, in association with the samples in these SDGs. The \%RPDs for the reported analytes were within the $20 \%$ control limit, and no sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> multiple <br> SDG No.: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis: |  |

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

The MS/MSD analyses were performed for the ICP/MS analysis only on sample Outfall 010 , in association with the samples in these SDGs. The \%Rs were within the AMEC 75-125\% control limit, and no sample qualifications were required. The mercury method accuracy was evaluated based on the LCS result.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analysis was performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control himits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | multiple |
|  |  | Analysis: |

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

## ( Del Mar Analytical

MWH-Pasadena Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
$\$$ Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project [D: Routine Outfall 007

Report Number: 10 C18is

Sampled: 03:2305
Received: 03123.05

## DRAFT: METALS

MDL. Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data Analyse Method Batch Limit Limit Result Factor Extracted Analyzed Qualifiers

## Sample DD: 1OC1818-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 007 -Water)

 Reporting Units: ughLead

## AMES VALIDATED Level IV

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 007

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05
Issued: 04/05/05 12:09

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOC1818-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 007

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: $10 C 1818$

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1818-01 (Outfall 007 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 23123 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C23123 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.11 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 23123 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 | J |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 23123 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 C 24056 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/24/05 | 03/24/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: 10 C 1818

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualfiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1818-01 (Outfall 007 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 23116 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5C23116 | 0.075 | 0.26 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 C 25043 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5C23116 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 2.7 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C23106 | 10 | 10 | 150 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/23/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C24086 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 1 | 03/24/05 | 03/24/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: 10 C 1818

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 007 (IOC1818-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 23 / 200509: 03$ | $03 / 23 / 2005$ | $18: 36$ | $03 / 23 / 2005$ | $23: 00$ |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: 10 Cl 1818

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C23123 Extracted: 03/23/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 85.8 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 107 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | 80.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Copper | 85.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 107 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Lead | 82.1 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-MS1) Source: 10C1817-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 81.9 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 102 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | 78.9 | 10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 99 | 70.130 |  |  |  |
| Copper | 85.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 80.0 | 3.9 | 101 | 70.130 |  |  |  |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.6 | 103 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-MSD1) <br> Source: 10C1817-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 83.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Cadmium | 80.5 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Copper | 86.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.9 | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Lead | 86.4 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.6 | 106 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |  |

## Batch: 5C24056 Extracted: 03/24/05.

Blank Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C24056-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | $u g / l$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: IOC1818

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## METHOD BLANIGOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Oualliers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C24056 Extracted: 03/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $03 / 23 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 1818$ | Received: $03 / 23 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | $\cdots$ |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data <br> Oualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C23106 Extracted: 03/23/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/23/2005 (5C23106-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/23/2005 (5C23106-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 1040 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 104 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/23/2005 (5C23106-DUP1) |  |  |  | Source: IOC1606-03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 487 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  | 480 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |

Batch: 5C23116 Extracted: 03/23/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/23/2005 (5C23116-BLK1)

| Chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | ND | 0.26 | 0.075 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/23/2005 (5C23116-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 5.10 | 0.50 | 0.26 | mg/ | 5.00 |  | 102 | 90-110 |  |  |
| Sulfate | 10.2 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 102 | 90-110 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/23/2005 (5C23116-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10C1789-01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 39.0 | 1.0 | 0.52 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 34 | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Sulfate | 45.2 | 1.0 | 0.36 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 10.0 | 35 | 102 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/23/2005 (5C23116-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10C1789-01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 38.7 | 1.0 | 0.52 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 34 | 94 | 80-120 | I | 20 |
| Sulfate | 44.8 | 1.0 | 0.36 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 10.0 | 35 | 98 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOC1818 | Sampled: $03 / 23 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Received: $03 / 23 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C24086 Extracted: 03/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C24086-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C24086-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 967 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 97 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C24086-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | ce: IOC1 | 873-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C25043 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25043-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25043-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 15.5 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 78 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25043-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 15.8 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 79 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  | Project ID: Routine <br> Report Number: 10 C 1818 |  | Sampled: $03 / 23 / 05$Received: 03/23/05 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance Check |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| 10C1818-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | mg/ | 0.69 | 5.0 | 15 |
| 10C1818-01 | Antimony-200.8 | Antimony | ug/ | 1.20 | 2.0 | 6.00 |
| 10C1818-01 | Cadmium-200.8 | Cadmium | ug/l | 0.11 | 1.0 | 4.00 |
| 10C1818-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 2.00 | 0.50 | 150 |
| 10C1818-01 | Copper-200.8 | Copper | ug/ | 6.00 | 2.0 | 14 |
| 10C1818-01 | Mercury - 245.1 | Mercury | ug/ | 0.048 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| IOC1818-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 1.20 | 0.26 | 10.00 |
| IOC1818-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 2.70 | 0.50 | 250 |
| 1OC1818-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 150 | 10 | 850 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 007

Report Number: 10 Cl 1818

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the
Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike
Duplicate.
ND $\quad$ Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD $\quad$ Relative Percent Difference

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 007
Report Number: 10 C 1818

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 1OC1818-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: IOC1818-01
8181005

## Page 1 of 1

耳

# $<$ Del MarAnalytical 

March 31,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

| Attention: | Bronwyn Kelly |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Routine Outfall 007 |
|  | Sampled: 03/23/05 |
|  | Del Mar Analytical Number: 10 C 1818 |

Dear Ms.Kelly:
Alta Analytical performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa dioxins and furans for the project referenced above. Please use the cross-reference table for review your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 007 | IOC1818-01 | $25955-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me (949) 261-1022,at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


March 28, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 25955

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 25, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1818". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services


## Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

Section I: Sample Inventory Report
Date Received: 3/25/2005

Alta Lab. ID
25955-001

## Client Sample ID

IOC1818-01

SECTION II

| Method Blank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Size: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { qqueous } \\ & 1.000 \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | QC Batch No.: <br> Date Extracted: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6631 \\ & 25-M a r-05 \end{aligned}$ | Lab Sample: $0-\mathrm{MB} 001$ <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 27-Mar-05 |  |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: NA |  |  |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | EMPC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Qualifiers |  | Labeled Stand |  | \%R | LCL UCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Oualifiers |
| A $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ <br> $1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDD}$ <br> $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ <br> $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ <br> $1,2,3,7,8,9-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ <br> $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD}$ <br> OCDD <br> 2,3,7,8-TCDF <br> $1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDF}$ <br> $2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDF}$ <br> $1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ <br> $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ <br> $2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ <br> $1,2,3,7,8,9-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ <br> $1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDF}$ <br> $1,2,3,4,7,8,9-\mathrm{HpCDF}$ <br> OCDF | ND | 1.79 |  |  | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 74.3 |  |  |
|  | ND | 1.50 |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{P}$ | CDD | 69.3 | $25-181$ |  |
|  | ND | 2.62 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8 | xCDD | 77.5 | 32-141 |  |
|  | ND | 2.73 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8 | xCDD | 83.3 | 28-130 |  |
|  | ND | 2.67 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7 | HpCDD | 72.5 | 23-140 |  |
|  | ND | 1.65 |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDD}$ |  | 51.2 | 17-157 |  |
|  | ND | 5.70 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 74.8 | 24-169 |  |
|  | ND | 1.57 |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{P}$ |  | 69.0 | 24-185 |  |
|  | ND | 2.33 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-P |  | 69.7 | 21-178 |  |
|  | ND | 2.07 |  |  |  | 13C-1, , 3, 4, 7, 8 | $\times \mathrm{CDF}$ | 77.3 | 26-152 |  |
|  | ND | 0.597 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8 | xCDF | 87.1 | 26-123 |  |
|  | ND | 0.599 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8 | xCDF | 84.1 | 28-136 |  |
|  | ND | 0.670 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9 | CDF | 78.8 | 29-147 |  |
|  | ND | 1.10 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7 | HpCDF | 74.4 | 28-143 |  |
|  | ND | 1.23 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8, | HpCDF | 82.1 | 26-138 |  |
|  | ND | 1.45 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDF |  | 61.7 | 17-157 |  |
|  | ND | 4.20 |  |  | CRS | 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 77.8 | 35-197 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | Footnotes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total TCDD | ND | 1.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDD | ND | 1.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | 2.68 |  |  | a. Sam | ple specific estimated | ection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | ND | 1.65 |  |  | b. Est | nated maximum poss | concentration. |  |  |  |
| Total TCDF | ND | 1.57 |  |  | c. Me | od detection limit. |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDF | ND | 2.20 |  |  |  | r control limit - upper | ntrol limit. |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDF | ND | 0.716 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | 1.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst WJL Approved By: Martha M. Maier 28-Mar-2005 07:01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.
*
See Cover Letter
Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

## CURRENT CERTIHICATIONS

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Enyironmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsyivania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1818


$259554.2^{\circ}$


SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST
ALTA Project No.:


Comments:
initials of sampler on bottles

# APPENDIX G 

## Section 34

March Outfall 008
AMEC Data Validation Reports
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Reports

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

## AMEC Earth \& Environmental

550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Package ID T711DF34
Task Order 313150010
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer K. Shadowlight

Analysis/Method Dioxins
SDG No. Multiple

No. of Analyses 4
Date: March 21, 2005
Revieqyer's Signature


## ACTION ITEMS

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables $\qquad$
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, egg.,
Qualifications were assigned for the following:
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance


* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract andor method requirements.

Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

# amec ${ }^{\theta}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
|  | Analysis: | DIF |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 4<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: March 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha Outfall 012 | IOC0195-01 | $25837-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC0515-01 | $25849-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC0452-01 | $25851-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC0454-01 | $25850-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
|  | SDG No.: |
| Multiple |  |
| Analysis: |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( 6593 -MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. Total TCDF was reported at1,4 $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ and target compound $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ was reported as an EMPC. There were no other detects reported in the method blank and neither of the target compounds reported in the method blank was reported in the associated samples. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6593-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J;" however, as Alta analyzed an additional calibration standard, not all results below the method calibration level were appropriately qualified by the laboratory. These results were qualified as estimated, "J," by the reviewer. No further qualifications were required.



# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

# ANALYSIS: METALS <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC0454 \& IOC0455 

Prepared by

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring
Contract Task Order \#: 313150010
SDG\#: IOC0454, IOC0455
Project Manager: B. McIlvaine
Matrix: Water
Analysis: Metals
QC Level: Level IV
No. of Samples: 2
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Reviewer: P. Meeks
Date of Review: March 29, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Leevels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | Outfall 008 | IOC0454-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 009 | Outfall 009 | IOC0455-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for both samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All \%RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, 90-110\% for ICP/MS metals and 80-120\% for mercury. Antimony was not recovered in the 0.2 ppb reporting limit check standard and was recovered below the control limit in the 1.0 ppb reporting limit check standard; therefore, nondetected antimony in both site samples (see section 2.4)was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The remaining reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$. No further sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony was detected in a bracketing CCB at $0.309 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, antimony detected in Outfall 009 was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG; therefore, no assessment can be made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C08106-BS1 and the mercury LCS sample was identified as $5 \mathrm{C} 09050-\mathrm{BS}$. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCO454, 0455 |

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J."

The laboratory reported antimony in Outfall 008 as nondetected at the reporting limit. The reviewer noted that the result in the raw data was $-0.309 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, the reviewer raised the antimony MDL for Outfall 008 to the level of interference in Outfall $008,0.31 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, and qualified the result as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

# Del Mar Analytical 

 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805 A. Coton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (945) 370-1043 3830 South 51 st St., Sulte B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (858) 505-9689 2520 E. Sunset Ral. \#3, Las Vegas. NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702.) 798-3621MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Routine Outfill 008
Report Number: 10 CO 0454

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## DRAFT: METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dat } \\ \text { Qualif } \end{gathered}$ | ta fiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID Repor Antimony | Outfall 008 - | ater) | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & R_{\text {w }} \\ & Q_{\text {ual }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qual } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | $5 C 08106$ | 0.18 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | UJ | * $3, \$_{i}$ |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C08106 SC08106 | 0.015 0.49 | 1.0 | 0.032 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J J | DNA |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 S 08106 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 3.2 |  | 03/08/05 | 03i09/05 |  |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | $5 \mathrm{CO9050}$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND |  | $\begin{aligned} & 03 / 08 / 05 \\ & 03 / 09 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 03 / 09 / 05 \\ & 03 / 09 / 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\cup$ |  |

## AMEC VABDATED



## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 008

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
Issued: 03/30/05 15:50

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOC0454-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 008

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: $10 C 0454$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

|  |  |  | MET | LS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 10C0454-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 08106 | 0.18 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 08106 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.032 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.13 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09050}$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: $10 C 0454$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC0454-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) - cont.Reperting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 9.1 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 C 09091 | 0.94 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 | B, J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 7.3 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 C 08110 | 10 | 10 | 180 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 \mathrm{C07073}$ | 10 | 10 | 36 | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC0454-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5 C 08052 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/08/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite B05, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St., Stite B-120, Phoenix, AZZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

Report Number: $10 C 0454$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 008 (IOC0454-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200514: 00$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 2005$ | $23: 00$ | $03 / 05 / 2005$ |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0454$ | Sampled: $03 / 04 / 05$ <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5C08106 Extracted: 03/08/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |

LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BS1)

| Antimony | 90.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 113 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 86.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 108 | $85-115$ |
| Copper | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 88 | $85-115$ |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MS1) |  |  |  | Source: 1OC0448-01 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 92.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.37 | 115 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugn | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 96 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.19 | 99 | 70-130 |


| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 91.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.37 | 114 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 80.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 95 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 98 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

Batch: 5C09050 Extracted: 03/09/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-BLK1)

| Mercury ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $03 / 04 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 CO |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  | Received: 03/04/05 |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C09050 Extracted: 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.21 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 103 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-MS1) | Source: 10C0456-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.33 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 104 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-MSD1) |  |  |  | Source: 10C0456-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.17 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 102 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: $10 C 0454$
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C04107 Extracted: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N ND | 0.11 | 0.11 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride 5.16 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 103 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate 10.4 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 104 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Batch: 5C07073 Extracted: 03/07/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCSAnalyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids $\quad 980$ | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07073-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | ce: IOC0 | 451-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |

Batch: 5C08052 Extracted: 03/08/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08052-BLK1)
Perchlorate ND
LCS Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C08052-BS1)
Perchlorate
50.0
$4.0 \quad 0.80$
50.0
$100 \quad 85-115$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0454$ | Received: 03/04/05 |

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5C09091 Extracted: 03/09/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BLK1)

| Oil \& Grease | 1.70 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Analy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease | 22.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 | 112 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09091-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease | 18.8 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 | 94 | 65-120 | 17 | 20 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

Report Number: 10 CO 045

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC0454-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1.50 | 5.0 | 15 |
| IOC0454-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 9.10 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOC0454-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2 -N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.49 | 0.11 | 8.00 |
| IOC0454-01 | Perchlorate 314.0 | Perchlorate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0 | 4.0 | 6.00 |
| IOC0454-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 7.30 | 0.50 | 300 |
| IOC0454-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 180 | 10 | 950 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

Report Number: $10 C 0454$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

Sampled: 03/04/05
Report Number: $10 C 0454$
Received: 03/04/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOC0454-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: IOC0454-01
CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM

| operolyoued <br> 'N-ZON+EON 'TOS '-10 |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $1.6 \downarrow$ Vd킈 esean 810 |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6H'qd 'no 'po 'qs <br>  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\therefore$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | \% |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |

March 23,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: $\quad$ Routine Outfall 008
Sampled: 03/04/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0454

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 Dioxin analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | Alta ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | IOC0454-01 | $25850-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

March 16, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25850
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 08,2005 under your Project Name "IOC0454". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report Date Received: 3/8/2005 

Alta Lab. ID
25850-001

Client Sample ID
IOC0454-01

## SECTION II





## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.

I
Chemical Interference
J
The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
*
See Cover Letter
Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy

## U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)


## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0454




## SAMPLE LOG-HN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: 25850

| 1. Date Samples Artived. $38 / 050939$ Intils: $1 / 200$ Location: WR-2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Time/ Date logged in: 1305 U/805 initam: 015 |  |  |
| 3. Samples Antived By: (circio) FedEx Drs Word Courier Other: |  |  |
| 4. Shipping Promervation: (circle) Toe (alue lice D Dry loe / None Tomp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad 1.3$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 6. Shipping Container(s) Custody Seals Present? Introte If not intect, daserbe condition in comment section. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 7. Shipping Documentation Present? (chrclo) Shippling Label <br> Tracking Number $7928 \quad 6415 \quad 1912$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 8. Sample Custody Seal(e) Present? No. of Seals $\qquad$ or Seal No. intact? "not intuct, describe condifion in comment section. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 9. Sample Container Intact? If no, Indicate sample condition in comment section. |  |  |
| 10. Chain of Custody (COC) or other Sample Documentation Present? |  |  |
| 11. COcinocumontation Acceptable? \# no, complete COC Anomaly Form. |  |  |
| 12. Shlpping Container (chrcle): ALTA Cliont - Retali or Retum or Disposed |  |  |
| 13. Container(s) and/or Bottie(s) Requestad? |  |  |
| 14. Drinking Water Sample? (HRMS Only) If yes, Accaptable Preservation? Yor $N$ Preservation info From? (crrie) COC or Sample Containar or None Noted |  |  |

Comments:

| mnn. t. cuvo 0: blim | ULL-MAK AFALYIICAL |  | 3439 P. | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | dermenere |  |
|  |  |  | mponswour | Nutpeas arozes |
| 1 N | 71 | * |  | Faxtersersmo |
|  |  |  | Phtuornama | rixicumreom |
|  |  | mantimbay wom | manatyen | many mix |

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0454





CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226
Analysis: $\quad \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}$

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 10<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC1521-01 | $25935-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC1523-01 | $25936-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | $25940-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | $25937-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | $25938-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1561-01 | $25941-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | $25939-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | $25942-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | $25943-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | $25944-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples Outfall 001, Outfall 004, and Outfall 008 were received at Del Mar Analytical outside the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Due to non-volatile nature of the target compounds, no qualifications were required. The other samples were received with cooler temperatures within the limits. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by intemal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( 06624 MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (0_6624_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT57
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 5

| Date: $03 / 30 / 05$ |
| :--- |
| Repienera Signature |

## ACIIONITEMS

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Qualifications applied for detects below the reporting limit and antimony MDLs

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
were raised and results estimated due to CCB detects.
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification
and Quantitation
System Performance $\qquad$

COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$,

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
- Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES
Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 30, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 008 | Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 009 | Outfall 009 | 10C1566-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Outfall 008 was received above the temperature limit at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool prior to receipt at the laboratory, no qualifications were required. The remaining samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70$130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony was detected in every CCB in the analytical sequence in which Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 were analyzed. The detects ranged from 0.484 to $0.551 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and antimony was detected in Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 at concentrations below these values. The CCB detects indicated the laboratory could not detect antimony at the reported MDL. The reviewer raised the antimony MDL for Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 to the highest level of interference reported, $0.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and qualified the result as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Copper and cadmium were detected above the applicable reporting limit in the ICSA. Aluminum was recovered below the control limit in the all the ICSA and ICSAB analyses; however, as aluminum was not reported in the site samples, no qualifications were required. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples were identified as 5 C21088-BS1 and 5C19038-BS1. The mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C21082-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. The RPD was wthin the control limit of $20 \%$ and no qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. Both recoveries were within the AMEC control limits of $75-125 \%$ and no qualifications were required. For the remaining analytes, method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | MET |

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.
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# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 008

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05
Issued: 03/31/05 09:22

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOC1564-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 008
MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

Report Number: 10 Cl 564

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1564-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.42 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.018 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 21088 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.18 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C21082 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 564 \quad$| Sampled: $03 / 19 / 05$ |
| ---: |
| Received: $03 / 19 / 05$ |

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Quallfiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1564-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5C20029 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 11 | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 20029 | 0.072 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | SC21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5C20029 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 4.2 | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 C 21073 | 10 | 10 | 130 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C21068 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 10C1564-01 (Outfall 008 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5C21050 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: IOC1564 Sampled: 03/19/05
Report Number: IOC1564

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 008 (IOC1564-01)-Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 19 / 200509: 48$ | $03 / 19 / 200517: 30$ | $03 / 20 / 2005$ | $13: 30$ | $03 / 20 / 2005$ |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 008 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Report Number: $10 C 1564$ | Sampled: 03/19/05 |

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## METHOD BIEANKIOC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C21082 Extracted: 03/21/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-BLK1)
Mercury ND
LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-BS1)

| Mercury | 7.98 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C1561-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 7.93 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 99 | 70-130 |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21082-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C1561-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.07 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 101 | 70-130 | 2 |

Batch: 5C21088 Extracted: 03/21/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cadmium |  | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug 1 |
| Copper |  | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug $/ 2$ |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug $/ 1$ |  |

LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-BS1)

| Antimony | 86.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 108 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cadmium | 84.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 106 | $85-115$ |
| Copper | 81.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | $85-115$ |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug $/$ | 80.0 | 105 | $85-115$ |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 1 / 2 0 0 5}$ (5C21088-MS1) |  |  | Source: $\mathbf{1 O C 1 5 6 1 - 0 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 94.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.45 | 118 | $70-130$ |
| Cadmium | 86.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.025 | 109 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 78.5 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.9 | 96 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 83.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 104 | $70-130$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008

Report Number: $10 C 1564$

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## METHOD BLAANKIQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C21088 Extracted: 03/21/05
Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-MS2)

| Antimony | 87.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.68 | 109 | $70-130$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 82.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.094 | 103 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 85.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug | 80.0 | 7.7 | 97 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 82.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.83 | 102 | $70-130$ |


| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 1 / 2 0 0 5}$ (5C21088-MSD1) | Source: IOC1561-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 88.8 | 2.0 | 0.18 | uggl | 80.0 | 0.45 | 110 | $70-130$ | 6 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 83.0 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug $/ 1$ | 80.0 | 0.025 | 104 | $70-130$ | 5 | 20 |
| Copper | 77.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.9 | 95 | $70-130$ | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 81.3 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugg 1 | 80.0 | ND | 102 | $70-130$ | 3 | 20 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: IOC1564
Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05
```


## METHOD BLANKOQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20029 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20029-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | ND | 0.11 | 0.072 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20029-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 4.65 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 5.00 |  | 93 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate | 9.69 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 10.0 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |

Batch: 5C21050 Extracted: 03/21/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21050-BLK1)


Batch: 5C21062 Extracted: 03/21/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BLK1)

| Oil \& Grease | ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
10 Cl 564 Sampled: 03/19/05
Report Number: $10 C 1564$

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C21062 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.0 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 942 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | ce: 10C1 | 566-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids , H , ND | 10 | 10 | mg/ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 | $\because$ |
| Batch: $5 C 21073$ Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 968 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | e: 10C1 | 66-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 320 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 300 |  |  | 6 | 10 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: $10 C 1564$
Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Limit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC1564-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.38 | 5.0 | 15 |
| IOC1564-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 11 | 0.50 | 150 |
| $1 O C 1564-01$ | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 3+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.28 | 0.11 | 8.00 |
| 1OC1564-01 | Perchlorate 314.0 | Perchlorate | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0 | 4.0 | 6.00 |
| $10 C 1564-01$ | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 4.20 | 0.50 | 300 |
| IOC1564-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 130 | 10 | 950 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: 10 Cl 1564
Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the

M-3 Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality. Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 008
Report Number: 10 C 1564
Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Alta Analytical California Cert $\# 1640$

1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOC1564-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples IOC1564-01

March 28,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: Routine Outfall 008
Sampled: 03/19/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC1564

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Routine Outfall 008. | IOC1564-01 | $\mathbf{2 5 9 4 2 - 0 0 1}$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

## $\frac{\text { ALTA }}{\text { ATA }}$

March 24, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25942
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 22, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1564". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report <br> Date Received: 3/22/2005 

Alta Lab. ID
25942-001

Client Sample ID
IOC1564-01

## SECTION II

| OPR Results |  |  |  |  |  | EPA | Method 16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | QC Batch No.: | 6624 |  | Lab Sample: $\quad 0$-OPR001 |  |  |  |
| Sample Size: 1.000 L |  | Date Extracted: | 22-Mar-05 |  | Date Analyzed DB-5: 23 -Mar-05 | Date Analyzed | DB-225: | NA |
| Analyte | Spike Conc. | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | OPR Limits |  | Labeled Standard | \%R | LCL-UCL |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10.0 | 9.02 | 6.7-15.8 | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 86.2 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50.0 | 44.9 | 35-71 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 83.6 | $25-181$ |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 45.7 | 35-82 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 83.1 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 47.1 | 38-67 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 90.5 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50.0 | 47.2 | 32-81 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 80.1 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50.0 | 49.7 | 35-70 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDD}$ | 60.0 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | 100 | 102 | 78-144 |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 89.6 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10.0 | 9.28 | 7.5-15.8 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 82.2 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 49.7 | 40-67 |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 86.0 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 48.9 | 34-80 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 69.1 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 52.4 | 36-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 83.1 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.4 | 42-65 |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 80.9 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.3 | 35-78 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 77.1 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.3 | 39-65 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 77.1 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50.0 | 54.0 | 41-61 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 78.6 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50.0 | 53.2 | 39-69 |  | 13C-OCDF | 65.1 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | 100 | 103 | 63-170 | CRS | S 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.8 | 35-197 |  |



## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
1 Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.
*
Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$ 5942


Comments:


## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1564



Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$




## APPENDIX G

## Section 35

March Outfall 009
AMEC Data Validation Reports
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer K. Shadowlight
Analysis/Method Dioxins

Package ID T711DF35
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 6
Date: March 23, 2005
Reyiewer's Signature
Shadon $4 t$

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{*}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
Qualifications were assigned for the following:

* EMPCs
* Detects below the lower method calibration level
GC/MS Tune/inst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance


## COMMENTS ${ }^{\circ}$

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
b Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

## Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |
| D/ | Analysis: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 6<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: March 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG No.: <br> Multiple |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC0447-01 | $25853-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | $25854-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | $10 C 0455-01$ | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | $25856-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | $25852-001$ | water | 1613 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8$-TCDD reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of $\%$ Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (6593-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. Total TCDF was reported at $1,4 \mathrm{pgL}$ and target compound $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ was reported as an EMPC. The results for total TCDF in samples Outfall 003 and Outfall 011 were qualified as estimated nondetects "UJ," at the levels of interference. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6593-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:


### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, " J " The result for total TCDF in sample Outfall 003 was flagged by the laboratory with a " $D$ " qualifier which indicated possible diphenylether interference; however, the result was qualified as a nondetect due to method blank contamination and no qualifications were required. No further qualifications were required.

MHC VILIDAED

# CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA 

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT44
Task Order 313150010 SDG No. IOC0454, IOC0455
No. of Analyses 2
Date: 03/29/05
Revieyer's Signature


## ACTION TIEMS

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from $\quad$ Qualifications applied for:

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification
and Quantitation
System Performance

1. CCB detect
2. Reporting limit check standard recovery outlier
3. Detects below the reporting limit
4. Antimony MDL raised and result estimated due to negative sample result
$\qquad$
$\square$

$\qquad$
$\square$
$\square$

## COMMENTS

[^12]
# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC0454 \& IOC0455

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0454, IOC0455<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 29, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form 1 as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 008 | Outfall 008 | IOC0454-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 009 | Outfall 009 | IOC0455-01 | water | ILM04 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for both samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All \%RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and 80-120\% for mercury. Antimony was not recovered in the 0.2 ppb reporting limit check standard and was recovered below the control limit in the 1.0 ppb reporting limit check standard; therefore, nondetected antimony in both site samples (see section 2.4)was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The remaining reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$. No further sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony was detected in a bracketing CCB at $0.309 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, antimony detected in Outfall 009 was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were analyzed in association with the samples in this SDG; therefore, no assessment can be made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C08106-BS1 and the mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C09050-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0454, 0455 |

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J."

The laboratory reported antimony in Outfall 008 as nondetected at the reporting limit. The reviewer noted that the result in the raw data was $-0.309 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, the reviewer raised the antimony MDL for Outfall 008 to the level of interference in Outfall $008,0.31 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, and qualified the result as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Cutfall 009 | Sampled: $03 / 04 / 05$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 C 0455$ | Received: $03 / 04 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |

## DRAFT: METALS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data Analyte Method Batch Limit Limit Result FactorExtracted Analyzed Qualifiers

Sample ID: IOC0455-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 009 - Water) Reporting Units: ugh
Antimony
Cadmium
Copper
Lead
Mercury

| all 0 | r) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Qual | Codn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.18 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09105 | טJ 3 | $B_{1} * 3$ |
| EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.041 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | JJ | DNQ |
| EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |  |
| EPA 200.8 | 5008106 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.62 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | $J^{\text {J }}$ | $D N Q$ |
| EPA 245.1 | 5009050 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1. | 03/09/05 | 03i09/05 | $U$ |  |

ANEC VALDOATED

$\qquad$



## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 009

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
Issued: 03/28/05 10:38

NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117
The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.
SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE
SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
1OC0455-01

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 009

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: IOC0455

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC0455-01 (Outfall 009 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.18 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 08106 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.041 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | $5 \mathrm{C08106}$ | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.62 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/09/05 | J |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | $5 \mathrm{CO9050}$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

Report Number: IOC0455

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Quallifers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC0455-01 (Outfall 009 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mgl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 8.0 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | SC09091 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/09/05 | 03/09/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 04107 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 18 | 1 | 03/04/05 | 03/05/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C08110 | 10 | 10 | 130 | 1 | 03/08/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C07073 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention; Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

Report Number: 10 C 0455 Received: 03/04/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time Received | Date/Time Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 009 (10C0455-01) - Water |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPA 300.0 | 2 | 03/04/2005 11:06 | 03/04/2005 17:50 | 03/04/2005 23:00 | 03/05/2005 02:20 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: $10 C 0455$

Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS



Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug $/$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug 1 |

LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BS1)

| Antimony | 90.7 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 113 | $85-115$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | 86.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 108 | $85-115$ |
| Copper | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 98 | $85-115$ |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 105 | $85-115$ |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MS1) |  |  |  | Source: 1OC0448-01 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 92.4 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.37 | 115 | 70-130 |
| Cadnium | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 96 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 79.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 99 | 70-130 |


| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 91.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.37 | 114 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 80.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Copper | 78.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 95 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 98 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

Batch: 5C09050 Extracted: 03/09/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-BLK1)
Mercury ND
$\mathrm{ND} \quad 0.20 \quad 0.063 \quad \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 C 0455 | Received: $03 / 04 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C09050 Extracted: 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercary 8.21 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 103 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-MS1) | Source: 10C0456-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.33 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 104 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09050-MSD1) |  |  |  | Source: 1OC0456-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.17 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 102 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: $10 C 0455$
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{CO455}$
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: IOC0455

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC0455-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.57 | 5.0 | 15 |
| $10 C 0455-01$ | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 8.00 | 0.50 | 150 |
| IOC0455-01 | Nitrogen, NO3+NO2 -N | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.45 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| IOC0455-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 18 | 0.50 | 250 |
| IOC0455-01 | TDS - SM 2540 C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 130 | 10 | 850 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 0455 \quad$| Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| :--- |
| Received: 03/04/05 |

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: IOC0455

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Methed | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical Calfornia Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: $10 \mathrm{C} 0455-01$
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4 Samples: 1OC0455-01

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager


March 23,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: Routine Outfall 009
Sampled: 03/04/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0455

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 Dioxin analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | Alta ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 009 | IOC0455-01 | $25857-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

March 16, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25857
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 08,2005 under your Project Name "IOC0455". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maser
Director of HRMS Services


# Section I: Sample Inventory Report Date Received: 3/8/2005 

Alta Lab. ID
25857-001

Client Sample ID
10C0455-01

## SECTION II

| Method Blank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: <br> Sample Size: | Aqueous 1.000 L | QC Batch No.: <br> Date Extracted: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6593 \\ & \text { 11-Mar-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Lab Sample: 0 -MB001 <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 14-Mar-05 |  |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: NA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | EMPC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Qualifiers |  | Labeled Stand |  | \%R | LCL-UCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Oualifiers |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | 1.27 |  |  | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 61.5 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 1.50 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-P | CDD | 57.2 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 2.20 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8 | xCDD | 67.8 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 2.32 |  |  |  | 13C-1, 2, 3,6,7,8 | xCDD | 76.7 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 2.26 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7 | -HpCDD | 56.6 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 3.00 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDD |  | 26.9 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | ND | 11.1 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 63.1 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 1.37 |  |  |  | 13C-1, 2,3,7,8-P |  | 54.3 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 2.09 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-P | CDF | 58.1 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 1.73 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8 | xCDF | 60.3 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 1.16 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8 | xCDF | 70.6 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND |  | 0.905 |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8 | xCDF | 67.0 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.768 |  |  |  | 13C-1, 2, , ,7,8,9 | xCDF | 62.8 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 1.22 |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,6,7$ | HpCDF | 53.2 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | 1.96 |  |  |  | 13C-1, 2, 3, 4,7,8 | HpCDF | 57.7 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 1.38 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDF |  | 32.9 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | ND | 7.76 |  |  | CR | 37Cl-2,3,7,8-T |  | 71.7 | 35-197 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | Footnotes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total TCDD ND 1.27 |  |  |  |  | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. <br> b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. <br> c. Method detection limit. <br> d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDD | ND | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | 2.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | ND | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total TCDF | 1.40 | 2.79 D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDF | ND | 3.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDF | ND | 0.905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | 2.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst: MAS |  |  |  |  | Approved By: Martha M. |  |  | 16-Mar-2005 13:33 |  |  |




## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
1 Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
*

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0455

| SENDING LABORATORY: | RECEIVING LABORATORY: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine | Alta Analytical |
| 17461 Derian Avemue. Suite 100 | 1104 Windfield Way 2585 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 | EI Dorado Hills, CA 95762 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 | Phone :(916) 933-1640 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 | Fax: (916) 933-0940 /. |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |  |

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $m>$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$

| Analysts |  | Explation | Commenta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sinmple 1D: IOCM55-01 | Water | : Sampled: 03/04/05 11:66 | Intant Noflication |
| 1613-Dioxin-HR |  | 03/11/05 11:06 | J fiags, 17 congeners, no TEQ, sub to Alta |
| EDD + Level 4 |  | 04/01/05 11:06 | Excel EDD email to prin, Include Std logs for Lvi IV |
| Containers Supplled: |  |  |  |
| 1 L Amber (IOC0455-01C) |  |  |  |
| 1 L Amber (10C0455-01D |  |  |  |

SAMPLE INTEGRITY:


## STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

## SAMPLE LOG-N CHECKLLST

ALTA Project No: 25857


Comments:

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0455



$$
\text { sampler }=R . B .
$$



CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA AMEC Earth \& Environmental

550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Dioxin\&Furans/1613

Package ID T711DF37
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 10
Date: April 4, 2005
Reviewer's Signature


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{2}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/linst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$
${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 10<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC1521-01 | $25935-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC1523-01 | $25936-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | $25940-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | $25937-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | $25938-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1561-01 | $25941-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | $25939-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | $25942-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | $25943-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | $25944-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples Outfall 001, Outfall 004, and Outfall 008 were received at Del Mar Analytical outside the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Due to non-volatile nature of the target compounds, no qualifications were required. The other samples were received with cooler temperatures within the limits. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank $(0,6624$ MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample ( 0 _6624_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

AMEC VALIDATED
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# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

# ANALYSIS: METALS <br> <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, <br> <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566 

 IOC1565, \& IOC1566}

## Prepared by

AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1524, IOC1525, IOC1564, IOC1565, \& IOC1566<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 30, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 005 | Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 006 | Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 008 | Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 003 | Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 009 | Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Outfall 008 was received above the temperature limit at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool prior to receipt at the laboratory, no qualifications were required. The remaining samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70$130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony was detected in every CCB in the analytical sequence in which Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 were analyzed. The detects ranged from 0.484 to $0.551 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and antimony was detected in Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 at concentrations below these values. The CCB detects indicated the laboratory could not detect antimony at the reported MDL. The reviewer raised the antimony MDL for Outfall 008 and Outfall 009 to the highest level of interference reported, $0.55 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and qualified the result as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Copper and cadmium were detected above the applicable reporting limit in the ICSA. Aluminum was recovered below the control limit in the all the ICSA and ICSAB analyses; however, as aluminum was not reported in the site samples, no qualifications were required. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples were identified as 5 C 21088 -BS1 and SC19038-BS1. The mercury LCS sample was identified as $5 \mathrm{C} 21082-\mathrm{BS}$. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. The RPD was wthin the control limit of $20 \%$ and no qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 005 for lead only. Both recoveries were within the AMEC control limits of $75-125 \%$ and no qualifications were required. For the remaining analytes, method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No:: | Multiple |

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, fumace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

# Del Mar Analytical 
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## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 009

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05
Issued: 03/31/05 09:28

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY DD
1OC1566-01

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 009

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: 10 Cl 566

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

| Analyte | METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted |  |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC1566-01 (Outfall 009 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.025 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 21088 | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C21082 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: 10 Cl 566 Sampled: 03/19/05
Report Number: 10 C 1566

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1566-01 (Outfall 009-Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5C20029 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 18 | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5C20029 | 0.075 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5C21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5C20029 | 0.90 | 1.0 | 66 | 2 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C21073 | 10 | 10 | 300 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C21068 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

Received: 03/19/05

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 1566 \quad$ Received: 03/19/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 009 (IOC1566-01)-Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 19 / 200511: 16$ | $03 / 19 / 200517: 30$ | $03 / 20 / 2005$ | $13: 30$ | $03 / 20 / 2005$ |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: 10 C 1566
Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## METMOD BLKNK/OCMATA

## METALS



[^14]| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: IOC1566 | Sampled: 03/19/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Received: 03/19/05 |  |
| Attention; Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Resuit |  | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C21088 Extracted: 03/21/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: $\mathbf{0 3 / 2 1 / 2 0 0 5}$ (5C21088-MS2) |  |  | Source: IOC1563-01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 87.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.68 | 109 | $70-130$ |
| Cadmium | 82.1 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug $/$ | 80.0 | 0.094 | 103 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 85.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 80.0 | 7.7 | 97 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 82.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.83 | 102 | $70-130$ |

Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21088-MSD1)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 88.8 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 0.45 | 110 | $70-130$ | 6 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 83.0 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / l$ | 80.0 | 0.025 | 104 | $70-130$ | 5 | 20 |
| Copper | 77.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 1.9 | 95 | $70-130$ | 1 | 20 |
| Lead | 81.3 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / l$ | 80.0 | ND | 102 | $70-130$ | 3 | 20 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 1566 \quad$| Sampled: 03/19/05 |
| ---: |
| Received: 03/19/05 |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20029 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20029-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N ND | 0.11 | 0.072 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20029-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride 4.65 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 93 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate 9.69 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Batch: 5 C 21062 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BS1) | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease $17.1$ | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  | $\therefore$ |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.0 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Brich: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 942 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: $10 C 1566$
Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## METHOD BLANKKQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10C1566-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |

Batch: 5C21073 Extracted: 03/21/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BLK1)


MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 566 \quad$ Sampled: 03/19/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC1566-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0 | 5.0 | 15 |
| 10C1566-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 18 | 0.50 | 150 |
| 10C1566-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO}^{2}+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.14 | 0.11 | 10.00 |
| 10C1566-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 66 | 1.0 | 250 |
| IOC1566-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | mg/ | 300 | 10 | 850 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

Report Number: IOC1566

Sampled: 03/19/05
Received: 03/19/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the
M-3 Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality. Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009<br>Report Number: $10 C 1566$

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Calforaia |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640<br>1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762<br>Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR<br>Samples: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 566-01$<br>Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4<br>Samples: 1OC1566-01

[^15]

# < Del MarAnalytical 

March 28,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project:
Routine Outfall 009
Sampled: 03/19/05
Del Mar Analytical Number; IOC1566

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Routine Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | $25944-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension
215 . 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL

Michele Harper
Project Manager

March 24, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25944
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,

Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 22, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1566". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier<br>Director of HRMS Services



# Section I: Sample Inventory Report Date Received: 3/22/2005 

## Alta Lab. ID

25944-001

## Client Sample ID

IOC1566-01

SECTION II

| Matrix: Aqueous <br> Sample Size: 1.000 L | QC Batch No.: <br> Date Extracted: |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Lab Sample: } & 0 \text {-OPR001 } \\ \text { Date Analyzed DB-5: } & \text { 23-Mar-05 }\end{array}$ |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 22-Mar-05 |  |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |  |
|  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Spike Conc. Conc. (ng/mL) |  |  | OPR Limits | Labeled Standard |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2,3,7,8-\text { TCDD } \\ 1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDD} \end{array}$ | 10.0 | 9.02 | \%R |  |  |  | LCL-UCL |  |
|  | 50.0 | 44.9 | 6.7-15.8 | IS $13 \mathrm{C}-2,3,7,8$-TCDD |  | 86.2 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,-HxCDD | 50.0 | 45.7 | $35-71$ 35.82 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8$-PeCDD | 83.6 | 25-181 |  |
|  | 50.0 | 47.1 | 35-82 |  | ${ }^{13 C-1,2,3,4,7,8-H x C D D}$ | 83.1 | 32-141 |  |
|  | 50.0 | 47.2 | 38-67 |  | ${ }^{13 C-1,2,3,6,7,8-H x C D D}$ | 90.5 | 28-130 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,2,3,7,8,9-\mathrm{HxCDD} \\ & 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDD} \end{aligned}$ | 50.0 | 49.7 | $32-81$ $35-70$ |  | 13C-1, 2, 3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 80.1 | 23-140 |  |
| $\left.\right\|_{\text {2,3.7.8-TCDF }}$ | 100 | 102 | $35-70$ $78-144$ |  | 13 C -OCDD | 60.0 | 17-157 |  |
|  | 10.0 | 9.28 | 78-144 $7.5-15.8$ |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 89.6 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 50.0 | 49.7 | 7.5-15.8 $40-67$ |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8$-PeCDF | 82.2 | 24-185 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 48.9 | 34-80 |  | ${ }^{13 C-2,3,4,7,-\mathrm{PeCDF}}$ | 86.0 | 21-178 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,-HxCDF | 50.0 | 52.4 | 36-67 |  | ${ }^{13 C-1,2,3,4,7,8-H x C D F}$ | 69.1 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.4 | $36-67$ $42-65$ |  | ${ }^{13 C-1,2,3,6,7,8-H x C D F}$ | 83.1 | 26-123 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF <br> $1,2,3,7,8,9-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ | 50.0 | 51.3 | 42.65 35.78 |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 80.9 | 28-136 |  |
|  | 50.0 | 51.3 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,--HxCDF | 77.1 | 29-147 |  |
|  | 50.0 | 54.0 | $39-65$ $41-61$ |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 77.1 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF OCDF | 50.0 | 53.2 |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-\mathrm{HpCDF}$ | 78.6 | 26-138 |  |
| OCDF | 100 | 103 | $\begin{aligned} & 39-69 \\ & 63-170 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDF}$ | 65.1 | 17-157 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 89.8 | 35-197 |  |
| Analyst: JMH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample ID: $\quad$ OC1566-01


APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P
*

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported
in wet weight. in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginis - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

SAMPLE LOG-AN CHECKLIST
ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$


Comments:


## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1566



Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$


## $259442.9^{\circ}$



# APPENDIX G 

Section 36
March Outfall 010
AMEC Data Validation Reports
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Reports

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Dioxin\&Furans/1613

Package ID T711DF38
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 3
Date: April 6, 2005
Reviewer's Signature


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted $\qquad$
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables $\qquad$
$\qquad$
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables $\qquad$
$\qquad$
6. Deviations from Analysis

Detects below the calibration range were qualified "J."
Protocol, e.g., $\square$
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance

## COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

## amec ${ }^{\text {© }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1817, IOC1818, IOC1819

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Multiple |
| Analysis: |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring
Contract Task Order \#: 313150010
Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple
Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine
Matrix: Water
Analysis: Dioxins/Furans
QC Level: Level IV
No. of Samples: 3
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Reviewer: H. Chang
Date of Review: April 6, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | SDG No.: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Multiple |  |
| Analysis: | D/F |  |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 010 | IOC1817-01C | $25954-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOC1818-01 | $25955-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 018 | IOC1819-01 | $25956-001$ | water | 1613 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All samples in these SDGs were received with cooler temperatures within the QC limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( 0 6631_MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample ( 0 6631_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
|  | SDG No.: |
| Multiple |  |
| Analysis: | D/F |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "I," however, as Alta analyzed an additional calibration standard, not all results below the lower MCL were appropriately qualified by the laboratory. These results were qualified as estimated, "J," by the reviewer. Total HpCDF in Outfall 010 was qualified as estimated since one of the total constituents was below the lower MCL even though total concentration was above the lower MCL. No further qualifications were required.



## Data Qualifier Reference Table

| Qualifier | Organics |
| :--- | :--- |

U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
$\mathrm{N} \quad$ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."

R
The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.

UJ The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.

The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit.

The associated value is an estimated quantity.

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

The data are unusable. (Note: Analyte may or may not be present).

## Qualification Code Reference Table



Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section 2.\#, "Data Validation Findings." The number following the asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ) will indicate the subsection where a description of the problem can be found (eg. *1 would indicate a sample was not within temperature limits).

Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section 2.\#, "Data Validation Findings." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the subsection where a description of the problem can be found (eg. ${ }^{*} 1$ would indicate a sample was not within temperature limits).

# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES
Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1817, IOC1818

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500

Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring

 Contract Task Order \#: $\quad 313150010,313150012$SDG\#: IOC1817, IOC1818
Project Manager: B. McIlvaine
Matrix: Water
Analysis: Metals
QC Level: Level IV
No. of Samples: 2
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Reviewer: K Okonzak-Lowry
Date of Review: March 31, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required $Q C$ criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | multiple |
|  |  | Analysis: |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 010 | Outfall 010 | IOC1817-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 007 | Outfall 007 | IOC1818-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | multiple |
|  | Analysis: | MET |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. The COCs listed duplicate samples for both site samples; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. The laboratory performed the required tune solution analyses. The \%RSDs for the tune were all within the $5 \%$ control limit. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | multiple |
|  | Analysis: | MET |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for the ICP/MS and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The applicable reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$, with the exception of the $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ standard for antimony, which was not detected by the instrument at the $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ antimony MDL. Therefore, the nondetected antimony result for sample Outfall 010 was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

The method blanks and bracketing ICBs/CCBs associated with the samples in these SDGs were nondetected at the laboratory MDL, with the exception of antimony for the ICP/MS method blank, 5C23123-BLK1, which was reported at $-0.43 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Therefore, the nondetected antimony for sample Outfall 010 was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further sample qualifications were required.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. The result for potassium was above the calibration range of the instrument in all the ICSA analysis. The aluminum recoveries were low for the ICSA/AB analyses at $79.3 \%$ and $76.5 \%$, respectively. The site sample matrix was low in aluminum; therefore, the low recovery for aluminum by the laboratory wouldn't have caused IEC miscalculations affecting the quantitation of the reported analytes. Copper and cadmium were detected at above the reporting limit in the ICSA analysis. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the level of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C23123-BS1, and the mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C24056-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

The MS/MSD analyses were performed for the ICP/MS analysis only on sample Outfall 010, in association with the samples in these SDGs. The \%RPDs for the reported analytes were within the $20 \%$ control limit, and no sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | multiple |
|  | Analysis: | MET |

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

The MS/MSD analyses were performed for the ICP/MS analysis only on sample Outfall 010, in association with the samples in these SDGs. The \%Rs were within the AMEC 75-125\% control limit, and no sample qualifications were required. The mercury method accuracy was evaluated based on the LCS result.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analysis was performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDGNo.: | multiple |
|  | Analysis: | MET |

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.






DRAFT: METALS
Analyte
Method

## Sample ID: 1OC1817-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 010 - Water) Reporting Uaits: ag/l

Antinony
Cadmium
Copper
Lead
Mercury

| EPA 200.8 | 5 | 018 |  |  |  |  |  | Rev Qual |  | Qual Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 200.8 | 5C23123 | 0.015 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 032305 | 03,2405 | $4 J$ |  | *3, 8 |
| EPA 200.8 | 502312 | 0.43 | 2.0 | 0.086 | 1 | 0323:05 | 032405 | J | J | $D N Q$ |
| EPA 200.8 | 5 C 2314 s | 0.13 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1 | 03,23:05 | 03.2403 |  |  |  |
| EPA 245: | $5 C 24056$ | 0.063 | 0.20 | V1.6 | 1 | 0323/05 | 03:2405 |  |  |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED

Level IV

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 010

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05
Issued: 04/05/05 12:08

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, l page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

LABORATORY ID
IOC1817-01

CLIENT ID
Outfall 010

MATRIX
Water

Reviewed By:


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: $03 / 23 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 1817$ | Received: 03/23/05 |

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1817-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5C23123 | 0.18 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 23123 | 0.015 | 1.0 | ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{ND}}$ | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 23123 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 0.086 3.9 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 | J |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 23123 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 C 24056 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |

[^16]17461Derian Ave., Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 FAX (949) 260-3297 1014 E. Cooley Dr.; Suite A, Cotion, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (949) 370-1046 344 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA.92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51 st St., Sutte B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-362

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/23/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 Cl 1817 | Received: $03 / 23 / 05$ |

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1817-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 23116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 23116 | 0.15 0.075 | 0.50 | 6.1 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5 C 25043 | 0.075 0.94 | 0.26 5.0 | 0.092 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 | J |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5C23116 | 0.94 0.45 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C23106 | 0.45 10 | 0.50 | 2.3 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/24/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C24086 | 10 | 10 10 | 79 | 1 | 03/23/05 | 03/23/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: 10 C 1817

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 010 (IOC1817-01) - Water <br> EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 23 / 200509: 28$ | $03 / 23 / 200518: 36$ | $03 / 23 / 2005$ | $23: 00$ | $03 / 24 / 2005$ |

## MWH-Pasadena/Boeing

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: IOC1817 Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## METHOD BLANKKOC DATA

## METALS



Blank Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |

LCS Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-BS1)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 85.8 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 107 |
| Cadmium | 80.4 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |
| Copper | 85.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 100 |
| Lead | 82.1 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 107 |
|  |  |  | $85-115$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 85 |  |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C1817-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 81.9 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 102 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadmum | 78.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.086 | 99 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 85.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 3.9 | 101 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.6 | 103 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C23123-MSD1) Source: IOC1817-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 83.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 80.5 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.086 | 101 | 70-130 | 2 |  |
| Copper | 86.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 80.0 | 3.9 | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Lead | 86.4 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.6 | 106 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |

## Batch: 5C24056 Extracted: 03/24/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/24/2005 (5C24056-BLK1)
Mercury
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { ND } & 0.20 & 0.063 & u g / 1\end{array}$

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Report Number: 1OC1817
```

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## METHOD BLIANKOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C24056 Extracted: 03/24/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: 10 C 1817

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## MEIHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
```

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: 10 Cl 1817 Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## MITIIOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: 10 C 1817

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## Compliance Check

The results obtained from the analytical testing of this data set were checked against compliance limits received from the client. Any results at or above the compliance limits appear in bold on this page.

| LabNumber | Analysis | Analyte | Units | Result | MRL | Compliance Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1OC1817-01 | 413.1 Oil and Grease | Oil \& Grease | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.47 | 5.0 |  |
| 10C1817-01 | Chloride - 300.0 | Chloride | $\mathrm{mg} /{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.47 6.10 | 5.0 0.50 | 15 150 |
| 10C1817-01 | Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | Nitrate/Nitrite-N | mg/l | 0.092 | 0.26 | 10.00 |
| 10C1817-01 | Sulfate-300.0 | Sulfate | mg/l | 2.30 2.30 | 0.26 0.50 | 10.00 250 |
| 10C1817-01 | TDS - SM 2540C | Total Dissolved Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 79 | 10 | 250 850 |

[^17]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: 10 Cl 1817 Sampled: 03/23/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

J

## M-NR1

 Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality. There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank SpikeDuplicate.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD
Relative Percent Difference

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper<br>Project Manager 1014 E. Cooley Dr., Suite A, Cotton, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX (949) 370-1046 9484 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St., Sulte B-120, Phoerix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010
Report Number: 10 C 1817

Sampled: 03/23/05
Received: 03/23/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Methed | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by
contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at wwwwalabs contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOC1817-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: IOC1817-01

[^18]CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM
 Project:
Boeing-SSFL NPDES
Routine Outfall 010

N -CON +EON ' $\quad$ OS ' 10
$\qquad$



| $3+t l e{ }^{*}$ <br> $\#$ <br> $1 A$ <br> $1 B$$\|$ |
| :---: |

$2 A, 2 B$
3A, BB
$4 \mathrm{~A}, 4 \mathrm{~B}$
SA, sB
$\square$
$-$
-

Project Manager: Bronwyn Kelly
sampler: 'Jo ULOCK

- block

| Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | \(\begin{gathered}Sample <br>

Matrix\end{gathered} $$
\begin{gathered}\text { Container } \\
\text { Type }\end{gathered}
$$\)

HMO
None
HCl
None
None

-
$\square$
T
-
$-$
$-$
$\square$
$\square$
$\square$
$\cdots$
$\rightarrow$

00
Poly -1L

| Outfall 010 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Glass- } \\ \text { Amber }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outfall 010 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Glass- } \\ \text { Amber }\end{array}$ |
| Outfall 010 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Poly-500 } \\ \text { ml }\end{array}$ |
| Outfall 010 | W | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Poly-500 } \\ \text { ml }\end{array}$ |

1
1
$-$
1
1
1
$\square$
$\qquad$

Client Name/Address:
MWH-Pasadena
300 North Lake Avenue
Pasadena. CA 91101

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Description }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Matrix }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 010 | W |


| Outfall $010-$ W <br> Dup  |
| :--- | :--- |

$+$



8


Sample nategraty: (Check) Date Time: 353
$3 / 23$ 05 1535


# $<$ Del MarAnalytical 

March 31, 2005

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

| Attention: | Bronwyn Kelly |
| :--- | :--- |
| Projects: | Routine Outfall 010 <br> Sampled: $03 / 23 / 05$ <br>  |

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table for reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 010 | IOC1817-01 | 25954-001 |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL
Michelelethy
Project Manager

March 28, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 25954

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 25, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1817". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maser
Director of HRMS Services


# Section I: Sample Inventory Report 

Date Received: 3/25/2005

Alta Lab. ID
25954-001

## Client Sample ID

IOC1817-01C

## SECTION II

Method Blank


Sample ID: IOC1817-01C


APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.
*
Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

## CURRENT CERTIFICATIONS

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)

## Department of the Navy

## U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$


## Comments:




## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1817




# APPENDIX G 

## Section 37

March Outfall 011
AMEC Data Validation Reports
Del Mar Analytical Laboratory Reports

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer K. Shadowlight
Analysis/Method Dioxins

## ACTION ITEMS

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables.
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.
Holding Times
GC/MS TuneInst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^19]
## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

# ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |
| Analysis: |  |
| D/F |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 6<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: March 21, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
|  | Analysis: | D/F |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC0447-01 | $25853-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC0449-01 | $25854-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC0455-01 | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC0451-01 | $25855-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 007 | IOC0453-01 | $25856-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | $25852-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | NPDES <br> SDG No.: <br> Multiple <br> Analysis: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar. Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  |  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |  |
| 2.3 CALIBRATION | Analysis: | Multiple |
| C/F |  |  |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2 .1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (6593-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. Total TCDF was reported at $1.4 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ and target compound $1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ was reported as an EMPC. The results for total TCDF in samples Outfall 003 and Outfall 011 were qualified as estimated nondetects "UJ," at the levels of interference. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6593-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
|  | Analysis: | D/F |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613.
No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, " J ." The result for total TCDF in sample Outfall 003 was flagged by the laboratory with a "D" qualifier which indicated possible diphenylether interference; however, the result was qualified as a nondetect due to method blank contamination and no qualifications were required. No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT65
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC0448
No. of Analyses 1
Date: 03/31/05
Reviewefs Signature
P.Mels

## ACIION TREMS

1. Case Narrative Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Condacted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification
and Quantitation
System Performance

| Qualifications applied for detects below the reporting limit. |
| :--- |


| COMMENTS $^{\text {b }}$, |  |
| :---: | :---: |

[^20]- Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


# amec ${ }^{9}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0448

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0448<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: March 31, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), SW-846 Method 6010B for Inductively Coupled Plasma, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the sample and analyses presented in this SDG. A duplicate was submitted for Outfall 011; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The date of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All \%RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for the ICP/MS metals and $80-$ $120 \%$ for mercury. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | MET |

### 2.4 BLANKS

There were no reported detects in the CCBs or method blanks associated with the site sample. No qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Copper was detected above the reporting limit in the ICSA. The results for sodium was above the calibration range of the instrument in the ICSA and ICSAB analyses; however, as sodium was not reported in the site sample, no qualifications were required. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C08106-BS1 and the mercury LCS sample was identified as 5 C $09049-\mathrm{BS} 1$. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 011 for the ICP/MS analytes only. The RPDs were within the control limit of $20 \%$ and no qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 011 for the ICP/MS analytes only. The recoveries were within the AMEC control limits of $75-125 \%$ and no qualifications were required. Mercury method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site sample and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Lead detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site sample.
MWH-Pasadena Beoing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 CO 448

Sampled: 03:04/05
Received: 03i04:05

## DRAFT: METALS



## AMEC VALIDATED



## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
Reviewer L. Calvin
Analysis/Method Pesticides (a-BHC) by Method 608

Package ID T711PP26
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. $10 B 1014$
No. of Analyses 1
Date: April 6, 2005



# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: PESTICIDES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOB0448

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{gathered} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOB0448 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Pest |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOB0448<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: PCBs<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: L. Calvin<br>Date of Review: April 6, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures (DVP-4, Rev.2), EPA Method 608, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary form as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.


Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOB2066-01 | water | 608 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG: | IOB0448 |
| Analysis: | Pest |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COC noted that the sample containers were received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the sample was couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water sample was extracted within seven days of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 PESTICIDES INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

No resolution check standards or breakdown check standards are required by Method 608 for pesticides, and according to the raw data provided, a resolution check standard was not analyzed by the laboratory. The laboratory did analyze a breakdown check standard; however, as alpha-BHC was the only compound of interest, the breakdown check standard was not necessary. A review of the raw data indicated that the analytical run time was of sufficient length to provide adequate standard separation. The two analytical columns used in the analyses were within the guidelines specified in the methods.

According to the laboratory SOP and the initial calibration raw data, the retention time windows are $\pm 0.10$ minutes for both surrogates and alpha-BHC calibration standards. A review of the raw data indicated that the laboratory retention time criteria were met for the surrogates and pesticide calibration standards. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Analytical Sequence

Based on the data provided, the analytical sequences were in accordance with the requirements of Method 608. No qualifications were required.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG: <br> Analysis: | NPDES <br> IOB0448 <br> Pest |

### 2.3.2 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration dated 03/02/05 associated with this SDG, which consisted of six-point calibrations for alpha-BHC on two analytical columns. The laboratory provided an overlay of the sample chromatogram and the pesticide standard for identification purposes. The \%RSD was within the EPA Method 608 QC limit of $\leq 10 \%$ on channel B, and the $r^{2}$ was $\geq 0.995$ on channel A. An ICV was analyzed immediately following the initial calibration. The $\% \mathrm{D}$ for alphaBHC was within the QC limit of $\leq 15 \%$ on both analytical columns. The \%RSD, $r^{2}$, and ICV \%D for alpha-BHC were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.3 Continuing Calibration

The sample analysis of this SDG was bracketed by the daily ICV and two closing continuing calibration standards. The applicable \%Ds were within the Method QC limit of $\leq 15 \%$ for all calibrations. A representative number of \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

### 2.4.1 Instrument Blanks

An instrument blank was analyzed at the beginning of the analytical sequence. Crosscontamination was not evident in the sample. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.4.2 Method Blanks

One water method blank ( 5 C 07057 -BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. Target compound alpha-BHC was not detected in the method blank. Review of the chromatograms showed no false negative. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C07057-BS1/BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. The recoveries for alpha-BHC were within the laboratory-established QC limits of $45-115 \%$ and the RPD was $\leq 30 \%$. The recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample and all QC samples were fortified with the surrogate compounds decachlorobiphenyl and tetrachloro-m-xylene. Surrogate recoveries for all analyses were within the laboratory-established QC limits. The recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Project: <br>
SDG:

 

NPDES <br>
IOBO448 <br>
Pest
\end{tabular}

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses associated with this SDG. Accuracy and precision were assessed based on the blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 SAMPLE CLEANUP PERFORMANCE

According to the laboratory extraction benchsheet, no cleanups were performed on the water sample. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with the sample in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with the sample in this SDG.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for alpha-BHC by EPA Method 608. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for the sample in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for this SDG; however, as there were no reported detects, quantitation was verified by recalculating blank spike and surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and the laboratory MDL study. The reporting limit for alpha-BHC was not adjusted for sample amount on the result summary; however, the dilution factor listed on the summary reflected the sample volume extracted. Results were reported in ug/L (ppb). No qualifications were required.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Rourine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 0448$

Sampled: 03/04:05
Received: 03/04:05

## DRAFT: ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date |  | Data ulifier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC0448-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Cnits: ug/I <br> Sampled: 03/04/05 <br> alpha-BHC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  | $56 \%$ | 0.943 | 03.07:05 | /08/05 | $u$ |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xplene (35-120\%) |  |  |  | 41\% |  |  |  |  |  |

# AMEC VALIDATED <br>  

| CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID T711SV43 |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. IOC0448 |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses 1 |
| Laboratory Del Mar | Date: April 6, 2005 |
| Reviewer M. Pokorny | Revieque's Signature |
| Analysis/Method Semivolatiles | W.an |


| ACTION ITEMS* |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Case Narrative Deficiencies |  |
|  |  |
| 2. Out of Scope Analyses |  |
|  |  |
| 3. Analyses Not Conducted |  |
| 4. Missing Hardcopy Deliverables |  |
|  |  |
| 5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables |  |
| 6. Deviations from Analysis <br> Protocol, e.g., <br> Holding Times <br> GC/MS Tune/Inst. Perform <br> Calibrations <br> Blanks <br> Surrogates <br> Matrix Spike/Dup LCS <br> Field QC <br> Intemal Standard Performance <br> Compound Identification and <br> Quantitation <br> System Performance | Qualifications were required for calibration and LCS outliers and for blank contamination. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required. |  |

## amec ${ }^{8}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: SEMIVOLATILES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0448

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATIONREPORT | SDG: | IOCO448 |
| SVOC |  |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring
Contract Task Order \#: 313150010
SDG\#: IOC0448
Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine
Matrix: Water
Analysis: Semivolatiles
QC Level: Level IV
No. of Samples: 1
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Reviewer: M. Pokorny
Date of Review: April 6, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Semivolatile Organics (DVP-3, Rev. 2), EPA Method 625, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPDES } \\ & 10 C 0448 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | SVOC |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | water | 625 |



## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COC noted that the sample was received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the sample was couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water sample was extracted within seven days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The DFTPP tunes met the criteria specified in Method 625, and the sample was analyzed within 12 hours of the DFTPP injection time. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration associated with this SDG was dated 03/15/05. The average RRFs for were $\geq 0.05$ and the $\%$ RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ or $\mathrm{r}^{2} \geq 0.995$ for all target compounds. A representative number of average RRFs and \%RSDs were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. The continuing calibration associated with the sample analysis was analyzed $03 / 16 / 05$. The RRFs for all target compounds were $\geq 0.05$, and the $\%$ Ds were $\leq 20$ except for the $\% \mathrm{D}$ for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ," in the sample of this SDG. A representative number of RRFs, $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values, and \%Ds were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (5C05021-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. Bis(2ethylhexyl)phthalate was reported in the method blank. The bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate detect for the sample was qualified as a nondetect, "U." Review of the raw data indicated no reportable false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> SDG: | NPDES <br> IOC0448 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | SVOC |

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike ( 5 C05021-BSI) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. All percent recoveries were within the laboratory QC limits, except for the recovery below the QC limits for 2,4-dinitrotoluene. The sample of this SDG had 2,4-dinitrotoluene qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." A representative number of recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were calculated from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were associated with this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy and precision was based on blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples.

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The internal standard area counts and retention times were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $-50 \% /+100 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 30$ seconds for retention times. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG: | IOC 0448 |
| SVOC |  |  |

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for five semivolatile target compounds by EPA Method 625. Review of the sample chromatogram, retention times, and spectra indicated no problems with target compound identification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. No calculation or transcription errors were found. The reporting limits were supported by the low level of the initial and the method detection limit study. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

TICs were not reported by the laboratory for this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Review of the raw data indicated no problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 0448$

Sampled: 03/04:05
Received: 03:04:05

## DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



## A



## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer M. Pokorny
Analysis/Method Volatiles

Package ID T711VO75
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC0448
No. of Analyses 2
Date: April 6, 2005
Revieyersspnature

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{-}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Qualifications were required for calibration outlier.
Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GCMS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract andor method requirements.
${ }^{\text {* }}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: VOLATILES

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0448

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: <br> SDG: | NPDES <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT <br> IOCO448 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| VOC |  |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Titte: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0448<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Volatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: M. Pokorny<br>Date of Review: April 6, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Volatile Organics (DVP-2, Rev. 2), EPA Method 624, SW846 Method 8260B, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary forms as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: <br> DAT: <br> SALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> IOCO448 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SDG: |  |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOB0448-01 | water | 624 |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOB0448-02 | water | 624 |


|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :---: | :---: |
| SDG: | NPDES |
| IOC0448 |  |
| Analys: | VOC |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were properly preserved. The COCs noted that the samples were received intact; however, information regarding absence of headspace was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analyses presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory from the field, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were analyzed within seven days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The ion abundance windows shown on the quantitation reports were consistent with those specified in EPA Method 624, and all ion abundances were within the established windows. The samples and associated QC were analyzed within 12 hours of the BFB injection times. The Form Vs were verified from the raw data and no discrepancies between the summary forms and the raw data were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

One initial calibration dated 02/19/05 was associated with this SDG. The average RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ for all compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The $\%$ RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ for all target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. There was one continuing calibration dated 03/07/05 associated with the sample analyses in these SDGs. The RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ in the continuing calibration. The $\% \mathrm{D}$ for trichlorofluoromethane exceeded $20 \%$ in the continuing calibration; therefore, the nondetect for trichloroflouromethane was qualified as estimated, "UJ," in sample Outfall 011. No qualifications were required for the Trip Blank. The \%Ds were $\leq 20 \%$ for the remaining target compounds listed on the result summaries. A representative number of \%RSDs and average RRFs from the initial calibrations, and \%Ds and RRFs from the continuing calibrations were recalculated from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | :---: |
| NPDES |  |
| IOCO448 |  |
| IDG: |  |

### 2.4 BLANKS

One water method blank (5C07026-BLK1) was associated with the sample analyses. There were no detects above the MDLs for the target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The method blank raw data showed no evidence of false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One water blank spike ( $5 \mathrm{C} 07026-\mathrm{BS} 1$ ) was associated with the sample analyses. All recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The surrogates were recovered within the QC limits of $80-120 \%$ in the samples and associatedQC. A representative number of surrogate recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses associated with this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on blank spike results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Trip Blanks

Sample Trip Blank (IOC0448-02) was the trip blank associated with the site sample. There were no target compounds detected above the MDLs in the trip blank. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.3 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

Internal standard area counts and retention times for the samples in this SDG were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $+100 \% /-50 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 0.50$ minutes for retention times. A representative number of internal standard areas and retention times were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Target compound identification was verified at a Level IV data validation. The laboratory analyzed volatile target compounds by EPA Method 624. Chromatograms, retention times, and spectra for the samples and QC were examined and no target compound identification problems were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. The reporting limits were supported by the lowest concentrations of the initial calibration standards and by the MDL study. Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries from the raw data. Results were reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ (ppb). No calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

The laboratory did not provide TICs for this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

A review of the chromatograms and other raw data showed no identifiable problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.
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## ACTION ITEMS

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from $\quad$ Qualifications applied for detects below the reporting limit.

Analysis Protocol, egg.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification
and Quantitation
System Performance

| Qualifications applied for detects below the reporting limit. |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^21]
# amec ${ }^{9}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS<br>\section*{SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0448}

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC0448<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>Reviewer: L. Jarusewic<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 350.2, 405.1, 300.0, 335.2, 413.1, 160.2, 160.5, 120.1, and 180.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-C and SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | Water | General Minerals |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for all analyses presented in this SDG. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the date of collection with the dates of analyses. The 28 -day analytical holding time for ammonia, chloride, sulfate, conductivity, and oil and grease, the 14 -day holding time for cyanide, the seven-day holding time for total suspended solids and total dissolved solids, and the 48 -hour holding time for turbidity, biological oxygen demand, nitrate/nitrite, surfactants, and total settleable solids were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. For ammonia, no information regarding the standardization of the titrant was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. For BOD, no information regarding the calibration of the oxygen meter was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. The total cyanide reporting limit check standard was recovered within the control limits of $70-130 \%$. Calibration is not applicable to oil and grease, total dissolved solids, total suspended solids, or total settleable solids. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Turbidity was detected in method blank 5C05047-BLK1 at 0.050 NTU; however, the method blank result was insufficient to qualify the Outfall 011 result. Oil and grease was detected in method blank $5 C 09091-$ BLK1 at $1.70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; however, as oil and grease was not detected in Outfall 011 , no qualifications were required. The remaining method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the sample were nondetects at the reporting limit. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (BOD and oil and grease only) recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits. The LCS is not applicable to turbidity or settleable solids. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in this SDG.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION OC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. BOD and surfactant detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCO448 |
| Analysis: | General Minerals |  |

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with this SDG.

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Routine Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOC0448 | Received: 03/04/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



Sample ID: 1OC0448-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 - Water)
Reporting Units: umhos/em
Specific Conductance
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { EPA } 120.1 & 5 C 09097 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 250 & 1 & 03 / 09 / 05 & 03 / 09 / 05\end{array}$

## AMEC VALIDAIE

 LEVEL IV> sinatyen Not Wry:

DRAFT REPORT<br>DRAFT REPORT<br>DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
Reviewer L. Jarusewic
Analysis/Method Perchlorate

Package ID T711 WCl06
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC0448
No. of Analyses 1
Date: 04/04/05


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{\circ}$

1. Case Narrative Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

## Deliverables

6. Deviations from Qualifications applied for CCV recovered below control limits.

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix SpikeDup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification and Quantitation
System Performance $\square$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |

[^22]
## amec ${ }^{9}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: PERCHLORATE SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0448

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: . 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC0448<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Perchlorate<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>Reviewer: L. Jarusewic<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 314.0, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " R " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Perchlorate |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA DD | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | Water | Perchlorate |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Perchlorate |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation and no preservation was noted in the field. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the sample and analysis presented in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding time was assessed by comparing the date of collection with the date of analysis. The 28day analytical holding time for perchlorate was met, and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration correlation coefficient was $\geq 0.995$. The IPC-MA recovery was within the control limits of $80-120 \%$. The ICV and IPC recoveries were within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. A bracketing CCV was recovered below the control limits of $90-110 \%$; therefore, nondetected perchlorate was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the sample were nondetects at the reporting limit. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recovery was within the method control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analysis presented in this SDG.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0448 |

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or duplicate analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analysis presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form I was verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with this SDG.

MWI-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outrall 0il
Sampled: 03/0405
Report Number: $10 C 0448$
Received: 03/0405

## DRAFT: INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result |  | Date Extracted | Date Analyz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC0448-01 (DRA Reporting Units: mg/l | ffall 011 | $\mathrm{er})-\mathrm{co}$ |  |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5007070 | 0.30 | 0.50 | ND |  | 03:07/05 | 03/07:05 |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5 C 04095 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 0.76 |  | 03/04/05 | 03:09:05 |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.26 | 0.50 | 8.8 |  | 03:04:05 | 03/05:05 |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.21 |  | 03/04/05 | 03/05:05 |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | $5 \mathrm{C09091}$ | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND |  | 03/09105 | 03/09:05 |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{C04107}$ | 0.18 | 0.50 | 24 |  | 03104/05 | $03 / 0505$ |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | SM5540-C | 5 C 04119 | 0.044 | 0.10 | 0.077 |  | 03704/05 | 03/04:05 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 C 09095 | 10 | 10 | 170 |  | 03/09/05 | 03/09.05 |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5007073 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07:05 |

Sample ID: YOC0448-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011-Water)
Reporting Units: m//hr
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Total Settleable Solids } & : & \text { EPA 160.5 } & 5 \mathrm{C} 04096 & 0.10 & 0.10 & \text { ND } & 1 & 03 / 04 / 05 & 03 / 04 / 05\end{array}$
Sample ID: 1OC0448-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 - Water) Reporting Units: NTU
Turbidity
EPA 180.1 5 C05047 0.040
$\begin{array}{lllll}1.0 & 4.5 & 1 & 03 / 05: 05 & 03: 05 / 05\end{array}$
Sample ID: 1OC0448-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 - Water) Reporting Uaits: ug 1
Total Cyande
Perchlorate
EPA $3352 \quad 5 C 09062 \quad 22$
Sampled: 03/04/05

Sample ID: 1OC0448-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 - Water) Reporting Units: umhosicm
Specific Conductance
EPA 120.1 50090971.0
Sampled: 03/04/05
Sampled: 03/04/05
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# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 011

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05
Issued: 04/07/05 19:22

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, 1 page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

## LABORATORY ID

IOC0448-01
IOC0448-02

CLIENT ID
Outfall 011
Trip Blank

MATRIX
Water
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager
Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/04/05
Report Number: IOC0448
Received: 03/04/05

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

Department: Extractions
Method: EPA 625
QC Batch: 5C05021

Identification and Definition of Problem:
Dimethylphalate, 2,4-dinitrotoluene, 2,6-dinitrotoluene, and 1,2-diphenylhydrazine/azobenzene recoveries were below acceptance limits in the Blank Spike.

Determination of the Cause of the Problem:
Less than optimal extraction technique is the likely cause for the failures.

## Corrective Action Taken:

Samples could not be reextracted due to expiration of hold times. Samples were 'ND' for affected analytes. All samples and Blank Spike were flagged with 'L2' qualifier.


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011<br>Report Number: IOC0448<br>Sampled: 03/04/05<br>Received: 03/04/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Methed | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C0448-01 (Outfall 011 - Water) |  |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: uga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 07026 | 0.28 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5007026 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| 12.Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5007026 | 0.32 | 3.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/08/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $109 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 112\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene $180-120 \%$ ) |  |  |  |  | 106\% |  |  |  |  |
| Sample 1D: 10 C0448-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 07026 | 0.28 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5 C 07026 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloreethane | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.32 | 3.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 07026 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5007026 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C07026}$ | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 07026 | 0.26 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5C07026 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/07/05 | 03/07/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $108 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 111\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $105 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: $10 C 0448$ | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Received: 03/04/05 |  |

Received: 03/04/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor <br> Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Qualifiers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: IOC0448

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)




MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| Report Number: $10 C 0448$ | Received: 03/04/05 |

Received: 03/04/05

INORGANICS


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 0448 Received: 03/04/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Recefved | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 011 (1OC0448-01) - Water |  |  | $03 / 04 / 200511: 44$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 200518: 30$ | $03 / 04 / 200519: 30$ |
| EPA 160.5 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200511: 44$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 05 / 200515: 30$ | $03 / 05 / 200515: 30$ |  |
| EPA 180.1 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200511: 44$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 200523: 00$ | $03 / 05 / 200500: 24$ |  |
| EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200511: 44$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 200520: 31$ | $03 / 09 / 200518: 40$ |  |
| EPA 405.1 | 2 | $03 / 04 / 200511: 44$ | $03 / 04 / 200517: 50$ | $03 / 04 / 200519: 18$ | $03 / 04 / 2005$ | $22: 51$ |
| SM5540-C |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 0448

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## MITHOL BLANKICCDATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

Analyte Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C07026 Extracted: 03/07/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07026-BLK1)

| Benzene | ND |
| :--- | :---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND |
| Chloroform | ND |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND |
| Ethylbenzene | ND |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND |
| Toluene | ND |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND |
| Trichloroethene | ND |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND |
| Vinyl chloride | ND |
| Xylenes, Total | ND |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.2 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 27.7 |
| Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene | 27.0 |

LCS Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07026-BS1)

| Benzene | 27.0 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 108 | 70-120 | M-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 28.7 | 5.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 115 | 70-140 |  |
| Chloroform | 28.2 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | 113 | 75-130 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 28.3 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | 113 | 70-135 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.6 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 106 | 60-150 | M-3 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 29.2 | 3.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 117 | 75-135 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 28.2 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | 113 | 80-120 | M-3 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 26.8 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 107 | 75-125 |  |
| Tofuene | 27.4 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | 110 | 75-120 | M-3 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 28.4 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 114 | 75-140 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 26.0 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/1 | 25.0 | 104 | 70-125 |  |
| Trichloroethene | 27.8 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 111 | 80-120 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 28.7 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugh | 25.0 | 115 | 65-145 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | 31.8 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 127 | 50-130 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.2 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 | 109 | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 27.8 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 | 111 | 80-120 |  |

[^23]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
$\begin{array}{lr} & \text { Sampled: 03/04/05 } \\ \text { Report Number: } 10 \mathrm{C} 0448 & \text { Received: 03/04/05 }\end{array}$

## method blankec data

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C07026 Extracted.03/07/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07026-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 1OC0391-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 20.7 | 5.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 83 | 70-145 |  |  |  |
| Chloroform | 26.2 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 105 | 70-135 |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 25.9 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 104 | 65-135 |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 27.6 | 3.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | 1.7 | 104 | 65-140 |  |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | 30.9 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | 0.54 | 121 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25.0 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 75-140 |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 31.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 2.1 | 118 | 60-135 |  |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | 111 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 25.0 | 94 | 68 | 70-125 |  |  | M2 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 24.0 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 55-145 |  |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | 392 | 50 | 0.26 | ugh | 25.0 | 14 | 101 | 40.135 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane: | 265 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 106 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 27.1 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 |  | 108 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 32.1 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 128 | 80-120 |  |  | $Z X$ |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/07/2005 (5C07026-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10C0391-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 19.4 | 5.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 78 | 70-145 | 6 | 25 |  |
| Chioroform | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 105 | 70-135 | 0 | 20 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 25.3 | 2.0 | 0.27 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 65-135 | 2 | 20 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 28.6 | 3.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | 1.7 | 108 | 65-140 | 4 | 20 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | 29.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | 0.54 | 116 | 70-130 | 5 | 20 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 24.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 75-140 | 2 | 20 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 30.3 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 2.1 | 113 | 60-135 | 4 | 25 |  |
| Trichloroethene | 113 | 5.0 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | 94 | 76 | 70-125 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 23.5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 55-145 | 2 | 25 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | 41.2 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 14 | 109 | 40-135 | 5 | 30 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.1 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 104 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 27.2 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 109 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 30.2 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 25.0 |  | 121 | 80-120 |  |  | ZX |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avemue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011<br>Report Number: $10 C 0448$<br>Sampled: 03/04/05<br>Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL. | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C05021 Extracted: 03/05/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C05021-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 1.56 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 9.0 | 0.23 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | ND | 8.0 | 0.22 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | ND | 8.0 | 0.78 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ND | 6.0 | 0.10 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 11.2 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 56 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 12.2 |  |  | $u g /$ | 20.0 |  | 61 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 12.5 |  |  | $u g /$ | 20.0 |  | 62 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.22 |  |  | ugh | 10.0 |  | 62 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 9.30 |  |  | $u g /$ | 10.0 |  | 93 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-dI4 | 6.90 |  |  | $u g /$ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCSAnalyzed, 03/16/2005 (5C05021-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 8.28 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 83 | 60-130 |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 5.18 | 9.0 | 0.23 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 52 | 60-120 |  |  | $L 2, J$ |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 6.50 | 8.0 | 0.22 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 65 | 40-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Pentachlorophenol | 7.04 | 8.0 | 0.78 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 70 | 50-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 7.68 | 6.0 | 0.10 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 77 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 11.6 |  |  | $u g /$ | 20.0 |  | 58 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 12.2 |  |  | $w g / l$ | 20.0 |  | 61 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 12.9 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 64 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surragate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.24 |  |  | $u g h$ | 10.0 |  | 62 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.60 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 6.86 |  |  | $u g /$ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Splke Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C05021-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C0241-05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 7.63 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ | 9.66 | 2.9 | 49 | 60-130 |  |  | M2 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 5.70 | 9.0 | 0.23 | ug/l | 9.66 | ND | 59 | 60-120 |  |  | M2, J |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 5.74 | 8.0 | 0.22 | ug/l | 9.66 | ND | 59 | 40-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Pentachlorophenol | 7.42 | 8.0 | 0.78 | ug/ | 9.66 | ND | 77 | 45-130 |  |  | $J$ |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 7.40 | 6.0 | 0.10 | ug/l | 9.66 | ND | 77 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 10.5 |  |  | ug/l | 19.3 |  | 54 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 10.7 |  |  | ug/l | 19.3 |  | 55 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 12.3 |  |  | ug/ | 19.3 |  | 64 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 5.60 |  |  | ugh | 9.66 |  | 58 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 5.49 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 9.66 |  | 57 | 45-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 0448
Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## method blankgc data

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |  |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C05021 Extracted: 03/05/05

| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C05021-MS1) |  |  |  | Source: 10C0241-05 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | M2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surrogate; Terphenyl-d14 | 5.95 |  |  | ug/ | 9.66 |  | 62 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C05021-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 1OC0241-05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 8.04 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ugh | 9.71 | 2.9 | 53 | 60-130 |  | 20 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 6.49 | 9.0 | 0.23 | ugh | 9.71 | ND | 67 | 60-120 | 13 | 25 | $J$ |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 5.94 | 8.0 | 0.22 | ugh | 9.71 | ND | 61 | 40-120 | 3 | 20 | $J$ |
| Pentachlorophenol | 8.19 | 8.0 | 0.78 | ugh | 9.71 | ND | 84 | 45-130 | 10 | 25 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.21 | 6.0 | 0.10 | ugh | 9.71 | ND | 85 | 60-120 | 10 | 20 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 10.6 |  |  | ug/ | 19.4 |  | 55 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 11.4 |  |  | ug/ | 19.4 |  | 59 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 13.4 |  |  | ug/l | 19.4 |  | 69 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 5.84 |  |  | $u g /$ | 9.71 |  | 60 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 5.77 |  |  | $4 \mathrm{~g} /$ | 971 |  | 59 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 6.52 |  |  | ugh | 9.71 |  | 67 | 45-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 0448 Received: 03/04/05

## METIOD BLANKGC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reperting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C07057 Extracted: 03/07/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C07057-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | ND | 0.010 | 0.0010 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.420 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 84 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.340 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 68 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C07057-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| alpha-BHC | 0.392 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 78 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.415 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 83 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.334 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 |  | 67 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/08/2005 (5C07057-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | 0.415 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 83 | 45-115 | 6 | 30 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.418 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 84 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.351 |  | - | $u g /$ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 35-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 0448$

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS



Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C08106-BLK1)

| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug $/$ |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: | $03 / 09 / 2005(5 C 08106-B S 1)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 98 |
| Copper | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | $85-115$ |  |
| Lead | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug $/ 1$ | 80.0 | 105 | $85-115$ |


| Matrix | 06-M |  |  |  |  | e: 10 | 8-0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Copper | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 96 | 70-130 |  |
| Lead | 79.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.19 | 99 | 70-130 |  |
| Matrix | C0810 |  |  |  |  | e: 10 | 4-01 |  |  |
| Copper | 78.7 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 95 | 70-130 | 1 |
| Lead | 78.6 | 10 | 0.13 | ugh | 80.0 | 019 | 98 | 70-130 | 1 |

Batch: 5C09049 Extracted: 03/09/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-BLK1)

| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 7.82 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Matrix | 49-M |  | Source: 1OC0451-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.31 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 104 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09049-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C0451-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.23 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 103 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

|  | Sampled: 03/04/05 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: $10 C 0448$ | Received: 03/04/05 |

## MEMHOD BLANKIC MATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data <br> Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C04095 Extracted:03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C04095-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | ND | 2.0 | 0.59 | mgl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C04095-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 210 | 100 | 30 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 198 |  | 106 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C04095-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 210 | 100 | 30 | mg/l | 198 |  | 106 | 85-115 | 0 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C04107 Extracted: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | ND | 0.15 | 0.075 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate | ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04107-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 5.16 | 0.50 | 0.26 | mg/ | 5.00 |  | 103 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Sulfate | 10.4 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 104 | 90-110 |  |  | M-3 |
| Batch: 5C04119 Extracted: 03/04/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04119-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | ND | 0.10 | 0.044 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/04/2005 (5C04119-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | 0.259 | 0.10 | 0.044 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.250 |  | 104 | 90-110 |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Sampled: 03/04/05

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011<br>Report Number: IOC0448

Received: 03/04/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Batch:5C07070 Extracteds 03/07/05



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 C 0448$ | Sampled: $03 / 04 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 |
| Received: 03/04/05 |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5C09062 Extracted: 03/09/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09062-BLK1)

| Total Cyanide | ND | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/l |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 10 C 0448 | Sampled: $03 / 04 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 \mathrm{CO448}$ | Sampled: 03/04/05 <br> Received: 03/04/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C09095 Extracted: 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09095-DUP1) | Source: 10C0687-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 626 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | 630 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C09097 Extracted: 03/09/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/09/2005 (5C09097-DUP1) | Source: 10C0618-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance 636 | 1.0 | 1.0 | umhos/cm |  | 610 |  |  | 4 | 5 |  |

[^24]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Report Number: 10 C 0448<br>\section*{DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS}

Sampled: 03/04/05
Received: 03/04/05

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
12 Laboratory Control Sample recovery was below method control limits.
M2 The MS and/or MSD were below the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference. See Blank Spike (LCS).
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
ZX Due to sample matrix effects, the surrogate recovery was outside the acceptance limits.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference


## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 120.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| ERA 160.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 180.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 335.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 350.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 405.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 608 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 624 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 625 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM5540-C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

## Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640

1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 10 C 0448 -01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 1OC0448-01

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager
Page 1 of 1 Field readings:
Temp $=588$
pH =6.87
Comments


N-ZON+8ON'

# < Del MarAnalytical 

March 31,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

| Attention: | Bronwyn Kelly |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | Routine Outfall 011 <br> Sampled: 03/04/05 <br>  |
|  | Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0448 |

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Routine Outfall 011 | IOC0448-01 | $25852-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL

March 16, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 25852

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 08,2005 under your Project Name "IOC0448". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

## Section I: Sample Inventory Report

Date Received: 3/8/2005

## Alta Lab. ID <br> 25852-001 <br> Client Sample ID <br> IOC0448-01

SECTION II
Method Blank
EPA Method 1613



| Sample ID: IOC | C0448-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client Data |  |  | Sample Data |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| Name: Del | Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |  |  |  | Laboratory Data |  |  |  |
| Project: IOC | C0448 |  | Matrix: <br> Sample Size: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aqueous } \\ & 0.975 \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | Lab Sample: $25852-001$ <br> QC Batch No.: 6593 <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 15-Mar-05 | Date Received: |  | 8-Mar-05 |
| Date Collected: 4-M <br> Time Collected: 114 | 4-Mar-05 |  |  |  |  | Date Extrected: |  | 8-Mar-05 |
| Analyte |  | DL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: NA |  |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | Conc. (pg/L) |  | EMPC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard | \%R | LCL-UCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Oualifiers |
|  | ND | 0.847 |  |  |  |  |  | Ouainie |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.698 |  |  | - 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 74.7 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 1.09 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 76.3 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 1.14 |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 83.4 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 1.11 | \% |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 87.1 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 2.64 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 83.1 | 23-140 |  |
| OCDD | 25.1 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDD | 55.1 | 17-157 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.631 |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 76.6 | 24-169 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 1.07 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 70.5 | 24-185 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.964 |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 74.1 | 21-178 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.266 |  |  | 13C-1, , , , 4, 7, 8-HxCDF | 71.9 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.259 |  |  | 13C-1, , 3, ,6,7,8-HxCDF | 77.0 | 26-123 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.293 |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 79.3 | 28-136 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.426 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 79.5 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND |  | 0.694 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-\mathrm{HpCDF}$ | 76.5 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.598 |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 83.5 | 26-138 |  |
| OCDF | ND | 2.38 |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDF}$ | 63.3 | 17-157 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 79.6 | 35-197 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Footnotes |  |  |  |
| Total TCDD ND 0.847 |  |  |  |  | a. Sample specific estimated detection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDD | ND | 0.698 |  |  | b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | 1.11 |  |  | c. Metbod detection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | 6.42 |  |  |  | d. Lower control limat - upper control limit. |  |  |  |
| Total TCDF | 0.847 |  | 1.55 B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totat PeCDF | ND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDF | 0.445 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND |  | 0.694 |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
Chemical Interference
J
The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
*
See Cover Letter
Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No, 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0448




## SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST

## ALTA Project No.: 25852



## Comments:







## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# 10 C 0448

| SIENDNG LABORATORY: <br> DeI Mar Analytical, Ifvige <br> 17461 Daxisn Avenae. Surite 100 <br> Fivine, CA. 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261-1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Mannge: Michelo Harper |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Standard TAT is requested rouless specficic the date is requ |  |
| Avatysis Expiration | Coxaments |
|  | Instant Noincation <br> Iflage, 17 congeners, no TEQ, sub to Alta Excef RDD eminil to pminolude Std loge for L.vi IV |
| Containers Supplied: <br> I I A Anber (IOC0448-01G) <br> 1 L Amber (IOCO448-01 ${ }^{\text {I }}$ ) | - |



CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br>  <br> SDG No.: |
| NPDES |  |
| Multiple |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 4<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review; March 252005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br>  |
| :--- | :--- |
| SDG No.: | NPDES |
| Multiple |  |
| Analysis: | D/F |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1042-01 | $25897-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 002 | IOC0995-01 | $25899-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC0450-01 | $25848-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | $25898-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
|  | Analysis: | D/F |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All of the samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were shipped to Alta for dioxin/furan analyses and were received below the temperature limits at $1.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the samples were not noted to have been frozen or damaged, no qualifications were required. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact; however, custody seals were not present on the sample containers. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed 08/30/04. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 15 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the two native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of $\%$ RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of $\%$ Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (6613-MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank, A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (6613-OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | :--- |
| SDG No.: | Nultiple |
| Snalysis: | DF |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.


## CONTRACT COMPLLANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT66
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC0996
No. of Analyses 1
Date: 04/01/05
Analysis/Method Metals


## ACIION ITEMS'

1. Case Narrative Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables
6. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deviations from } \\ & \text { Analysis Protocol, e.g., }\end{aligned}$ Qualifications were applied for detects below the reporting limit. Holding Times GC/MS Tune/Inst. Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix SpikeDDup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and Quantitation
System Performance
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\square$
$\square$
$\square$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

COMMENTS ${ }^{\text { }}$

[^25]
## amec ${ }^{\theta}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0996

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0996<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: April 01, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | water | ILM04 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |
|  | Analysis: | MET |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory above the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool prior to receipt at the laboratory, no qualifications were required. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel and accounted for the sample and analyses presented in this SDG. A duplicate was submitted for Outfall 011; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The date of collection recorded on the COC and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP/MS metals and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All \%RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for the ICP/MS metals and 80 $120 \%$ for mercury. The reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of $70-130 \%$. No sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |

### 2.4 BLANKS

There were no reported detects in the CCBs or method blanks associated with the site sample. No qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and lead was not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Copper was detected above the reporting limit in the ICSA. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C16088-BSI and the mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C14050-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP/MS and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or laboratory duplicate analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Lead detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site sample.

# 2. Del Mar Analytical 

175610erian Ave., Suite 100, twine, CA S26:14 (949) 2is1-1022 FAX (949) 260.3297
 9830 South 51st St. Suite 8-120. Phoenix, AZ 85044 (458) $705-8506$ FAX (598) 505-9639 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3. Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-085

\(\begin{aligned} \& Project ID: Routine Outfall 011<br>\& Route Outfall 011<br>\& Report Number: OC09996\end{aligned}\)<br>Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 Route Outfall 011 Report Number:<br>Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 Route Outfall 011 Report Number:<br>Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 Route Outfall 011 Report Number:<br>Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 Route Outfall 011 Report Number:

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA. 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

DRAFT: METALS


## AMES VALIDATED

 Level IV
## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Pesticides/608

Package ID T711PP27
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. $10 C 0996$
No. of Analyses 1
Date: April 6, 2005
Reviewer's Signature


## ACTIONITEMS ${ }^{*}$ <br> 1. Case Narrative <br> Deficiencies

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GCMS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and Quantitation
System Performance


Acceptable as reviewed.

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES
Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: PESTICIDES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0996

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{gathered} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC0996 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Pest |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0996<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Pesticides<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 6, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures (DVP-4, Rev.2), EPA Method 608, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary form as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> IOC0996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SDG: |  |  |
| IOS: |  |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | water | 608 |

DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: |
| :---: |
| SDG: |
| Analysis: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory outside the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, due to the nonvolatile nature of the analyte, no qualification was necessary. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COC noted that the sample was received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the sample was couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water sample was extracted within seven days of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 PESTICIDES INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

No resolution check standards or breakdown check standards are required by Method 608 for pesticides, and according to the raw data provided, a resolution check standard was not analyzed by the laboratory. The laboratory did analyze a breakdown check standard; however, as alpha-BHC was the only compound of interest, the breakdown check standard was not necessary. A review of the raw data indicated that the analytical run time was of sufficient length to provide adequate standard separation. The two analytical columns used in the analyses were within the guidelines specified in the methods.

According to the laboratory SOP and the initial calibration raw data, the retention time windows are $\pm 0.10$ minutes for both surrogates and alpha-BHC calibration standards. A review of the raw data indicated that the laboratory retention time criteria were met for the surrogates and pesticide calibration standards. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Analytical Sequence

Based on the data provided, the analytical sequences were in accordance with the requirements of Method 608. No qualifications were required.


### 2.3.2 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration dated 03/02/05 associated with this SDG, which consisted of six-point calibrations for alpha-BHC on two analytical columns. The laboratory provided an overlay of the sample chromatogram and the pesticide standard for identification purposes. The \%RSD was within the EPA Method 608 QC limit of $\leq 10 \%$ on channel B, and the $r^{2}$ was $\geq 0.995$ on channel A. An ICV was analyzed immediately following the initial calibration. The $\% \mathrm{D}$ for alphaBHC was within the QC limit of $\leq 15 \%$ on both analytical columns. The \%RSD, $\mathrm{r}^{2}$, and ICV \%D for alpha-BHC were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.3 Continuing Calibration

The sample analysis in this SDG was bracketed by the daily ICV and two closing continuing calibration standards. The applicable \%Ds were within the Method QC limit of $\pm 15 \%$ for both calibrations. A representative number of \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

### 2.4.1 Instrument Blanks

An instrument blank was analyzed at the beginning of the analytical sequence. Crosscontamination was not evident in the sample. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.4.2 Method Blanks

One water method blank (5C14049-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. Target compound alpha-BHC was not detected in the method blank. Review of the chromatograms showed no false negative. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C14049-BS1/5C14049-BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. The recoveries for alpha-BHC were within the laboratory-established QC limits of $45-115 \%$ and the RPD was $\leq 30 \%$. The recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample and all QC samples were fortified with the surrogate compounds decachlorobiphenyl and tetrachloro-m-xylene. Surrogate recoveries for both samples were within the laboratory-established QC limits. The recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.


### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses associated with this SDG. Accuracy and precision were assessed based on the blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 SAMPLE CLEANUP PERFORMANCE

According to the laboratory extraction benchsheet, no cleanups were performed on the water samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with the samples in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with the samples in this SDG.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIEICATION

The laboratory analyzed for alpha-BHC by EPA Method 608. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for the sample in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for this SDG; however, as there were no reported detects, quantitation was verified by recalculating blank spike and surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and the laboratory MDL study. The reporting limit for alpha-BHC was not adjusted for sample amount on the result summary; however, the dilution factor listed on the summary reflected the sample volume extracted. Results were reported in ug/L (ppb). No qualifications were required.

Froject $\operatorname{D}$ : Routine Ourall 011
Routine Outfall 011
Repor: Number: 10 CO 996

Sampled: 031105
Received: 031105

## DRAFT: ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)



# AMEC VALIDATED 

Level if

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
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Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer M. Pokorny
Analysis/Method Semivolatiles
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Task Order 313150010 SDG No. IOC0996
No. of Analyses 1
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| Reviewer's Sipnature |
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## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: SEMIVOLATILES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0996

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0996<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Semivolatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 1<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: M. Pokorny<br>Date of Review: April 8, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels $C$ and D Semivolatile Organics (DVP-3, Rev. 2), EPA Method 625, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form $I$ as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analysis: | NPDES |
| IOCO996 |  |
| SVOC |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | water | 625 |



## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory above the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, the elevated temperature was due to insufficient time to cool before reaching the laboratory. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COC noted that the sample was received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for the analysis presented in this SDG. As the sample was couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water sample was extracted within seven days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The DFTPP tunes met the criteria specified in Method 625, and the sample was analyzed within 12 hours of the DFTPP injection time. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration associated with this SDG was dated 03/17/05. The average RRFs for were $\geq 0.05$ and the $\%$ RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ or $r^{2} \geq 0.995$ for all target compounds listed on the sample summary form. A representative number of average RRFs and \%RSDs were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. The continuing calibration associated with the sample analysis was analyzed $03 / 18 / 05$. The RRFs for all target compounds were $\geq 0.05$, and the $\%$ Ds were $\leq 20 \%$. A representative number of RRFs, $r^{2}$ values, and $\%$ Ds were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (5C13017-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. No target compounds were reported in the method blank. Review of the raw data indicated no reportable false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C13017-BS1/5C13017-BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. All percent recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory QC limits. A representative number of recoveries and RPDs were calculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were calculated from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were associated with this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy and precision was based on blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The internal standard area counts and retention times were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $-50 \% /+100 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 30$ seconds for retention times. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for five semivolatile target compounds by EPA Method 625. Review of the sample chromatogram, retention times, and spectra indicated no problems with target compound identification. No qualifications were required.

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: SDG: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis: | SVOC |

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. No calculation or transcription errors were found. The reporting limits were supported by the low level of the initial calibration and the method detection limit study. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

TICs were not reported by the laboratory for this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Review of the raw data indicated no problems with system performance. No qualifications
required. were required.

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Averue, Suite 1200 | Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pasadera, CA 91101 | Report Number: <br> Routine Ouffall 011 $10 C 0096$ | Sampled: $6311 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | . $10 \mathrm{CO99}$ | Received: 031105 |

DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GCMS (EPA 625)



## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer M. Pokorny
Analysis/Method Volatiles

Package ID T711VO76
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC0996
No. of Analyses 2


| ACTION ITEMS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Case Narrative |
| Deficiencies |  |

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: VOLATILES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0996

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG: | NPDES <br> IOCO996 |
| Analysis: | VOC |  |

1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC0996<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Volatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: M. Pokorny<br>Date of Review: April 8, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Volatile Organics (DVP-2, Rev. 2), EPA Method 624, EPA SW-846 Method 8260B, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary forms as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT \begin{tabular}{c}
Project: <br>
SDG:

 

NPDES <br>
IOC0996
\end{tabular}

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | water | 624 |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC0996-02 | water | 624 |


| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: SDG: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPDES } \\ & \text { 10C0996 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAIA VALIDATION REPORI |  | VOC |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in this SDG were received at the laboratory above the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, only four hours had elapsed between the time the samples were taken and when the samples were received at the laboratory. The samples did not have sufficient time to reach the required temperature, and were not qualified for the elevated sample receipt temperature. The COCs noted that the samples were received intact; however, information regarding absence of headspace was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analyses presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were analyzed within 14 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The ion abundance windows shown on the quantitation reports were consistent with those specified in Method 8260 B , and all ion abundances were within the established windows. The samples and associated QC were analyzed within 12 hours of the BFB injection times. The Form Vs were verified from the raw data and no discrepancies between the summary forms and the raw data were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

One initial calibration dated 02/01/05 was associated with this SDG. The average RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ for all compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The $\%$ RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ for the target compounds analyzed by EPA Method 624. Two continuing calibrations associated with the sample analyses were analyzed $03 / 13 / 05$ and $03 / 15 / 05$. The RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ in the continuing calibrations. The $\%$ Ds for the continuing calibrations associated with the samples were all $\leq 20 \%$. A representative number of \%RSDs and average RRFs from the initial calibrations, and \%Ds and RRFs from the continuing calibrations were recalculated from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT <br> IOC0996 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VDG: |  |  |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Two water method blanks (5C13007-BLK1 and 5C15015-BLK1) were associated with the sample analyses. There were no detects above the MDLs for the target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The method blank raw data showed no evidence of false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Two water blank spikes (5C13007-BS1 and 5C15015-BS1) were associated with the sample analyses. All recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The surrogates were recovered within the QC limits of $80-120 \%$ in the samples and associated QC. A representative number of surrogate recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

An MS/MSD analyses were not performed with this SDG. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the LCS results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Trip Blanks

Sample Trip Blank (IOC0996-02) was the trip blank associated with this SDG. No target compounds were reported in the Trip Blank. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.3 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with this SDG. No qualifications were required.

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG: |
| :--- | :--- |
| NPDES |  |
| IOC0996 |  |

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

Internal standard area counts and retention times for the samples in this SDG were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $+100 \% /-50 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 0.50$ minutes for retention times. A representative number of internal standard areas and retention times were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Target compound identification was verified at a Level IV data validation. The laboratory analyzed the volatile target compounds by EPA Method 624. Chromatograms, retention times, and spectra for the samples and QC were examined and no target compound identification problems were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. The reporting limits were supported by the lowest concentrations of the initial calibration standards and by the MDL study. Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries from the raw data. Target compounds detected below the reporting limits were qualified as estimated, "J," by the laboratory. Results were reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{ppb})$. No calculation or transcription errors were noted. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY DENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

The laboratory did not provide TICs for this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

A review of the chromatograms and other raw data showed no identifiable problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011<br>Routine Outall 011<br>Repor: Number: IOC0996<br>Samplec: 0111/05<br>Received: 031105

## DRAFT: PLRGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

Analyte
Method B
Reporting Linits
Benzene
Carbon tetrachlonide
Chloroform
1,1-Dichloroethane
1,2-Dichloroethane
1,1-Dichloroethene
Ethlbenzene
Tettachloroethene
Toluene
1,1,1-Trichloroethane
1,1,2-Trichloroethane

Trichloroethene
Trichloronluoromethane Vinyl chloride Xylenes, Total
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane ( $80-120 \%$ )
Surrogate: Tohuene-d8 (80-120\%)
Surrogute: 4-Bromofluorobenzene ( $80-120 \%$ )


Reporting Cnits: ygil
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CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
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Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
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Analysis/Method General Minerals

## ACTION ITEMS*

1. Case Narrative Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
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System Performance

## COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

"Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC0996

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |
|  | Analysis: General Minerals |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC0996<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples; 1<br>Reviewer: L. Jansewic<br>Date of Review: April 5, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 300.0, 350.2, 405.1, 335.2, 413.1, 160.2, 160.5, 120.1, and 180.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-C and SM2540C, and validation guidelines Revtined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated quifiction codes Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as havsociated qualification codes. and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by Any additional problems with the had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | Water | General Minerals |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLEMANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory above the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool in transit to the laboratory, no qualifications were required. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COC accounted for all analyses present in this SDG. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the date of collection with the dates of analyses. The 28 -day analytical holding time for ammonia, conductivity, chloride, sulfate, and oil and grease, the 14-day holding time for cyanide, the seven-day holding time for total suspended solids and total dissolved solids, and the 48 -hour holding time for turbidity, biological oxygen demand, nitrate/nitrite, surfactants, and total settleable solids were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. The initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. For ammonia, no information regarding the standardization of the titrant was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. For BOD, no information regarding the calibration of the oxygen meter was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. The total cyanide reporting limit check standard was recovered above the control limits at $161.6 \%$; however, as cyanide was not detected in Outfall 011, no qualifications were required. Calibration is not applicable to oil and grease, total dissolved solids, total suspended solids, or total settleable solids. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Oil and grease was detected in method blank 5C14065-BLK1 at $1.60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, oil and grease detected in Outfall 011 was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The remaining method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the sample were nondetects at the reporting limit. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATIONREPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |
|  | Analysis: | General Minerals |

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (BOD and oil and grease only) recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits. The LCS is not applicable to conductivity, turbidity or settleable solids. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in this SDG.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form I were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Surfactant detected below the reporting limit was qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with this SDG.

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, C.A 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
```

```
    Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
```

    Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
                                    Routine Outfall 011
                                    Routine Outfall 011
    Repcr Number: IOC0996
Repcr Number: IOC0996
Sampled: 03111:05
Sampled: 03111:05
Received: 03:11:05

```
Received: 03:11:05
```


## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALILAnitu

 LEVEL IVAmalyais Not Valitarat

## \section*{CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA} <br> AMEC Earth \& Environmental 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard Suite 500 <br> Package ID T711WC107 <br> Task Order 313150010 <br> SDG No. IOC0996 <br> Lakewood, CO 80226

Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
Reviewer L. Jarusewic
No. of Analyses 1
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# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: PERCHLORATE SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP. IOC0996

Prepared by

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |
|  | Analysis: | Perchlorate |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC0996<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Perchlorate<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No of Samples 1<br>Reviewer: L Jarusewic<br>Date of Review: Aprit 5, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 314.0, and 120.1, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |
|  | Analysis: | Perchlorate |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 | Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | Water | Perchlorate |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |
| Perchlorate |  |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The sample in this SDG was received at the laboratory above the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, as the sample had insufficient time to cool in transit to the laboratory, no qualifications were required. The analysis did not required preservation and no preservation was noted in the field. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COC was signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the sample and analysis presented in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding time was assessed by comparing the date of collection with the date of analysis. The 28day analytical holding time for perchlorate was met, and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration correlation coefficient was $\geq 0.995$. The IPC-MA recovery was within the control limits of $80-120 \%$. The ICV, CCV, and IPC recoveries were within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the sample were nondetects at the reporting limit. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recovery was within the method control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analysis presented in this SDG.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC0996 |

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or duplicate analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the sample in this SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of this sample; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analysis presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. Calculations were verified, and the sample result reported on the Form I was verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with
field field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The sample in this SDG had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with this SDG.

## DRAFT: LNORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution | on Date | Da |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC0996-01 (DR Reporting Cnits: mg/l | Outfall 011 | Water) - co |  |  |  |  | Extracted |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reval } \\ & \text { Revt } \end{aligned}$ | fiers QuAt come |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5 Cl 15083 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5 C 11085 | 0.50 0.59 | 0.50 2.0 | ND |  | 03115\%05 | 03/15:05 | * |  |
| Chloride <br> Total Cyanide | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{Cl1052}$ | 2.6 | 2.0 5.0 | 2.5 |  | 03/11:05 | 0316165 |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 335.2 | 5 C 11116 | 0.0022 | 0.0050 | ND |  | 03/11/05 | 03:11/05 |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{Cl1052}$ | 0.072 | 0.11 | ND |  | $03 / 1105$ | 0311105 |  |  |
| Suliate | EPA 413.1 | 5 C 14065 | 0.94 | 5.0 | 1.2 |  | 03/14/05 | 0314, |  |  |
| Surfactants (MbAS) | EPA 300.0 | $5 \mathrm{Cl1052}$ | 1.8 | 5.0 | 120 |  | 03.11105 | 03/14.05 | 3, |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | $5 \mathrm{Cl11105}$ | 0.044 | 0.10 | 0.096 | 1 | 03:11i05 | 0311105 |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | $5 \mathrm{SC14069}$ |  | 10 | 450 | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03/14:05 |  |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC0996-01 (DRA <br> Reporting Units: mi/h | Outfall 011 - |  |  | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03:14:05 |  |  |
| Total Setteable Solids | EPA 160.5 | $5 \mathrm{Cl1087}$ | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC0996-01 (DRA <br> Reporting Lnits: NTL | $\text { Outfall } 011-y$ |  |  |  | ND | 1 | 03/1105 | 03:1105 |  |  |
| Turbidity | EPA 180.1 | 5 Cl 2043 | 0.040 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample DD: 1OC0996-01 (DRAF Reporting Enits: ug/I | Outfall 011 ~ | ater) |  |  | 8.6 |  | 03/12:05 | 031205 |  |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC0996-01 (DRAF | EPA 314.0 <br> Outfall 011 - W | $5 C 14052$ <br> ater) | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND |  | 03/14/05 | 03114,05 |  |  |
| Specific Conductance | EPA 120.1 | 5C14070 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 690 |  |  |  |  |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED



DRAFT REPORT
DRAFT REPORT
Data subject To change

## LABORATORY REPORT

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: Routine Outfall 011

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05
Issued: 04/05/05 12:06

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain of Custody, I page, is included and is an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE

SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

| LABORATORY ID | CLIENT ID | MATRIX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC0996-01 | Outfall 011 | Water |
| IOC0996-02 | Trip Blank | Water |

Reviewed By:


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 <br> Report Number: 10 C 0996 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624) |

Analyte
Sample ID: 10C0996-01 (Outfall 011 - Water)
Reporting Units: ugh

| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.28 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.28 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.33 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.27 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.28 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.32 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.25 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.32 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.36 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.30 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.30 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.26 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.34 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.26 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5C15015 | 0.52 |


| 2.0 | 0.38 | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 3.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 5.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 5.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 5.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
| 4.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 15 / 05$ | $03 / 15 / 05$ |
|  | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | $92 \%$ | $\therefore$ |  |  |

Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%)
Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%)
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene ( $80-120 \%$ )

|  | MDL | Reporting | Sample <br> Ratch | Dilution | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | Limit | Result | Factor Extracted |  |  |


| Date | Data |
| :---: | :---: |
| Analyzed | Qualifiers |

Sample ID 1OC0996-02 (Trip BIank - Water)
Reporting Units: ugh


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0996}$

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Date |
| :---: |
| Analyzed | | Data |
| :---: |
| Qualifiers |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention; Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0996}$

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: 10 C 0996

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOC0996-01 (Outfall 011 - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5 Cl 16088 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 1 | 03/16/05 | 03/17/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 16088 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.74 | 1 | 03/16/05 | 03/17/05 | J |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 Cl 4050 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03/14/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 0996 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Sampled: 03/11/05 }\end{aligned}$

Received: 03/11/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data <br> Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC0996-01 (Outfall 011 - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) EPA 350.2 | 5 Cl 5088 | 0.30 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/15/05 | 03/15/05 |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand EPA 405.1 | 5C11085 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1 | 03/11/05 | 03/16/05 |  |
| Chloride EPA 300.0 | 5C11052 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 36 | 10 | 03/11/05 | 03/11/05 |  |
| Total Cyanide EPA 335.2 | 5 C 11116 | 0.0022 | 0.0050 | ND | 1 | 03/11/05 | 03/11/05 |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N EPA 300.0 | 5 C 11052 | 0.072 | 0.11 | ND | 1 | 03/11/05 | 03/11/05 |  |
| OH\& Grease EPA 413.1 | 5 Cl 4065 | 0.94 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03/14/05 | B, J |
| Sulfate EPA 300.0 | 5 Cl 1052 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 120 | 10 | 03/11/05 | 03/11/05 |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) SM5540-C | $5 \mathrm{C11105}$ | 0.044 | 0.10 | 0.096 | 1 | 03/11/05 | 03/11/05 | J |
| Total Dissolved Solids $\quad$ SM2540C | 5 Cl 14069 | 10 | 10 | 450 | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03/14/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids EPA 160.2 | 5 C 14073 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03/14/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 10C0996-01 (Outfall 011 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ml/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Settleable Solids EPA 160.5 | $5 \mathrm{Cl1} 1087$ | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/11/05 | 03/11/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC0996-01 (Outfall 011 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: NTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity EPA 180.1 | 5 Cl 2043 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 8.6 | 1 | 03/12/05 | 03/12/05 |  |
| Sample ID. 10C0996-01 (Outfall 011 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate EPA 314.0 | 5 Cl 4052 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03/14/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC0996-01 (Outfall 011 - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: umhos/cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance EPA 120.1 | 5C14070 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 690 | 1 | 03/14/05 | 03/14/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/11/05
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 0996 \quad$ Received: 03/11/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 011 (IOC0996-01)- Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPA 160.5 | 2 | $03 / 11 / 200513: 25$ | $03 / 11 / 200518: 30$ | $03 / 11 / 2005$ | $20: 00$ | $03 / 11 / 200521: 00$ |
| EPA 180.1 | 2 | $03 / 11 / 200513: 25$ | $03 / 11 / 200518: 30$ | $03 / 12 / 200513: 30$ | $03 / 12 / 200514: 30$ |  |
| EPA 300.0 | 2 | $03 / 11 / 200513: 25$ | $03 / 11 / 200518: 30$ | $03 / 11 / 200519: 30$ | $03 / 11 / 200521: 00$ |  |
| EPA 405.1 | 2 | $03 / 11 / 200513: 25$ | $03 / 11 / 200518: 30$ | $03 / 11 / 200520: 00$ | $03 / 16 / 200513: 30$ |  |
| SM5540-C | 2 | $03 / 11 / 200513: 25$ | $03 / 11 / 200518: 30$ | $03 / 11 / 200521: 06$ | $03 / 11 / 200521: 20$ |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0996}$

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

MIMIOB MLANKICC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

Analyte Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5C13007 Extracted: 03/13/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/13/2005 (5C13007-BLK1)
Benzene
Carbon tetrachloride
Chioroform
1,1-Dichloroethane
1,2-Dichloroethane
1,1-Dichloroethene
Ethylbenzene
Tetrachloroethene
Toluene
1,1,1-Trichloroethane
1,1,2-Trichloroethane
Trichloroethene
Trichlorofluoromethane
Vinyl chloride
Xylenes, Total
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane
Surrogate: Toluene-d8
Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene

LCS Analyzed: 03/13/2005 (5C13007-BS1)

| Benzene | 25.4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 26.9 |
| Chloroforn | 26.4 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 26.3 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 27.1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 25.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | 26.1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 23.3 |
| Toluene | 25.0 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 27.7 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 26.4 |
| Trichloroethene | 23.8 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 28.6 |
| Vinyl chloride | 29.5 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.2 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention; Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 0996
Sampled: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)



# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011<br>Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 0996 \quad$ Sampled: 03/11/05<br>Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANKCCC DATA

# PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624) 

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C13007 Extracted: 03/13/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/13/2005 (5C13007-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10C0855-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.4 |  |  | ug $n$ | 25.0 |  | 110 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 24.8 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 99 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 26.1 |  |  | ug $/$ | 25.0 |  | 104 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

## Batch: 5C15015 Extracted: 03/15/05

## Blank Analyzed: 03/15/2005 (5C15015-BLK1)

| Benzene | ND |
| :--- | :---: |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND |
| Chloroform | ND |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND |
| 1,-Dichlorethene | ND |
| Etfylbenzene | ND |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND |
| Toluene | ND |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND |
| Trichloroethene | ND |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND |
| Vinyl chloride | ND |
| Xylenes, Total | ND |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.4 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.1 |
| Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene | 23.6 |

## LCS Analyzed: 03/15/2005 (5C15015-BS1)

| Benzene | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 93 | $70-120$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 23.6 | 5.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | $70-140$ |
| Chloroform | 23.1 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | 92 | $75-130$ |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | 93 | $70-135$ |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | $60-150$ |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 22.9 | 3.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 92 | $75-135$ |
| Ethylbenzene | 24.1 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | $80-120$ |
| Tetrachloroethene | 22.1 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | 88 | $75-125$ |
| Toluene | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 25.0 | 92 | $75-120$ |

[^26]
# Del Mar Analytical 

244 Cnsape (1)

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0996}$
Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANK@C DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C15015 Extracted: 03/15/05
LCS Analyzed: 03/15/2005 (5C15015-BS1)

| $75-140$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | 98 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | $70-125$ |  |
| Trichloroethene | 23.1 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 92 | $80-120$ |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 23.9 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | $65-145$ |
| Vinyl chloride | 24.2 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 25.0 | 97 | $50-130$ |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.3 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 | 101 | $80-120$ |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.1 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 | 100 | $80-120$ |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 25.0 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 | 100 | $80-120$ |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/15/2005 (5C15015-MS1) |  |  |  | Source: 1OC1002-05 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 24.7 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 0.78 | 96 | 70-120 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24.6 | 5.0 | 0.28 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 70-145 |
| Chloroform | 23.7 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 95 | 70-135 |
| 1,1-bichloroethane | 23.8 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 95 | 65-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 24.2 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 60-150 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 23.2 | 3.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 65-140 |
| Ethylbenzene | 25.2 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 70-130 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 23.0 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-130 |
| Toluene | 26.7 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | 3.0 | 95 | 70-120 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 24.3 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 75-140 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.1 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 60-135 |
| Trichloroethene | 22.9 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-125 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 24.3 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 55-145 |
| Vinyl chloride | 24.9 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 40-135 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.5 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 102 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.3 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 25.0 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: $10 C 0996$
Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit |

Batch: 5C15015 Extracted: 03/15/05

| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/15/2005 (5C15015-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C1002-05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 24.7 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ugh | 25.0 | 0.78 | 96 | 70-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24.0 | 5.0 | 0.28 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 70-145 | 2 | 25 |
| Chloroform | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-135 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 65-135 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.28 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 60-150 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 23.7 | 3.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 95 | 65-140 | 2 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | 25.0 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 22.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Toluene | 26.5 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 25.0 | 3.0 | 94 | 70-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 24.3 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 75-140 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 25.1 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 60-135 | 4 | 25 |
| Trichloroethene | 22.9 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-125 | 0 | 20 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 24.1 | 5.0 | 0.34 | uga | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 55-145 | 1 | 25 |
| Vinyl chitoride | 24.5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 250 | ND | 98 | 40-135 | 2 | 30 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 25.6 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 102 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.3 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 25.2 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011<br>Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0996}$<br>Sampled: 03/11/05<br>Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C13017 Extracted: 03/13/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C13017-BLK1)

| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | ND |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ND |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | ND |
| Pentachlorophenol | ND |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ND |
| Surrogate: 2 -Fluorophenol | 11.4 |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 11.9 |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 13.8 |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.08 |
| Surrogate: 2 -Fluorobiphenyl | 6.92 |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 6.62 |

LCS Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C13017-BS1)
Bis (2-ethy hexy)phthalate $\quad 8.90$

2,4-Dinitrotoluene $\quad 8.00$
N -Nitrosodimethylamine
$5.0 \quad 1.1$ ug
$9.0 \quad 0.23 \quad \mathrm{ug} /$

Pentachlorophenol
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol
Surrogate: Phenol-d6
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol
8.0 0.22 ug/l
$\begin{array}{lll}8.0 & 0.78 & \text { ug/ } \\ 6.0 & 0.10 & \text { ug/ }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}6.0 & 0.10 & \text { ug } / 1 \\ & & \text { ug } / l\end{array}$
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 7.48

Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl 8.08
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 7.90
LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C13017-BSD1)

| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 8.62 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 7.92 |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 7.66 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 8.66 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.76 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 14.2 |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 14.2 |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.6 |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 7.52 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.60 |

[^27]Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 0996 \quad$| Sampled: $03 / 11 / 05$ |
| ---: |
| Received: $03 / 11 / 05$ |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC0996
Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## MEHHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C14049 Extracted: 03/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14049-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | ND | 0.010 | 0.0010 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.381 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 0.500 |  | 76 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.267 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 |  | 53 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| alpha-BHC | 0.335 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 67 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.367 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 0.500 |  | 73 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.278 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 |  | 56 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14049-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | 0.353 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 71 | 45-115 | 5 | 30 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.405 |  |  | $u g / 7$ | 0.500 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.267 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 0.500 |  | 53 | 35-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Routine Outfall 011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/11/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 0996$ | Received: $03 / 11 / 05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## MIMHOM BHANLCCDATA

## METALS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C14050 Extracted: 03/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14050-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14050-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.04 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14050-MS1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10 Ce | 736-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.23 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/l | 8.00 | ND | 103 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14050-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10C0 | 736-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury 8.19 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/l | 8.00 | ND | 102 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C16088 Extracted: 03/16/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed; 03/16/2005 (5C16088-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper $\quad \mathrm{ND}$ | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | \% | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Lead ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  | $\therefore$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C16088-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper 85.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 106 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Lead 84.9 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 106 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C16088-MS1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10C0 | 874-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Copper 125 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 17 | 135 | 70-130 |  |  | $M 1$ |
| Lead 83.3 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.97 | 103 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C16088-MS2) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10C1 | 157-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Copper 74.3 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | ND | 93 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Lead 80.3 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | ND | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: 10 C 0996

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANK/YC DATA

## METALS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 0996 Sampled: 03/11/05
Report Number: 10 C 0996

Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5C11085 Extracted: 03/11/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C11085-BLK1)

| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | ND | 2.0 | 0.59 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C11085-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 218 | 100 | 30 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 198 | 110 | 85-115 |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/16/2005 (5C11085-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | 212 | 100 | 30 | mg/ | 198 | 107 | 85-115 | 3 | 20 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/11/05
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0996}$
Received: 03/11/05

## METHODBLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention; Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/11/05
Report Number: $10 C 0996$

Received: 03/11/05

## MITHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{gathered} \text { RPD } \\ \text { Limit } \end{gathered}$ | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C12043 Extracted: 03/12/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/12/2005 (5C12043-DUP1) | Source: 10C0995-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity 0.590 | 1.0 | 0.040 | NTU |  | 0.59 |  |  | 0 | 20 | $J$ |
| Batch: 5C14052 Extracted: 03/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14052-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate ND | 4.0 | 0.80 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14052-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate 45.1 | 4.0 | 0.80 | ug/ | 50.0 |  | 90 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14052-MS1) Source: 1OC0873-02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate 49.1 | 4.0 | 0.80 | ug/ | 50.0 | ND | 98 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14052-MSD1) Source: 10C0873-02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate 47.5 | 4.0 | 0.80 | ugh | 50.0 | ND | 95 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C14065 Extracted: 03/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14065-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 1.60 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14065-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 23.4 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 117 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14065-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 23.9 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 20.0 |  | 120 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: 10 C0996
Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C14069 Extracted: 03/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14069-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14069-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 970 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14069-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10C1 | 042-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 271 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  | 280 |  |  | 3 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C14070 Extracted: 03/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14070-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | ce: 10C1 | 042-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance 432 | 1.0 | 1.0 | umhos/cm |  | 420 |  |  | 3 | 5 |  |
| Batch: 5C14073 Extracted: 03/14/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 941 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 1000 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/14/2005 (5C14073-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sou | e: 10C0 | 941-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |

## Batch: 5C15088 Extracted: 03/15/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/15/2005 (5C15088-BLK1)

| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | ND | 0.50 | 0.30 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{CO996}$

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C0996}$

Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
M1 The MS and/or MSD were above the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference. See Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate.
R The RPD exceeded the method control limit due to sample matrix effects. The individual analyte QA/QC recoveries, however, were within acceptance limits.
R-3 The RPD exceeded the method control limit due to sample matrix effects.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 011

Report Number: IOC0996
Sampled: 03/11/05
Received: 03/11/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 120.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 180.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 335.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 350.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 405.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 608 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 624 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 625 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM5540-C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - E1 Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: $\quad$ 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: $10 C 0996-01$
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: $10 C 0996-01$

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
Project Manager

April 1,2005

MWH-Pasadena/ Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: $\quad$ Routine Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/11/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC0996

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Alta Analytical Laboratory performed the EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans analysis for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MW ID | DEL MAR ID | ALTA ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Routine Outfall 011 | IOC0996-01 | $25898-001$ |

Attached is the original report from the subcontract laboratory. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (949) 261-1022 at extension 215.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

March 22, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25898
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 15, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC0996". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

## Section I: Sample Inventory Report

Date Recelved: 3/15/2005

Alta Lab, ID
25898-001

## Client Sample ID

10 C 0996

SECTION II


| OPR Results |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: Aqueous |  | QC Batch No.: | 6613 | Lab Sample: 0-OPR001 <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 21-Mar-05 |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |  |
| Sample Size: 1.000 L |  | Date Extracted: | 18-Mar-05 |  |  | NA |
| Analyte | Spike Conc. | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | OPR Limits | Labeled Standard |  |  |  | \% $\mathbf{R}$ | LCL-UCL |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10.0 | 8.66 | 6.7-15.8 | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 63.0 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50.0 | 45.3 | 35-71 |  |  |  | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 46.2 | 35-82 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 56.2 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 47.9 | 38-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 60.8 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50.0 | 46.2 | 32-81 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 54.6 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50.0 | 50.6 | 35-70 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 13C-OCDD | 38.2 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | 100 | 97.1 | 78-144 |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 63.7 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10.0 | 9.33 | 7.5-15.8 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 51.3 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 50.5 | 40-67 |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 52.6 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 50.7 | 34-80 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 49.8 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.8 | +36-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 56.3 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.5 | 42-65 |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 56.1 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.4 | 35-78 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 54.3 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50.0 | 51.0 | 39-65 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 52.5 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50.0 | 53.2 | 41-61 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 56.3 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50.0 | 53.2 | 39-69 |  | 13C-OCDF | 46.1 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | 100 | 102 | 63-170 | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD |  | 82.8 | 35-197 |  |



## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that corresponds to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkonsas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

17461 Derman Ave Stute te6, irvine, CA exs14 t014 E Cochyy Or, Suin A Cotbon CA sabe




Ph (909) 265-1022
Ph (goo) spo-4ect
Pn (ex9) 505-8506
Ph (400) 755-6043
ph(rroq minsazo

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC0996

| SENDNG LABORATORY: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |  |
| 17761 Derian Avemue. Suite 100 |  |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |  |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |  |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |  |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper | . |

RECRIVING LABORATORY:
Alta Analytical
1104 Windfield Way
EI Dorado Hills, CA. 95762
Phone:(916) 933-1640
Fax: (916) 933-0940
Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\Rightarrow$ Expiration
Analysis $\quad$ Comments.

Sample D: 10C0996-01 Water Sampled: 03/11/05 13:25
1613-Dioxin-HR 03/18/05 13:25
EDD + Level 4

J fiags, 17 congeners, no TEQ, sub to Alta
Excel EDD email to pm,Inchude Std logs for Lvi IV

Containers Supplied:
1 L Amber (IOC0996-01G)
1 L Amber (10C0996-01H)



## SAMPLELOG-N CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$


Comments:
Samplers initials, pound on purple. labels

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer H Chang
Analysis/Method Dioxin\&Furans/161

Package ID T711DF37
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 10
Date: April 4, 2005
Reviewer's Signature
acer

## ACTION ITEMS*

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis Detects below the calibration range were qualified "J."

Protocol, egg.,
Holding Times
GCMS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: Multiple SDGs

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: Multiple<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 10<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |
|  | Multiple |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 002 | IOC1521-01 | $25935-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC1523-01 | $25936-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 005 | IOC1524-01 | $25940-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 006 | IOC1525-01 | $25937-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | $25938-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC1561-01 | $25941-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 004 | IOC1563-01 | $25939-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 008 | IOC1564-01 | $25942-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 003 | IOC1565-01 | $25943-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 009 | IOC1566-01 | $25944-001$ | water | 1613 |


| Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |
| Analysis: | D/F |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

Samples Outfall 001, Outfall 004, and Outfall 008 were received at Del Mar Analytical outside the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Due to non-volatile nature of the target compounds, no qualifications were required. The other samples were received with cooler temperatures within the limits. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |
| S/F |  |  |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed $08 / 30 / 04$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of $\%$ Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( 06624 MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample (0_6624_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any reported EMPC was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.



## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID T711MT72
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. $10 \mathrm{Cl} 1526,10 \mathrm{Cl} 1523$
No. of Analyses 2
Date: 04/05/05
Reyiewer's Signature


| ACIION IIEMS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Case Narrative <br> Deficiencies |
|  |  |

2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables
6. Deviations fró

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
Qualifications applied for:

Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
2. Positive and negative results in the method blanks and CCBs

Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Intemal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification and Quantitation
System Performance
3. Reporting limit check standard recovery outlier
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\square \square$
$\qquad$
and Quantitation
System Performance

COMMENTS
*Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
bifferences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: METALS

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1523 \& IOC1526

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring
Contract Task Order \#: 313150010
SDG\#: IOC1523, IOC1526
Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine
Matrix: Water
Analysis: Metals
QC Level: Level IV
No. of Samples: 2
No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0
Reviewer: P. Meeks
Date of Review: April 05, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 6010B for Inductively Coupled Plasma, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC1523, 1526 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC1523-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | water | ILM04 |

Project:
NPDES
SDG No.
Analysis:
IOC1523, 1526
DATA VALIDATION REPORT

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP and ICPMS metals, and 28 days for mercury. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All \%RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. The 0.2 ppb reporting limit check standard for antimony was not recovered; therefore nondetected antimony in both site samples (see section 2.4) was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The remaining reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70-130\%. No further sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCI523, |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Nickel were detected in method blank 5C19038 at $555 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, nickel detected in both site samples was qualified as estimated, "UJ." Chromium was reported in a bracketing method blank at $0.35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, chromium detected in both site samples was qualified as estimated, "J."

Due to the high level of antimony found in the method blank, $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, the reviewer raised the antimony MDLs to the level of interference, $1.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and qualified the results as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and boron, barium, beryllium, , selenium, thallium, vanadium, antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Aluminum was recovered below the control limit in all the ICSA and ICSAB analyses; however, as aluminum was found at a low level in the site sample, no qualifications were required. Manganese, cobalt copper, and cadmium were detected above the reporting limit in the ICSA. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}$, Fe , and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride.

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the boron ICP analyses, but were not run on the days the site samples were analyzed. The recoveries for the interferents and the other spiked analytes were within the control limits of $80-120 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS samples were identified as 5C21088-BSland 5C19038-BS1 and the ICP LCS sample was identified as 5C19039-BS1. The mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C19029-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP, ICP/MS, and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 011 Composite for boron only. The RPD was within the control limit of $20 \%$ and no qualifications were required.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 011 Composite for boron only. The recoveries were within the AMEC control limits of $75-125 \%$ and no qualifications were required. Method accuracy for the remaining analytes was evaluated based on LCS results.

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.


## DRAFT: METALS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data
Analyse Method Batch Limit Limit Result FactorExtracted Analyzed Qualifiers

## Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont.

 Reporting Units: mg'Barium
Boron

Iron

| EPA 200.8 | $5 C 19038$ | 0.00014 | 0.0010 | 0.036 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EPA 200.7 | $5 C 19039$ | 0.0074 | 0.050 | 0.090 |
| EPA 200.8 | $5 C 19038$ | 0.0032 | 0.010 | 0.29 |

## AMES VALIDATED








MWH-Pasadena Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outall 011
Report Number: $10 C 1523$

Sampled: 03.1805
Received: 0311805

## DRAFT: METALS

Analyte Method Batch Limit Limit Result Factor Extracted Analyzed Qualifiers


## AMEG WAMDATED

$\qquad$

## DRAFTREPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBTETTTOCHANGE

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1526

Sampled: 03:1805
Received: 03/18,05

## DRAFT: METALS



## AMEC VAMDATED

DRAFT REPORT
DRAFT REPORT
DATA SLBJECT TOCHANGE

# 2. Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1526

Sampled: 03/18:05
Received: 03/18:05

## DRAFT: METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifi | fiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample D: 1OC1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/ Barium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rew Qual | Qual Cole |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boron | EPA 200.7 | 5C19039 | 0.0074 | 0.050 | 0.090 | 1 | 03/19/05 |  |  |  |
| Iron | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.0032 | 0.010 | 0.27 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B-1 |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA
AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{*}$

|  | Case Narrative <br> Deficiencies |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 2.Out of Scope <br> Analyses |  |  |

3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables

|  | Deviations from Analysis | Qualifications assigned for surrogate recoveries below the QC limits. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protocol, e.g., |  |
|  | Holding Times |  |
|  | GC/MS Tune/nst. Performance |  |
|  | Calibration |  |
|  | Method blanks |  |
|  | Surrogates |  |
|  | Matrix Spike/Dup LCS |  |
|  | Field QC |  |
|  | Intemal Standard Performance |  |
|  | Compound Identification |  |
|  | Quantitation |  |
|  | System Performance |  |
| COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

[^28]
## $a m e c^{\theta}$

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: PESTICIDES/PCBs

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP. IOB1523, IOB1526

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

DATA VALIDATION REPORT $\quad$| Project: |
| :---: |
| SDG: |
| IOB1523, 1526 |
| Analysis: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: 1OB1523, 1OB1526<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Pesticides/PCBs<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: L. Calvin<br>Date of Review: April 11, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures (DVP-4, Rev.2), EPA Method 608, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary form as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOB1523, } 1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Pest/PCB |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOB1523-01 | water | 608 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOB1526-01 | water | 608 |

DATA VALIDATION REPORT $\quad$| Project: |
| ---: |
| SDG: |
| IOBIS23, |
| Analysis: |
| PestPCB |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COCs noted that the samples were received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water samples were extracted within seven days of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 PESTICIDES INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

No resolution check standards or breakdown check standards are required by Method 608 for pesticides, and according to the raw data provided, a resolution check standard was not analyzed by the laboratory. The laboratory did analyze a breakdown check standard with a breakdown of $\leq 20 \%$ for individual components (4,4-DDT and endrin) and $\leq 30 \%$ for the total, as suggested in the National Functional Guidelines. A review of the raw data indicated that the analytical run time was of sufficient length to provide adequate standard separation. The two analytical columns used in the analyses were within the guidelines specified in the methods.

According to the laboratory SOP and the initial calibration raw data, the retention time windows are $\pm 0.10$ minutes for both surrogates and target compound calibration standards. A review of the raw data indicated that the laboratory retention time criteria were met for the surrogates and pesticide calibration standards. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Analytical Sequence

Based on the data provided, the analytical sequences were in accordance with the requirements of Method 608. No qualifications were required.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: |
| ---: |
| NPDES |
| IOBIS23, 1526 |
| PESUPCB |

### 2.3.2 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration dated 03/02/05 associated with the pesticide analyses of the samples, which consisted of six point calibrations for all pesticide target compounds on two analytical columns. The \%RSDs were within the EPA Method 608 QC limit of $\leq 10 \%$ or the $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values were $\geq 0.995$ on both analytical columns. There was one initial calibration dated 02/11/05 associated with the PCB analyses of the samples which consisted of five points for Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260. Single point calibrations for Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1248, and Aroclor 1254 were also analyzed. The average \%RSDs for the individual peaks of Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260 were $\leq 10 \%$ or the $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values were $\geq 0.995$ on both analytical columns. An ICV was analyzed immediately following each of the initial calibrations. The \%Ds for all target compounds were within the QC limits of $15 \%$ on both analytical columns. A representative number of \%RSDs and ICV \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.3 Continuing Calibration

In the continuing calibrations bracketing both the pesticide and PCB analyses of the samples, all $\%$ Ds were $\leq 15 \%$. A representative number of $\%$ Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

### 2.4.1 Instrument Blanks

An instrument blank was analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. Crosscontamination was not evident in the samples. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.4.2 Method Blanks

One water method blank (5C19034-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with these SDGs. There were no pesticide target compounds or Aroclors detected in the method blank. Review of the chromatograms showed no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C19034-BS1/BSD1 for pesticides, -BS2/BSD2 for PCBs) was extracted and analyzed with these SDGs. The recoveries for all spiked pesticide target compounds and Aroclors were within the laboratory-established QC limits and the RPDs were $\leq 30 \%$. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample and all QC samples were fortified with the surrogate compounds decachlorobiphenyl and tetrachloro-m-xylene. Surrogate recoveries for the both pesticide and PCB analysis were below the QC limits but $\geq 10 \%$ in sample Outfall 011 Composite. Notations on the laboratory extraction benchsheet and sample raw data indicated an emulsion in the extract of the

|  | Project: SDG: | NPDES IOB1523, 1526 PestPC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Pes/PCB |

sample. Results were qualified as estimated, "UJ," for nondetects and "J," for detects. All surrogate recoveries for sample Outfall 011 Grab were within the laboratory-established QC limits. The recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses associated with these SDGs. Method accuracy and precision were assessed based on the blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 SAMPLE CLEANUP PERFORMANCE

According to the laboratory extraction benchsheets, no cleanups were performed on the water samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with the samples in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with the sample in these SDGs.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for pesticide target compounds and PCBs by EPA Method 608. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for the samples in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for these SDGs by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and the laboratory MDL studies. The water reporting limits were not adjusted for sample amounts on the result summaries; however, the dilution factors listed on the summaries reflected the sample volume extracted. Results
reported above the MDL but below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," by the laboratory. Results were reported in $u g / L(p p b)$. No further qualifications were required.

# D Del Mar Analytical 

[^29]DRAFT: ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)


## AMEC VALIDATED

## DRAFT: TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)



## AMEC VALIDATED

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Sampled: 03/18/05

Received: 03/18/05

DRAFT: ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)
Analyte Method $\quad$ Batch $\begin{array}{lll}\text { MDL } & \text { Reporting } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Limit }\end{array} \\ \text { Result } & \text { Factor Extracted }\end{array}$
Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ug/l Aldrin alpha-BHC beta-BHC delta-BHC gamma-BHC (Lindane)
Chlordane
4,4'-DDD
4,4'-DDE
4,4'-DDT
Dieldrin
Endosulfan I
Endosulfan II
Endosulfan sulfate
Endrin
Endrin aldehyde
Endrin ketone
Heptachlor
Heptachlor epoxide
Methoxychlor
Toxaphene

| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.030 | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.20 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.20 | 1.0 |
| EPA 608 | SC19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.025 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.030 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.040 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | SC19034 | 0.015 | 0.20 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.045 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.030 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.035 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 1.5 | 5.0 |

Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene (35-115\%)
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%)

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 1526$

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Outfall O11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 C 1526$ | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Received: $03 / 18 / 05$ |  |

DRAFT: TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)


## AMEC VALIDATED

## CONTRACT COMPLLANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226

| Laboratory Del Mar |
| :---: |
| Reviewer M. Pokorny |
| Analysis/Method Semivolatiles |

Laboratory Del Mar
Analysis/Method Semivolatiles

Package ID T711SV50
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC1523, 1526
No. of Analyses 2
Date: April 10, 2005
Reviexver's Sjgnature


ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{-}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Qualifications required for calibration and LCS outliers and for blank contamination.
Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$
${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and or method requirements.

* Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.


# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: SEMIVOLATILES<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC1523, IOC1526

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1523, 1OC1526<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Semivolatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: M. Pokorny<br>Date of Review: April 10, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Semivolatile Organics (DVP-3, Rev. 2), EPA Method 625, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC1523, } 1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | SVOC |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011-Grab | Outfall 011-Grab | IOC1523-01 | water | 625 |
| Outfall 011-Composite | Outfall 011-Composite | IOC1526-01 | water | 625 |


|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ 10 C 1523,1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | SVOC |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COCs noted that the samples were received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water samples were extracted within seven days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The DFTPP tunes met the criteria specified in Method 625, and the samples were analyzed within 12 hours of the DFTPP injection time. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration associated with this SDG was dated $03 / 17 / 05$. The average RRFs for were $\geq 0.05$ and the $\%$ RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ or $r^{2} \geq 0.995$ for all target compounds listed on the sample summary form, except for the $r^{2}$ values for benzoic acid and 4,6 -dinitro-2-methylphenol. Benzoic acid and 4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol were qualified as estimated nondetects, "UJ," in the samples of these SDGs. A representative number of average RRFs and \%RSDs were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. The continuing calibration associated with the sample analysis was analyzed $03 / 22 / 05$. The RRFs for all target compounds were $\geq 0.05$, and the $\%$ Ds were $\leq 20 \%$ except for the $\% \mathrm{D}$ for $3,3^{\prime}$-dichlorobenzidine. 3, $3^{\prime}$-Dichlorobenzidine was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ," in the samples of these SDGs. A representative number of RRFs, $r^{2}$ values, and \%Ds were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank ( 5 C 20022 -BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. Butylbenzylphthalate and diethylphthalate were reported in the method blank and were qualified as nondetects, "U," in the samples of these SDGs. Review of the raw data indicated no reportable false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC1523, } 1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | SVOC |

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C20022-BS1/5C20022-BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. All percent recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory QC limits, except for benzidine which was not recovered in either the BS or BSD. Benzidine was rejected, " R ," in the samples of these SDGs. A representative number of recoveries and RPDs were calculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were calculated from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were associated with these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy and precision was based on blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples.

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The internal standard area counts and retention times were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $-50 \% /+100 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 30$ seconds for retention times. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ 10 C 1523,1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | SVOC |

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for semivolatile target compounds by EPA Method 625. Review of the sample chromatograms, retention times, and spectra indicated no problems with target compound identification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. No calculation or transcription errors were found. The reporting limits were supported by the low level of the initial calibration and the method detection limit study. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

TICs were not reported by the laboratory for these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Review of the raw data indicated no problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.

MWH-Pasadena Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 1523$

Sampled: 03/18:05
Received: 03/18:05

DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)


MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1523

Sampled: 03/18:05
Received: 03/18:05

## DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasédena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Report Number: $10 C 1526$

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data ualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample D: IOC1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) <br> Reporting Units: ug/n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03:20/05 | 03/22/05 U |  |
| Acenaphthylene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Aniline | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 5.8 | 20 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Anthracene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzidine | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 4.8 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20105 | 03/22/05 R | L2L |
| Benzoic acid | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 7.4 | 40 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 UJ | $C$ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.076 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 C |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.28 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.10 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.12 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.11 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.42 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.22 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20105 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 2.2 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 $\downarrow$ |  |
| Butyl benzyl phithalate. | EPA 625 | $5 C 20022$ | 0.68 | 10 | ND1.1 | 1.9 | 03/20005 | 03/22/05 15 | B, JB |
| 4-Chloroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.40 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 U |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.68 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.11 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2 -Chlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Chrysene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)antbracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dibenzofuran | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20,05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.22 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.26 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 $\downarrow$ |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 1.9 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 U5 | C |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.42 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 U |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 ND | DA2 | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 U | B. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ S |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.62 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 U |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.16 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 $\cup$ |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.76 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 UJ | $c$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 5.4 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 U | $\mathrm{N}-1$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.46 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.48 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.34 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.17 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 $\downarrow$ |  |
| DRAFT REPORT MP 4.10.05 <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE



CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pacific Analytical
Reviewer L. Calvin
Analysis/Method EFH by Method 8015B

Package ID T711TF55
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC1523, IOCI526
No. of Analyses 2

| Date: April 11, 2005 |
| :--- |
| Reviewer's Signature $/ l$ : |
| $i x i n$ |

ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{*}$
Case Narrative
Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GCMS Tune/Inst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS $^{\text {b }} \quad 1$ Acceptable as reviewed.

[^30]${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: TPH/EXTRACTABLE<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC1523, 1OC1526

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1523, IOC1526<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: TPH-Extractable<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: L. Calvin<br>Date of Review: April 11, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Extractable Total Fuel Hydrocarbons by GC (DVP-8, Rev. 2), USEPA SW-846 Method 8015M, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT <br> SDG: <br> Analysis: <br> IOC1523, 1526 <br> IPH |
| :---: | :---: |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Clien ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC1523-01 | water | $8015 B / E F H$ |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | water | $8015 B / E F H$ |


| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: SDG: Analysis: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC1523, } 1526 \\ \text { TPH } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical laboratory on ice within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Del Mar Analytical case narrative noted that the sample containers were received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted within seven days of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration associated with the sample analyses was analyzed on 03/11/05. The \%RSD was within the QC limit of $\leq 20 \%$. The \%Ds for the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibrations associated with the sample analysis were $\leq 15 \%$. The \%RSD and \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required

### 2.4 METHOD BLANKS

One method blank (5C21048-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. EFH (C13-C22) was not present above the MDL in the method blank or in the instrument blank analyzed at the beginning of the analytical sequence. Review of the chromatograms showed no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One method blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C21048-BS1/BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. The laboratory reported recoveries of alkane range C13C 28 from spiked diesel. The recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits of 40 $120 \%$, and the RPD was within the QC limit of $\leq 25 \%$. The recoveries and RPD were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC1523, } 1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: |  |

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The samples were fortified with the surrogate compound n-octacosane. The sample surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits of $40-125 \%$. The recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses performed on the samples of these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy and precision was based on the BS/BSD results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field blank or equipment rinsate samples associated with the site samples in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with these SDGs.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for EFH n-alkane range C13-C22 by Method 8015B. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for these SDGs by recalculating any sample detects, blank spike recoveries, and a representative number of surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and by the laboratory MDL. Results were reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ( ppm ). No qualifications were required.

# ( Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Sampled: 03118:05
Received: 03/18:05

## DRAFT: EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data nalifier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1523-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{EFH}(\mathrm{Cl} 3-\mathrm{C} 22)$ Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane (40-125\%) | EPA 8015B | SC21048 | 0.082 | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0.957 | 03/21/05 | 03,21/05 |  |

# AMEC Vinumato TEVEL IV 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
Project ID: Outfall 011
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Report Number: IOC1526

## DRAFT: EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { qlifiers } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{EFH}(\mathrm{Cl} 3-\mathrm{C} 22)$ <br> Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane (40-125\%) | EPA 8015 B | 5C21048 | 0.082 | 0.50 | ND $81 \%$ | 0.943 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

# AMEC VALIDATED LEVEL IV 

# CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA 

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pacific Analytical
Reviewer L. Calvin
Analysis/Method GRO by Method 8015M

Package ID T711TF57
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC1523, IOC1526
No. of Analyses 3
Date: April 11, 2005


## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{1}$

. Case Narrative
Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted

4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/fnst. Performance
Calibration
Method blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard Performance
Compound Identification
Quantitation
System Performance

COMMENTS $^{\text {b }} \quad$| Acceptable as reviewed. |
| :--- | :--- |

[^31]
## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons: Purgeable SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC1523, IOC1526

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG: | IOC1523, 1526 |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | TPH |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1523, IOC1526<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: TPH-Purgeable<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 3<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: L. Calvin<br>Date of Review: April 11,2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Extractable Total Fuel Hydrocarbons by GC (DVP-8, Rev. 2), USEPA SW-846 Method 8015M, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ 10 C 1523,1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | TPH |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC1523-01 | water | $8015 M /$ GRO |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | water | $8015 \mathrm{M} /$ GRO |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC1526-02 | water | 8015M/GRO |


| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG: <br> SPDES <br> IOC1523, <br> Analysis: |
| :---: | :---: |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical on ice within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Del Mar Analytical case narrative noted that the samples were received intact, and the COCs indicated the samples were properly preserved, with the exception of the trip blank, which was an unpreserved aliquot. Information regarding lack of headspace in the VOA vials was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water site samples were analyzed within 14 days of collection, and the unpreserved sample (Trip Blank) was analyzed within seven days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

One gasoline standard initial calibration dated 08/26/04 was associated with the sample analyses. The \%RSD for GRO ( $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ ) was within the QC limit of $\leq 20 \%$. An initial calibration verification (ICV) was not provided in the data package. The \%Ds for both CCVs bracketing the sample analyses were within the Method QC limit of $\leq 15 \%$. The \%RSD and \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required

### 2.4 METHOD BLANKS

One water method blank (5C21006-BLK1) was associated with the sample analyses. GRO (C4-C12) was not detected above the MDL in the method blank. Review of the raw data indicated no false negative result. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One water method blank spike (5C21006-BS1) was associated with the sample analyses. GRO (C4-C12) was recovered within the laboratory-established QC limits of $70-140 \%$ in the blank spike. The recovery was checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOCIS23, } 1526 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT |  |  |

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The samples were fortified with the surrogate compound 4-bromofluorobenzene (BFB). Surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC of $65-140 \%$. Recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on site sample Outfall 011 Composite. Recoveries for GRO (C4-C12) were within the laboratory QC limits of $60-140 \%$, and the RPD was within the QC limit of $\leq 20 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Trip Blanks, Field Blanks, and Equipment Rinsates

Sample Trip Blank was the trip blank associated with site sample Outfall 011 Composite. GRO (C4-C12) was not detected above the MDL in the trip blank. Review of the raw data indicated no false negative result. Sample Outfall 011 Grab had no associated trip blank analysis. There were no field blank or equipment rinsate samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples in these SDGs.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for GRO (C4-C12) by EPA SW-846 Method 8015M. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for the samples in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for these SDGs by recalculating any sample detects, blank spike recoveries, and a representative number of surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and by the laboratory MDL. Results were reported in units of $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ (ppb). No qualifications were required.
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CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
Reviewer K. Shadowlight
Analysis/Method Volatiles by 624

Package ID T711VO85
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC1523, IOC1526
No. of Analyses 4
Date April 8, 2005
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* Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
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## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: VOLATILES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC1523, IOC1526

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | NPDES <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT: <br> SDG:IOC1523, IOC1526 |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOC |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC1523, IOC1526<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Volatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 4<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: April 8, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Volatile Organics (DVP-2, Rev. 2), EPA Method 624, SW846 Method 8260B, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary forms as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDDES <br> SDG:IOC1523, <br> Analysis: <br> VOC |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC1523-01 | water | 624 |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC1523-02 | water | 624 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | water | 624 |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC1526-02 | water | 624 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were properly preserved. The COCs noted that the samples were received intact; however, information regarding absence of headspace was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were analyzed within 14 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The ion abundance windows shown on the quantitation reports were consistent with those specified in EPA Method 624, and all ion abundances were within the established windows. The samples and associated QC were analyzed within 12 hours of the BFB injection times. The Form Vs were verified from the raw data and no discrepancies between the summary forms and the raw data were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

Two initial calibrations dated 03/04/05 and 03/16/05 (trichlorotrifluoroethane, acrolein, and acrylonitrile only) were associated with these SDGs. The average RRF for acrolein was $<0.05$ in the initial calibration dated $03 / 16 / 05$; therefore, the nondetect results for acrolein were rejected, "R," in all samples of these SDGs. The average RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ for the remaining target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The $\%$ RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ for all applicable target compounds. Two continuing calibrations dated 03/19/05 and 03/20/05 were associated with the sample analyses in these SDGs. The \%Ds for bromomethane, chloromethane, chloroethane, 1,1dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloroethane, and trichlorofluoromethane exceeded $20 \%$ in the continuing calibration dated 03/19/04; therefore, the nondetect results for the aforementioned target compounds were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in sample Outfall 011 Grab. No qualifications were required for the Trip Blank. The RRF for acrolein was $<0.05$ in the continuing calibration $03 / 20 / 05$; therefore, the nondetect results for acrolein were rejected, "R," in all samples of these SDGs. The RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ for the remaining target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. A representative
number of \%RSDs and average RRFs from the initial calibrations, and \%Ds and RRFs from the continuing calibrations were recalculated from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

Two water method blanks (5C20002-BLK1 and 5C19004-BLK1) were associated with the sample analyses. There were no detects above the MDLs for the target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The method blank raw data showed no evidence of false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Two water blank spike (5C20002-BS1 and 5C19004-BS1) were associated with the sample analyses. All recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The surrogates were recovered within the QC limits of $80-120 \%$ in the samples and associated QC. A representative number of surrogate recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed for these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on blank spike results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Trip Blanks

Sample Trip Blank (IOC1523) and Trip Blank (IOC1526) were the trip blanks associated with this SDG. There were no target compounds detected above the MDLs in the trip blanks. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

### 2.8.3 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with these SDGs.

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

Internal standard area counts and retention times for the samples in these SDGs were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $+100 \% /-50 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 0.50$ minutes for retention times. A representative number of internal standard areas and retention times were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Target compound identification was verified at a Level IV data validation. The laboratory analyzed the volatile target compounds by EPA Method 624. A TIC search was performed for requested target compounds 1,2 -dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane and cyclohexane. The laboratory was calibrated for target compound 1,2-dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane; however, the calibration was not used for identification. Target compound cyclohexane was not included in the calibration (see section 2.11).-Neither compound was detected as a TIC. Chromatograms, retention times, and spectra for the samples and QC were examined and no target compound identification problems were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. The reporting limits were supported by the lowest concentrations of the initial calibration standards and by the MDL study. Calibration was not utilized for target compounds 1,2 -dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane and cyclohexane, therefore, the laboratory performed only a TIC search for these compounds. Nondetects for both compounds were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in sample Outfall 011 Grab and 011 Composite. Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries from the raw data. Results were reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{ppb})$. No calculation or transcription errors were noted. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

The laboratory did not provide TICs for these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

A review of the chromatograms and other raw data showed no identifiable problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 |  | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronuyn Kelly | Number: 10 Cl | Received: 0371805 |

## DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Da } \\ \text { d Quali } \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample D: 1OC1523-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) Reporting Units: ug $/$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5 Cl 19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 |  | 03:19:05 | 45 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03:19/05 | 03/19:05 | UJ | $\begin{aligned} & * 11 \\ & * 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-02 (DRAFT: Trip Blank - Water) <br> Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-rifiuoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | SC19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND |  | 03/19/05 | 03:19:05 | $u$ |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outfall 011

Report Number: IOC1523

Sampled: 03/1805
Received: 03:18:05

## DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)



## amec validated

## DRAFT REPORT



## DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factore | n Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Qu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1D: 1OC1523-02 (DRA Reporting Units: ug/l | rip Blank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rel | SuO code |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03:19:05. | 03/19/05 | U |  |
| Bromodichloromethave | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.30 | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03i19/05 | 03:19/05 |  |  |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | $5 C 19004$ | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19105 | 03/19:05 |  |  |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19105 |  |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19:05 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| Chlorcethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19105 | 03/19105 |  |  |
| Chloreform | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19105 |  |  |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19105 | 03119.05 |  |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.28 | $2.1)$ | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.32 | $2.1)$ | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | ] | 0319105 | 03/19:05 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19.05 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | SC19004 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19.05 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | SC19004 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03:19.05 |  |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C19004}$ | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19105 | 03119,05 |  |  |
| 1,2-Diohloropropane | EPA 624 | $5 C 19004$ | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 19105$ | 03/19:05 |  |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 1905$ | 0371905 |  |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19:05 |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19105 | 03/19,05 |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03119105 |  |  |
| 1,1.2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | $5 C 19004$ | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19705 | 03/19705 |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | $5 C 19004$ | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03:19:05 |  |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03:19/05 |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethanc | EPA 624 | SC19004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03:19\%05 |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19105 | 03/19/05 |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03:19:05 | 03:1905 |  |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03i19/05 | 03/1905 |  |  |
| Trichlororrifluoroethane (Frcon 113) | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19105 | 2 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane ( $80-120 \%$ ) Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) <br> Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene ( $80-120 \%$ ) |  |  |  |  | $111 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $101 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 95\% |  |  |  |  |  |

# AMEC VALIDATED 




MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
Project ID: Outfall 011

Report Number: 1OC1523
Sampled: 0311805
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)


AMEC VALIDATED


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Outfall 01 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/1805 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 1526$ | Received: 03/18/0S |
| Attention: Bronuyn Kelly |  |  |

DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

Analyte
Method
Batch
MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date

Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ug/1
Benzene
Bromodichloromethane
Bromoform
Bromomethane
Carbon tetrachloride
Chlorobenzene
Chloroethane
Chloroform
Chloromethane
Dibromochloromethane
1,2-Dichlorobenzene
1,3-Dichlorobenzene
1,4-Dichlorobenzene
1,1-Dichloroethane
1,2-Dichloroethane
1,1-Dichloroethene
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
1,2-Dichloropropane cis-1,3-Dichloropropene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene
Ethylbenzene
Methylene chloride
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
Tetrachloroethene
Toluene
1,1,1-Trichloroethane
1,1,2-Trichloroethane
Trichloroethene
Trichlorofluoromethane
Vinyl chloride
Xylenes, Total
Trichlororifluoroethane (Frcon 113)
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane ( $80-120 \%$ )
Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%)
Surrogate: 4 -Bromofluorobenzene $(80-120 \%)$


DRAFT REPORT
DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE


Project ID: Outfall 011<br>Report Number: $10 C 1526$<br>Sampled: 03/18/05<br>Received: 03/18/05

DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

多


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Outfall 011 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 Norh Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: 1OC1526 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Received: 03/18/05 |  |
| Atention: Bronwy Kelly |  |  |

DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA. 91101 | Report Number: 10 Cl 526 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

# DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY DDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS 

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Da} \\ \text { Quali } \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1526-01 (DRAF Reporting Units: ugh | ; Outfall 011 Com | mposite - | ater) |  |  |  |  |  | 24.21 | fiod ${ }_{\text {bde }}$ |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-rifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | $5 C 20002$ | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 | 45 | * 11 |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5 C 20002 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03:20/05 | 45 | $\cdots$ |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-02 (DRAFT: Trip Blank - Water) <br> Reporting Units: ug 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C20002 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 | 4 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5 C 20002 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03i20105 | 4 |  |

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: VOLATILES<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC1523, IOC1526

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC1523, IOC1526<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Volatiles (1,4-dioxane)<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No, of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: K. Shadowlight<br>Date of Review: April 8, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Volatile Organics (DVP-2, Rev. 2), EPA Method SW-846 8260 B and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC1523, IOC1526 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. <br> Del Mar, CA | Lab No. <br> Del Mar, AZ | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC1523-01 | POC0620-01 | water | 8260 B |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | POC0614-01 | water | 8260 B |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the Del Mar within the temperature limits of $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were subcontracted to Del Mar (Phoenix) for 1,4-dioxane analysis. The samples were properly preserved. The COCs and transfer COCs noted that the samples were received intact; however, information regarding absence of headspace was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were signed by field and laboratory personnel. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory from the field, custody seals were not required. According to the transfer COCs, there were no custody seals present on the coolers received by Del Mar Analytical in Arizona. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summary reports by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were analyzed within 14 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The ion abundance windows were consistent with those specified in EPA Method 8260B. All ion abundances were within the established windows, and the samples were analyzed within 12 hours of the BFB injection time. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

One initial calibration, dated $03 / 19 / 05$, was associated with these SDGs. The average RRF for $1,4-$ dioxane was $\geq 0.05$ and the $r^{2}$ value was $\geq 0.995$. The laboratory reported the continuing calibration and the blank spike (P5C2203-BS1) from the same analysis. As the analysis cannot be reported as both a CCV and a blank spike, the reviewer reported P5C2203-BS1 as the continuing calibration. The RRF for 1,4 -dioxane was $\geq 0.05$ and the $\% \mathrm{D}$ was $\leq 20 \%$. The $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ value and average RRF for 1,4 -dioxane in the initial calibration, and the $\% \mathrm{D}$ and RRF for 1,4-dioxane in the continuing calibration were recalculated from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCI523, IOCIS26 |

### 2.4 BLANKS

One water method blank (P5C2203-BLK1) was associated with these SDGs. Target compound 1,4dioxane was not detected in the method blank. The method blank raw data showed no evidence of a false negative. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory analyzed a blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (P5C2203-BS1/BS1D) with these SDGs; however, P5C2203-BS1 was reported as the CCV (see section 2.3); therefore, PSC2203-BS1D was evaluated as a single blank spike. The recovery for 1,4 -dioxane was within the QC limits of $70-130 \%$. The recovery was recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The samples and QC were fortified with dibromofluoromethane. The surrogate was recovered within the laboratory QC limits of $80-125 \%$. The surrogate recoveries for the samples were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were associated with these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on blank spike results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Trip Blanks

The samples in these SDGs had no associated trip blank. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.1.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The site samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with these SDGs.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC1523, |

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

Internal standard area counts and retention times for the samples were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $+100 \% /-50 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 0.50$ minutes for retention times. Internal standard areas and retention times were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Target compound identification was verified at a Level IV data validation. The laboratory analyzed for 1,4-dioxane by Method 8260B/SIM. Chromatograms, retention times, and spectra for the samples and QC were examined and no target compound identification problems were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. The reporting limit was supported by the lowest concentration of the initial calibration standards and by the undated MDL supplied by the laboratory. Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating blank spike and surrogate recoveries from the raw data. No calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

TICs are not typically reported for SIM methods.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

A review of the chromatograms and other raw data showed no identifiable problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.






## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)



## SMEC VALIDATED Level IV

Del Mar Analytical - Phoenix
Karen Maxwell
Proper Manager
Del Mar Analytical - Irvine
17461 Derian Ave. Sute 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Allention: Michete Hamer …

Project ID: 10C1526
Renorl Number: POC0614

Sampled: 031805
Received 032205

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)



Fruect Manager


# amec ${ }^{\circ}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS <br> SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1523 \& IOC1526

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC1523, IOB1526<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>Reviewer: L. Jarusewic<br>Date of Review: April 4, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 300.0, 350.2, 330.5, 405.1, 335.2, 413.1, 415.1, 418.1, 218.6, 120.1, 160.2, 160.5, and 180.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-C and SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

| NPDES |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Project: | SDG No.: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | IOCIS23/1526 |  |
| Analysis: | General Minerals |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011-Grab | Outfall 011-Grab | IOC1523-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 011-Composite | Outfall 011-Composite | IOC1526-01 | Water | General Minerals |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC1523/1526 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for all analyses present in these SDGs except fluoride for Outfall 011-Composite. The fluoride analysis was requested in a memo from MWH personnel dated 03/21/05 Outfall 011-Composite. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the dates of analyses. The 28 -day analytical holding time for ammonia, fluoride, chloride, sulfate, conductivity, total recoverable hydrocarbons, TOC, and oil and grease, the 14-day holding time for cyanide, the seven-day holding time for total suspended solids and total dissolved solids, the 48 -hour holding time for surfactants, turbidity, nitrate/nitrite, biological oxygen demand, and total setteable solids, and the 24 -hour hexavalent chromium and residual chlorine holding times were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$, except for cyanide. The reviewer could not reproduce the cyanide initial calibration curve. The $r^{2}$ obtained by the reviewer was marginally less than 0.995; therefore, nondetected cyanide in samples Outfall 011 -Grab and Outfall 011-Composite were qualified as estimated, "UJ." Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. For ammonia, no information regarding the standardization of the titrant was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. For BOD, no information regarding the calibration of the oxygen meter was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. Calibration is not applicable to residual chlorine, oil and grease, total dissolved solids, total suspended solids, or total settleable solids. The total cyanide reporting limit check standard was recovered within the control limits of $70-130 \%$. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Turbidity was detected in method blank 5C19032-BLK1 at 0.060 NTU; however, the method blank result was insufficient to qualify the Outfall 011 -Grab and Outfall 011 -Composite results. Fluoride was

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCI523/1526 |

detected in the method blank SC18104-BLK1 at $0.103 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, fluoride detected in Outfall 011Grab and Outfall 011-Composite was qualified as estimated, "UJ." Cyanide was reported in method blank 5C21083-BLK1 at $-0.0062 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, nondetected cyanide in samples Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011-Composite was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The remaining method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the samples were nondetects at the reporting limit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (BOD, oil and grease, and total recoverable hydrocarbons only) recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits. The LCS is not applicable to turbidity, conductivity, residual chlorine, or setteable solids. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

A laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on sample Outfall 011-Grab for residual chlorine. The RPD was within the control limits of $\leq 20 \%$ and no qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOCl523/1526 |

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Cyanide in Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011-Composite was reported in the raw data at -0.0053 and $-0.0064 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively, and the method blank associated with Outfall $011-\mathrm{Grab}$ and Outfall 011 -Composite was reported at $-0.0062 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Due to these negative results, the reviewer raised the MDL and the reporting limit on the Form Is to the level of interference. BOD and surfactant in Outfall 011 -Grab and surfactant in Outfall 011 -Composite detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenne, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Ouffall 011

Report Number: IOC1523

Sampled: 03/18:05
Received: 03/18:05

DRAFT: TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)


## AMEC VALIDATED



## Del Mar Analytical

Ag, Chesapreake Dr., Suite 805. San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9589
9830 Souty 5 ist St. Suite B-12C. Pnoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 78.5-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 $25 \% 2$ E. Sur:set Ro. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) 790.3620 FAX (702) 793-3621

| MWH-Pasadena/Bocing | Project ID: Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/18:05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 Cl 153 | Received. 03/18/05 |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data
Analyte
Method
Batch Limit Limit Result FactorExtracted

## Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont.

Reporting Units: m//hhr
Total Settleable Solids
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { EPA. } 160.5 & 5 C 19045 & 0.10 & 0.10 & N D & 1 & 03: 19: 05 & 03.19: 05 & U\end{array}$

## AMEC VALIDAIIL

## LEVEL IV

17461Derian Ave., Suite 1 CO, Irvine. CA $925: 4$ (949) 261-1022 FAX (9.40) 260.2207 1214 E. Cooley Or., Suite A, Coltor, CA 92324 (909) 370-4667 FAX $9491370-1026$

## Del Mar Analytical

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Outfall 011

Report Number: IOCl 523

Sampled: 03/18:05
Received: 03/18/05

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED




| MWH-Pasadena Boeing | Project ID: Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03:18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 Cl 523 | Received 03i18:05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS

| Analyte |  |  | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Date Factor Extracted |  |  | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Method | Batch |  |  |  |  |  | Analyzed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qualifie } \\ & \text { REV } \\ & \text { QUAC } \end{aligned}$ | QuAKL CORE |
| Sample D: 1OC1523 Reporting Units: | utfall 011 | $A B-\text { Wat }$ | - con |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance | EPA 120.1 | 5C21077 | 1.0 | 1.10 | 360 | 1 | 03:21/05 | 03:21:05 |  |  |

# AMEC VALIDATED 

LEVEL IV




2523 E. Sunse: Rd. \#3, Las Veses. NV 89:20 (702)798-3620 FAX (702) 798-302:

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Ourfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Sampled: 03/18:05
Received: 03:18/05

## DRAFT: INORGANICS




## aniec validatied

## DRAFT REPORT

DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBIECT TOCHANOE

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101

Project ID: Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: IOC1526
Received: 03/18/05

## DRAFT: TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

Analyte
Method
MOL
Reporting
Sample Dilution Date
Date
Data

Batch
Limit
Limit Result Factor Extracted Analyzed Qualifiers REV GQUNL oust. QuA
CODE
Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) Reporting Units: mg/l
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons
EPA 418.1 5 C22091 0.31
1.0

ND
1

## AMES VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

# D Del Mar Analytical 

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project 1D: Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: 10 Cl 1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDAIED

## LEVEL IV

## DRAFT REPORT

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing Project ID: Outfall 011
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
```

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: Outfall 011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101. | Report Number: $10 C 1526$ | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwry Kelly |  |  |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilutio Factor | Date xtracted | Date | Data ualifi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: umbos/cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance | EPA 120.1 | SC21077 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 350 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

MWH-Pasadena Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 1526$

Sampled: 03/18:05
Received: 0311805

## DRAFT: INORGANIC



Sample D: IOC1526-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/
Ammonia-N (Distilled)
Biochemical Oxygen Demand
Chloride
Chromium VI
Total Cyanide
Fluoride
Nitrate/Nitrite-N
Oil \& Grease
Residual Chlorine
Sulfate
Surfactants (MBAS)
Total Dissolved Solids
Total Organic Carbon
Total Suspended Solids


# AMES VALIDATED 



DRAFT REPORT
DRAFT REPORT

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


# amec ${ }^{\theta}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: PERCHLORATE

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC1523 \& IOC1526

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC1523, IOC1526<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Perchlorate<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>Reviewer: L. Jarusewic<br>Date of Review: April 6, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev, 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 314.0, and 120.1, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDG No.: | IOC1523/1526 |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: $\quad$ Perchiorate |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011-Grab | Outfall 011-Grab | 1OC1523-01 | Water | Perchlorate |
| Outfall 011-Composite | Outfall 011-Composite | IOC1526-01 | Water | Perchlorate |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not required preservation and no preservation was noted in the field. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the samples and analysis presented in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding time was assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the date of analysis. The 28day analytical holding time for perchlorate was met, and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration correlation coefficient was $\geq 0.995$. The IPC-MA recovery was within the control limits of $80-120 \%$. The ICV, CCV, ICCS, and IPC recoveries were within the control limits of $90-$ $110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the samples were nondetects at the reporting limit. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recovery was within the method control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analysis presented in these SDGs.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDG No.: | IOC1523/1526 |
| DATA VALID.ATION REPORT | Analysis: | Perchlorate |

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analysis presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.


 9830 South 51 st St., Sute $8-120$, Phoenix. AZ 85044 (4001 785-0043 FAX (480) 785.085

MWH-Pasadena Boeing
300 North Lake Averue, Suite 1200 Project ID: Ouffall $011 \quad$ Sampled: $03 / 18.05$

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly<br>Arention. Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: Outfall 011

Report Number: 1OC1526

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED




# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
Issued: 04/12/05 19:10

NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117
The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chains) of Custody, 8 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## CASE NARRATIVE

SAMPLE RECEIPT: Samples were received intact, at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, on ice and with chain of custody documentation.
HOLDING TIMES: All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times and/or in accordance with the Del Mar Analytical Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the report.
PRESERVATION: Samples requiring preservation were verified prior to sample analysis.
QA/QC CRITERIA: All analyses met method criteria, except as noted in the report with data qualifiers. The ICAL \%RSD failed the acceptance limit for 2,4-Dinitrophenol. Instrument sensitivity was acceptable based upon the response for 2,4-Dinitrophenol at the low ICAL level. The CCV and BS/BSD met acceptance limits for the analyte. Affected samples were ND for this analyte, without J flag detection. Therefore, since acceptable sensitivity is represented by the instrument and the extraction procedure, the analyte was flagged with ' $\mathrm{N}-1$ ' and reported.

COMMENTS: $\quad$ Results that fall between the MDL and RL are ' $J$ ' flagged.
SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.
LABORATORY ID
IOC1523-01
IOC1523-02
IOC1523-03
IOC1523-04

| CLIENT ID | MATRIX |
| :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 GRAB | Water |
| Trip Blank | Water |
| Outfall 011 GRAB/filter | Water |
| Outfall 011 GRAB/Substrate | Water |

Reviewed By:


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Report Number: | IOC1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |

TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons | EPA 418.1 | 5C22091 | 0.31 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/22/05 | 03/22/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) | EPA 8015B | 5C21048 | 0.082 | 0.50 | ND | 0.957 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Surrogate: n-Octacosane (40-125\%) |  |  |  |  | 91\% |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 9110
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mgh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | EPA 8015 Mod. | 5C21006 | 0.050 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) |  |  |  |  | 81\% |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Report Number: | $10 C 1523$ | Received: 03/18/05 |

$$
\text { Received: } 03 / 18 / 05
$$

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 C 1523
Received: 03/18/05

PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) Reperting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004. | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | SC19004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 114\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $102 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 94\% |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC1523
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
```


## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1523-02 (Trip Blank - Water)Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 19004 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| cis-1, Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 19 / 05$ | 03/19/05 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 19004 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 Cl 9004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C19004 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 111\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%)Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $101 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |

[^32]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data <br> Qualfiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | SC19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C19004 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

$\begin{array}{rlr}\text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } & \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } & \text { Sampled: 03/18/05 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC1523 } & \text { Received: 03/18/05 }\end{array}$

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RL-3 |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Acenaphthylene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Aniline | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 5.8 | 20 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Anthracene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzidine | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 4.8 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 | L2 |
| Benzoic acid | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 7.4 | 40 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.076 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.28 | 4.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.10 | 4.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.12 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.11 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.42 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.22 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 2.2 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.68 | 10 | 1.1 | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 | B, J |
| 4-Chloroaniline | EPA 625 | $5 \mathrm{C2002} 2$ | 0.40 | 4.0 | ND | 194 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.68 | 4.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.11 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Chrysene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ )anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dibenzofuran | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.22 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.26 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 1.9 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.42 | 4.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | 0.43 | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 | B, ${ }^{\text {J }}$ |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.62 | 4.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.16 | 1.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.76 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 5.4 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.46 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.48 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.34 | 10 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.17 | 2.0 | ND | 1.94 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Harper Project Manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Outfall 011 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOC1523 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed |
| Qualifiers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Outfall 011 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOC1523 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1221 | EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | EPA 608 | SC19034 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.40 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $64 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 1523

Received: 03/18/05

| METALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.00014 | 0.0010 | 0.036 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Boron | EPA 200.7 | 5 Cl 9039 | 0.0074 | 0.050 | 0.090 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Iron | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.0032 | 0.010 | 0.29 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B-1 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
$\begin{aligned} \text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC1523 }\end{aligned}$

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

|  |  |  | MET | LS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 10C1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Arsenic | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.49 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Beryllium | EPA 200.8 | SC19038 | 0.037 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.085 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Chromium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Cobait | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.10 | 1.0 | 0.35 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.30 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Manganese | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 65 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B-1 |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C19029 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Nickel | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.15 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B |
| Selenium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.36 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Silver | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.089 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Thallium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.075 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Vanadium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.86 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Zinc | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 3.1 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall }01
Report Number: IOCl523
```

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg $\boldsymbol{n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5C22089 | 0.30 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/22/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5 C 18070 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/23/05 | J |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5C18104 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 15 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/18/05 |  |
| Fluoride | EPA 300.0 | 5 Cl 18104 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/18/05 | B, J |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 18104 | 0.072 | 0.11 | ND | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/18/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5C21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Residual Chlorine | EPA 330.5 | 5 Cl 9030 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 Cl 18104 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 42 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/18/05 |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | SM5540-C | 5 C 18107 | 0.044 | 0.10 | 0.080 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/18/05 | J |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5 C 21073 | 10 | 10 | 220 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Total Organic Carbon | EPA 415.1 | 5 C 22101 | 0.25 | 1.0 | 13 | 1 | 03/22/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C21068 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

| INORGANICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Methed | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 10C1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Settleable Solids | EPA 160.5 | 5C19045 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 <br> Report Number: <br> IOC1523 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |

Received: 03/18/05

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity | EPA 180.1 | 5C19032 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention; Bronwyn Kelly

$\begin{aligned} \text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC1523 }\end{aligned}$
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

| INORGANICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: IOC1523-01 (Outfall 011 GRAB - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance | EPA 120.1 | 5C21077 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 360 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 1523 \quad$ Received: 03/18/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: $\begin{aligned} & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ & \text { Outfall 011 }\end{aligned}$
Report Number: 10 C 1523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## MLIHOB BLAMIGOCDATA

## TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C22091 Extracted: 03/22/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C22091-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons ND | 1.0 | 0.31 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C22091-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons 4.49 | 1.0 | 0.31 | mg/l | 5.00 |  | 90 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C22091-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons 4.59 | 1.0 | 0.31 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 92 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 10 Cl 523 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKQC DATA

## EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Report Number: | IOC1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |

Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | 1OC1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATTA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C20002 Extracted: 03/20/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-BLK1)

| Acrolein | ND | 50 | 4.6 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 50 | 5.1 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether | ND | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.7 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 | 111 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.5 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 | 102 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 23.8 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 | 95 | 80-120 |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether | 26.5 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/l | 25.0 | 106 | 20-175 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.8 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 | 111 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.7 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 | 103 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 25.3 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 | 101 | 80-120 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |  |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: | IOC1523 |

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C19004 Extracted: 03/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19004-BLK1)

| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 |
| Bromoform | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 |
| Bromomethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.33 |
| Chloroform | ND | 2.0 | 0.33 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.30 |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 |
| 1.4Dichilorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.37 |
| 1, Dichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.25 |
| Methylene chioride | ND | 5.0 | 0.48 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 |
| Toluene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 4.0 | 0.52 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | ND | 5.0 | 1.2 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.9 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.6 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 23.7 |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 10 Cl 1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHODBLANKIQC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

Analyte Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C19004 Extracted: 03/19/05
LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19004-BS1)

| Benzene | 23.6 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ugh | 25.0 | 94 | 70-120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 23.8 | 2.0 | 0.30 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | 95 | 70-140 |
| Bromoform | 23.2 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | 93 | 55-135 |
| Bromomethane | 25.0 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | 100 | 60-140 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 23.1 | 0.50 | 0.28 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 25.0 | 92 | 70-140 |
| Chlorobenzene | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 25.0 | 92 | 80-125 |
| Chloroethane | 23.6 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/l | 25.0 | 94 | 60-145 |
| Chitoroform | 26.0 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | 104 | 75-130 |
| Chloromethane | 24.5 | 5.0 | 0.30 | $u g / 1$ | 25.0 | 98 | 40-145 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | 65-145 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | 94 | 80-120 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 23.1 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | 92 | 80-120 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 23.4 | 2.0 | 037 | ugA | 25.0 | 94 | 80-120 |
| 1,10Dichloroethane | 25.8 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug 1 | 25.0 | 103 | 70-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 27.7 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/l | 25.0 | 111 | 60-150 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 23.5 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | 94 | 75-135 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/l | 25.0 | 98 | 70-130 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 24.6 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/I | 25.0 | 98 | 70-120 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 24.2 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/l | 25.0 | 97 | 75-130 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 24.7 | 2.0 | 0.24 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | 99 | 75-135 |
| Ethylbenzene | 23.7 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | 95 | 80-120 |
| Methylene chloride | 25.4 | 5.0 | 0.48 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 25.0 | 102 | 60-135 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 27.3 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/l | 25.0 | 109 | 60-135 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 21.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | 86 | 75-125 |
| Toluene | 23.3 | 2.0 | 0.36 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | 93 | 75-120 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25.0 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 100 | 75-140 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.7 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | 99 | 70-125 |
| Trichloroethene | 22.4 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 25.0 | 90 | 80-120 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 25.2 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugh | 25.0 | 101 | 65-145 |
| Vinyl chloride | 21.3 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 85 | 50-130 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 28.0 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 | 112 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.6 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 | 102 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 25.0 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 | 100 | 80-120 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Report Number: $10 \mathrm{Cl523}$ |

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C19004 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19004-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C1509-02 |  |  |  |  |  | A-01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 22.4 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-120 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | 22.8 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 91 | 70-140 |  |
| Bromoform | 21.2 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 85 | 55-140 |  |
| Bromomethane | 24.0 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 50-145 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 37.5 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 16 | 86 | 70-145 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | 21.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 80-125 |  |
| Chloroethane | 23.0 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 50-145 |  |
| Chloroform | 45.8 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | 22 | 95 | 70-135 |  |
| Chloromethane | 22.6 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 35-145 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | 21.9 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 65-145 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 22.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 75-130 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 75-130 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 226 | 20 | 0.37 | ugh | 250 | ND | 90 | 80-120 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 243 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 65-135 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.0 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 104 | 60-150 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 21.3 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 85 | 65-140 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 22.6 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 65-135 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 65-130 |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 22.8 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 91 | 70-140 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 70-140 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 22.4 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-130 |  |
| Methylene chloride | 23.9 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 60-135 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25.2 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 60-145 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | 21.1 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 0.79 | 81 | 70-130 |  |
| Toluene | 22.0 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 70-120 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 23.7 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 95 | 75-140 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 60-135 |  |
| Trichloroethene | 32.6 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 12 | 82 | 70-125 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 60.8 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l | 25.0 | 39 | 87 | 55-145 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | 19.8 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 79 | 40-135 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 28.0 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 112 | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.4 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 102 | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.9 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID:13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 <br> Report Number: <br> IOC1523 | Sampled: <br> Received: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS |  |  |


| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C19004 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19004-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclohexane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC1523 | Received: $03 / 18 / 05$ |

## METHOD BLANKIGC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BLK1)

| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugh |
| Aniline | ND | 10 | 2.9 | ugh |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ugh |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ |
| Benzoic acid | ND | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ |
| Beazo(a)anthracene | ND | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | ND | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/ |
| Benzyl alcohol | ND | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/1 |
| Bis 2 -chloroethoxy) methane | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-chloroetty) ether | ND | 050 | 0.084 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 0.600 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |
| 4-Chloroaniline | ND | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ugh |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |
| Chirsene | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ |
| Dibenzofuran | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ugh |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.13 | ugh |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/l |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | ND | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.21 | ugd |
| Diethyl phthalate | 0.220 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.31 | ug/ |
| Dimethyl phthalate | ND | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1523
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
```


## METHOD BLANIKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05

| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ |  |  |  | N-1 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.089 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ND | 5.0 | 1.8 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachloroethane | ND | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ND | 2.0 | 0.19 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Isophorone | ND | 1.0 | 0.059 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | ND | 1.0 | 0.077 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.78 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Phenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.14 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 12.3 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 62 | 30-120 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKJQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 12.0 |  |  | ugh | 20.0 |  | 60 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 15.4 |  |  | ug/l | 20.0 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.34 |  |  | ug $A$ | 10.0 |  | 63 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.02 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 7.70 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Acenaphthene | 7.60 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthylene | 7.76 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 78 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Aniline | 7.02 | 10 | 2.9 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 70 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Anthracene | 7.94 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 79 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ugh | 10.0 |  |  | 20-160 |  |  | L2 |
| Benzoic acid | 7.08 | 20 | 3.7 | ugd | 10.0 |  | 71 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 8.78 | 5.0 | 0.038 | ugh | 10.0 | \% | 88 | 60-120. |  | \% |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 8.28 | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 83 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 7.98 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 80 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 7.68 | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 77 | 40-125 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 8.24 | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.48 | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 7.56 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 6.46 | 0.50 | 0.084 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 65 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 6.98 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 8.18 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 60-130 |  |  |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 7.30 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 73 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 8.02 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-125 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 6.88 | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 7.82 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 78 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 7.16 | 2.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 72 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 7.94 | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 79 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 6.82 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 68 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Chrysene | 8.32 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 83 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 8.64 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 86 | 45-130 |  |  |  |
| Dibenzofuran | 7.52 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 75 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 8.02 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-125 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 6.12 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 61 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 6.00 | 0.50 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 60 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Harper Project Manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Report Number: | IOC1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte Result

Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05
LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BS1)

| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 5.96 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 7.18 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 7.36 |
| Diethyl phthalate | 7.40 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 6.64 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 7.78 |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 8.54 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 7.42 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 6.94 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 7.46 |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 9.76 |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 7.98 |
| Fluoranthene | 8.32 |
| Fluorene | 8.12 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 7.64 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 6.48 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 6.58 |
| Hexachloroethane | 6.08 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 8.12 |
| Isophorone | 6.94 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 7.42 |
| 2-Methylphenol | 7.02 |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.14 |
| Naphthalene | 7.10 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 7.92 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 7.18 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 7.68 |
| Nitrobenzene | 6.56 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.28 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 8.18 |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 6.94 |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 6.80 |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 7.34 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 8.06 |
| Phenanthrene | 7.82 |
|  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) | Outfall 011 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: | IOC1523 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
|  |  |  |

## MITHIOD BLANIGOCDATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

M-NR1

| Phenol | 7.76 | 1.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 | 78 | 45-120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pyrene | 8.14 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 50-120 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 6.40 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 64 | 45-120 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 8.04 | 2.0 | 0.075 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 80 | 60-120 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.04 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 60-120 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.1 |  |  | ug/l | 20.0 | 66 | 30-120 |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.0 |  |  | ugh | 20.0 | 65 | 35-120 |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.1 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 80 | 45-120 |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.72 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 | 67 | 45-120 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.48 |  |  | ugh | 10.0 | 75 | 45-120 |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-di4 | 7.66 |  |  | ug $/$ | 10.0 | 77 | 45-120 |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BSD1)

| Acenaphthene | . 7.52 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugh | 10.0 | 75 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthylene | 7.54 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 75 | 55-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Aniline | 6.88 | 10 | 2.9 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 35-120 | 2 | 25 |
| Anthracene | 7.78 | 0.50 | 0.083 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 78 | 55-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 20-160 |  | 35 |
| Benzoic acid | 6.18 | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ | 10.0 | 62 | 35-120 | 14 | 30 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 8.48 | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ | 10.0 | 85 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 8.12 | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 55-120 | 2 | 25 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 7.90 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/l | 10.0 | 79 | 50-120 | 1 | 25 |
| Benzo(g, h, i) perylene | 7.32 | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 40-125 | 5 | 25 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 7.98 | 0.50 | 0.053 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 10.0 | 80 | 50-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.26 | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 45-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 7.42 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ugh | 10.0 | 74 | 55-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 6.10 | 0.50 | 0.084 | ug/ | 10.0 | 61 | 50-120 | 6 | 20 |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 6.98 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 8.08 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 60-130 | 1 | 20 |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 7.30 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 50-120 | 0 | 25 |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 8.02 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 55-125 | 0 | 20 |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 6.62 | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 10.0 | 66 | 50-120 | 4 | 25 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 7.54 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 75 | 55-120 | 4 | 20 |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 6.86 | 2.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 60-120 | 4 | 25 |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 8.16 | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ | 10.0 | 82 | 55-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 6.74 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 | 67 | 45-120 | 1 | 25 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011

Report Number: 10 C 1523
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05
LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BSD1)

| Chrysene | 8.10 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/l | 10.0 | 81 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) anthracene | 8.08 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/l | 10.0 | 81 | 45-130 | 7 | 25 |
| Dibenzofuran | 7.54 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/l | 10.0 | 75 | 60-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 8.10 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 10.0 | 81 | 55-125 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 5.86 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 | 59 | 35-120 | 4 | 25 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 5.64 | 0.50 | 0.13 | $u g / 1$ | 10.0 | 56 | 35-120 | 6 | 25 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 5.68 | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 57 | 35-120 | 5 | 25 |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 6.88 | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 45-130 | 4 | 25 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 7.30 | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Diethyl phthalate | 7.32 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/l | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 6.42 | 2.0 | 0.31 | ug/I | 10.0 | 64 | 30-120 | 3 | 25 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 7.70 | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/l | 10.0 | 77 | 60-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 4.6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 8.26 | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 83 | $50-120$ | 3 | 25 |
| 2,4 Dinitrophenol | 7.02 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ugh | 10.0 : | 70 | 40-120 | 6 | 25. |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 6.92 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ugh | 10.0 | 69 | 60-120 | 0 | 20 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 7.22 | 5.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 10.0 | 72 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 9.76 | 5.0 | 0.17 | ugh | 10.0 | 98 | 60-130 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 8.02 | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 60-120 | 1 | 25 |
| Fluoranthene | 8.28 | 0.50 | 0.089 | ug/ | 10.0 | 83 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Fluorene | 8.34 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/l | 10.0 | 83 | $60-120$ | 3 | 20 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 7.50 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 10.0 | 75 | 50-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 5.84 | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/l | 10.0 | 58 | 40-120 | 10 | 25 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 6.76 | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/ | 10.0 | 68 | 15-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Hexachloroethane | 5.66 | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/l | 10.0 | 57 | 35-120 | 7 | 25 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 7.86 | 2.0 | 0.19 | ug/l | 10.0 | 79 | 40-130 | 3 | 25 |
| Isophorone | 6.12 | 1.0 | 0.059 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 61 | 50-120 | 13 | 20 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 7.12 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 71 | 50-120 | 4 | 20 |
| 2-Methylphenol | 6.92 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ugh | 10.0 | 69 | 45-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.06 | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/l | 10.0 | 71 | 45-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Naphthalene | 6.86 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh | 10.0 | 69 | 50-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 7.94 | 5.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 10.0 | 79 | 60-120 | 0 | 20 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 6.78 | 5.0 | 0.35 | ugl | 10.0 | 68 | 55-120 | 6 | 25 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 7.64 | 5.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 10.0 | 76 | 50-125 | 1 | 20 |
| Nitrobenzene | 6.62 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 66 | 50-120 | 1 | 25 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.20 | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 72 | 55-120 | 1 | 25 |

N-1

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BSD1)

| 4-Nitrophenol | 7.96 | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 45-120 | 3 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 6.82 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 10.0 | 68 | 40-120 | 2 | 20 |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 6.68 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 67 | 45-120 | 2 | 20 |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 7.28 | 1.0 | 0.077 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 7.92 | 2.0 | 0.78 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 50-120 | 2 | 25 |
| Phenanthrene | 7.68 | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 55-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Phenol | 7.62 | 1.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 | 76 | 45-120 | 2 | 25 |
| Pyrene | 7.96 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 50-120 | 2 | 25 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 6.06 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 61 | 45-120 | 5 | 20 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 7.66 | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 60-120 | 5 | 20 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 7.78 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 78 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | - 12.8 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 64 | 30-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 12.9 |  |  | $4 \mathrm{~g} /$ | 20.0 | 64 | 35-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate 2,4,6 Tribromophenol | 16.0 |  |  | ug $a$ | 20.0 | 80 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-ds | 6.74 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 | 67 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.16 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 | 72 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-dI4 | 7.48 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1523

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Sampled: } & 03 / 18 / 05 \\
\text { Received: } & 03 / 18 / 05
\end{aligned}
$$

## METHOD BLANK/OC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C19034 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| beta-BHC | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| delta-BHC | ND | 0.20 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlordane | ND | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4 - -DDD | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDE | ND | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDT | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dieldrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan I | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan II | ND | 0.10 | 0.040 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ND | 0.20 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| Endrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endrin aldehyde | ND | 0.10 | 0.045 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endrin ketone | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methoxychlor | ND | 0.10 | 0.035 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toxaphene | ND | 5.0 | 1.5 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.320 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 64 | 35-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.403 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Aldrin | 0.340 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ugd | 0.500 |  | 68 | 40-115 |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | 0.351 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| beta-BHC | 0.339 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ugh | 0.500 |  | 68 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| delta-BHC | 0.351 | 0.20 | 0.020 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 70 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.357 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 |  | 71 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| 4,4 - -DDD | 0.390 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 78 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDE | 0.380 | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDT | 0.402 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 80 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Dieldrin | 0.380 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan I | 0.359 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 72 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan II | 0.377 | 0.10 | 0.040 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 75 | 60-125 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.377 | 0.20 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 75 | 60-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 523
Received: 03/18/05

Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## METHOD BLANKGC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

Analyte
Result
Batch: 5C19034 Extracted: 03/19/05

LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BS1)

| Endrin | 0.378 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.339 |
| Endrin ketone | 0.393 |
| Heptachlor | 0.357 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.352 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.386 |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.299 |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.398 |


| 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.10 | 0.045 | ugh | 0.500 |
| 0.10 | 0.020 | ugl | 0.500 |
| 0.10 | 0.030 | ugl | 0.500 |
| 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |
| 0.10 | 0.035 | ug/l | 0.500 |
|  |  | ugh | 0.500 |
|  |  | $u g h$ | 0.500 |


| 76 | $55-125$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 68 | $55-115$ |
| 79 | $60-115$ |
| 71 | $45-115$ |
| 70 | $50-115$ |
| 77 | $60-120$ |
| 60 | $35-115$ |
| 80 | $45-120$ |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BSD1)

| Aldrin | 0.380 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/l | 0.500 | 76 | 40-115 | 11 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alpha-BHC | 0.391 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 | 78 | 45-115 | 11 | 30 |
| beta-BHC | 0.375 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 | 75 | 50-115 | 10 | 30 |
| delta-BHC | 0.391 | 0.20 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 | 78 | 55.120 | 11 | 30 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.393 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/1 | 0.500 | 79 | $45+115$ | 10 | 30 |
| 4,4- DDD | 0.427 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 | 85 | 60-120 | 9 | 30 |
| 4,4-DDE | 0.423 | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/l | 0.500 | 85 | 55-120 | 11 | 30 |
| 4,44-DDT | 0.447 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 | 89 | 60-120 | 11 | 30 |
| Dieldrin | 0.416 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ugl | 0.500 | 83 | 55-120 | 9 | 30 |
| Endosulfan I | 0.395 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 | 79 | 50-115 | 10 | 30 |
| Endosulfan II | 0.409 | 0.10 | 0.040 | ughl | 0.500 | 82 | 60-125 | 8 | 30 |
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.410 | 0.20 | 0.015 | ug/l | 0.500 | 82 | 60-120 | 8 | 30 |
| Endrin | 0.415 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 | 83 | 55-125 | 9 | 30 |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.373 | 0.10 | 0.045 | ug/ | 0.500 | 75 | 55-115 | 10 | 30 |
| Endrin ketone | 0.425 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 | 85 | 60-115 | 8 | 30 |
| Heptachior | 0.398 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ugh | 0.500 | 80 | 45-115 | 11 | 30 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.389 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ughl | 0.500 | 78 | 50-115 | 10 | 30 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.427 | 0.10 | 0.035 | ugh | 0.500 | 85 | 60-120 | 10 | 30 |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.309 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 | 62 | 35-115 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.433 |  |  | ugh | 0.500 | 87 | 45-120 |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: <br> 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :---: |

## TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source |  | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C19034 Extracted: 03/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BLK1)

| Aroclor 1016 | ND | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aroctor 1221 | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | ND | 1.0 | 0.40 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.356 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 | 71 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Aroclor 1016 | 2.73 | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/l | 4.00 | 68 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | 2.92 | 1.0 | 0.40 | ug/ | 4.00 | 73 | 55-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.373 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/19/20 | BSD2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | 2.54 | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 4.00 | 64 | 50-115 | 7 | 30 |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | 2.83 | 1.0 | 0.40 | ug/ | 4.00 | 71 | 55-115 | 3 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.348 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br>  <br> Outfall 011 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: | IOC1523 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
|  |  | Received: 03/18/05 |

Received: 03/18/05

## MEHIOD BLAAKIOCDATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reportin Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data <br> Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C19029 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19029-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | ND | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19029-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.50 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 106 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19029-MS1) Source: 1OC1454-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.46 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19029-MSD1) Source: 10C1454-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 8.44 | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 106 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C19038 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 125 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barium | ND | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | 0.0170 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Chromium | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cobalt | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron | 0.0190 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | B-1 |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manganese | 6.36 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ugl |  |  |  |  |  |  | B-1 |
| Nickel | 0.555 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Selenium | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silver | 0.184 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | 0.075 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vanadium | ND | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/ 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zinc | ND | 20 | 3.1 | ugl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOC1523 |

## METHID BLANHOCDATA

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-BS1)

| Antimony | 81.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 102 | 85-115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | 86.3 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 108 | 85-115 |
| Barium | 0.0806 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | mg/ | 0.0800 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Beryllium | 74.7 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ugh | 80.0 | 93 | 85-115 |
| Cadmium | 78.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 | 99 | 85-115 |
| Chromium | 80.8 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Cobalt | 80.6 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 80.6 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugl | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Iron | 0.803 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.800 | 100 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Manganese | 82.2 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ugh | 80.0 | 103 | 85-115 |
| Nickel | 81.5 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ | 80.0 | 102 | 85-115 |
| Selenium | 80.8 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101. | 85-145 |
| Silver | 807 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ugf | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Thallium | 80.8 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Vanadium | 79.6 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/ | 80.0 | 100 | 85-115 |
| Zinc | 79.7 | 20 | 3.1 | ugh | 80.0 | 100 | 85-115 |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-MS1) |  |  |  | Source: 10C1524-01 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 84.1 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.64 | 104 | 70-130 |
| Arsenic | 88.5 | 1.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 1.2 | 109 | 70-130 |
| Barium | 0.0958 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.0800 | 0.013 | 104 | 70-130 |
| Beryllium | 75.0 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 94 | 70-130 |
| Cadmium | 80.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.034 | 100 | 70-130 |
| Chromium | 81.8 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.2 | 101 | 70-130 |
| Cobalt | 81.7 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.25 | 102 | 70-130 |
| Copper | 84.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 80.0 | 3.3 | 101 | 70-130 |
| Iron | 1.06 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | mgl | 0.800 | 0.15 | 114 | 70-130 |
| Lead | 82.7 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.50 | 103 | 70-130 |
| Manganese | 101 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 19 | 102 | 70-130 |
| Nickel | 82.5 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.1 | 102 | 70-130 |
| Selenium | 80.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.39 | 101 | 70-130 |
| Silver | 80.5 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 101 | 70-130 |
| Thallium | 82.7 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.13 | 103 | 70-130 |
| Vanadium | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/ | 80.0 | 2.7 | 100 | 70-130 |
| Zinc | 89.8 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 | 8.2 | 102 | 70-130 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

## METALS

## Batch: 5C19038 Extracted: 03/19/05

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: |
| :--- | ---: |
| 13267 (Study 1)  <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200  <br> Outfall 011  <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 Report Number: <br> IOC1523  |  |

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



Batch: 5C19039 Extracted: 03/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19039-BLK1)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Outfall 011 |
| Report Number: | IOC1523 |

Report Number: IOC1523
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## MUIHOD MLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Batch: 5C19039 Extracted: 03/19/05

| Matrix | 1903 |  |  |  |  | e: 10C | 2-01 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boron | 0.588 | 0.050 | 0.0074 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 | 0.090 | 100 | 70-130 | 1 |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 10 C 1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Outfall 011 |  |  |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Oeport Number: |
| IOC1523 |  |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DAIA

## INORGANICS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

LCS Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C18104-BS1)

| Chloride | 4.80 | 0.50 | 0.26 | mg/ | 5.00 |  | 96 | 90-110 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fluoride | 4.67 | 0.50 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 93 | 90-110 |  |
| Sulfate | 10.0 | 0.50 | 0.18 | mgl | 10.0 |  | 100 | 90-110 |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C18104-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C1500-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 10.3 | 0.50 | 0.26 | mg/ | 5.00 | 6.1 | 84 | 80-120 |  |
| Fluoride | 4.51 | 0.50 | 0.10 | mg/ | 5.00 | 0.39 | 82 | 80-120 |  |
| Sulfate | 12.8 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 3.8 | 90 | 80-120 |  |
| Matrix Spike Dap Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C18104-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C1500-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 10.3 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 6.1 | 84 | 80-120 | 0 |
| Fluoride | 4.52 | 0.50 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 0.39 | 83 | 80-120 | 0 |
| Sulfate | 12.8 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 3.8 | 90 | 80-120 | 0 |

## Batch: 5C18107 Extracted: 03/18/05



| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 10 Cl 1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C18121 Extracted: 03/18/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C18121-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate ND | 4.0 | 0.80 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C18121-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate 52.7 | 4.0 | 0.80 | ug/ | 50.0 |  | 105 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C18121-MS1) |  |  |  |  | ce: IOC1 | 521-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate 53.9 | 4.0 | 0.80 | ug/1 | 50.0 | ND | 108 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C18121-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | ce: IOC1 | 521-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Perchlorate 54.1 | 4.0 | 0.80 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 50.0 | ND | 108 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C19030 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19030-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10C1 | 523-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Residual Chlorine ND | 0.10 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 20 | $\cdots$ |
| Batch: 5C19032 Extracted: 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19032-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity 0.0600 | 1.0 | 0.040 | NTU |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19032-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10C1 | 364-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity 0.110 | 1.0 | 0.040 | NTU |  | 0.12 |  |  | 9 | 20 | $J$ |

## Batch: 5C21062 Extracted: 03/21/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BLK1)

| Oil \& Grease | ND | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 10 Cl 1523 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C21062 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.0 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 942 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-DUP1) |  |  |  |  | ce: 10C | 566-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |

## Batch: 5C21073 Extracted: 03/21/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BLK1)
Total Dissolved Solids ND
LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BS1)
Total Dissolved Solids 968
$10 \quad 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
1000
$97 \quad 90-110$
Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-DUP1)
Total Dissolved Solids 320
$10 \quad 10 \quad \mathrm{mg} /$
Source: 10C1566-01
300
10

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

```
    Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
                                    Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: IOC1523
Received: 03/18/05
```


## METHOD BLANKOCDATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID:13267 (Study 1)  <br> Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05 <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Report Number: <br> Pasadena, CA 91101  <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  | Received: 03/18/05 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## METHOD BLANKOOC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: P5C2203 Extracted: 03/22/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | ND | 1.0 | 0.49 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.11 |  |  | ug/ | 1.00 |  | 111 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 8.06 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 81 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.12 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 1.00 |  | 112 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 10.2 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 102 | 70-130 | 23 | 20 | R-7 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.09 |  |  | ug $/$ | 1.00 |  | 109 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: POC0388-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 32.8 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 | 25 | 78 | 70-150 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane, | 1.06 |  |  | ug/ | 1.00 |  | 106 | 80-125 |  | .... |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: POC0388-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 32.4 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 | 25 | 74 | 70-150 | 1 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.07 |  |  | ug/ | 1.00 |  | 107 | 80-125 |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 523

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

| A-01 | No results were reported for MSD due to the port leaking. Samples accepted |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank. |
| B-1 | Analyte was detected in the associated method blank. Analyte concentration in the sample is greater than 10 x the concentration found in the method blank. |
| J | Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality |
| L2 | Laboratory Control Sample recovery was below method control limits. |
| M-NR1 | There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate. |
| N-1 | See case narrative. |
| R-7 | LFB/LFBD RPD exceeded the method control limit. Recovery met acceptance criteria. |
| RL-3 | Reporting limit raised due to high concentrations of non-target analytes. |
| ND | Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified. |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference |

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

## For TICs:

All identifications are tentative and concentrations are estimates based upon spectral comparison to the EPA/NIH library.
For 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine:
The result for 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine is based upon the reading of its breakdown product, Azobenzene.
For GRO (C4-C12):
GRO (C4-C12) is quantitated against a gasoline standard. Quantitation begins immediately following the methanol peak. For Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) :

Unless otherwise noted, Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) are quantitated against a Diesel Fuel Standard.

[^33]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1523
Sampled: 03/18/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 120.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 160.5 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 180.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.7 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 218.6 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 330.5 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 335.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 350.2 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 405.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 415.1 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 608 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 624 (MOD.) | Water | X | X X |
| EPA 624 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 625 | Water | X | X |
| EPA 8015 Mod . | Water | X | X |
| EPA 8015B | Water | X | X |
| SM2540C | Water | X | X |
| SM5540-C | Water | X | X |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

> Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
> 1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
> Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
> Samples: $10 C 1523-01$
> Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
> Samples: $10 C 1523-01$

Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB Calfornia Cert \#1775 4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 - Ventura, CA 93003

Analysis Performed: Bioassay-7 dy Chrnic
Samples: IOC1523-01

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager





Per Requent:
Please make the changes listed below to the chain-of-custody analytical request form. Include this form with the final deliverables for these wmples:

| Del Mar Work Oricr: | Sample 11 | Date Collemed | Change(0) Requested on COC | Change(s) or MMhed (s) Now Requested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001526 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outionin } \\ & 13267 \\ & \text { (Composite) } \end{aligned}$ | 03/1805 | Matale: B and B; 8015-Gas; Momonethylhydrazine; Fhuaride | B and Be Add 1,4-Dhoxame analysis: 8015-Gas amilydés for Trip Bianks; Monomethylhydrenine; Flovride |
| 1001523 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outalion } 011 \\ & 13267 \text { (Grab) } \end{aligned}$ | 03/18/03 | 1.4-Dioxane for Trip Hiank | 1,4-Diocane not required on TBs |

mH 3/21/05
The reason for these changen:
Incorrectly manked on COC form
Lack of vample volume
MWH affice persomnel negwive this change $\qquad$
Other: Containers mislaboled

Now COC's are attached for review.
Thankon
CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM


April 4, 2005

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: $\quad 13267$ (Study 1)/Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/18/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC1523

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Aquatic Testing Laboratories performed Fathead Minnow 96 hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002), Truesdail Laboratories tested Hydrazines by EPA 8315 M, Alta Analytical performed EPA Method 1613 by Dioxin and Eberline Services performed Gross Alpha/Gross Beta (EPA 900.0), Tritium (H-3, EPA 906.0), Strontium-90 (Sr-90, EPA 905.0), Radium 226 (EPA 903.), and Radium $228(904.0)$ for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR ID | ATL ID | TRUESDAIL ID | ALTA ID | EBERLINE ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | $10 C 1523-01$ | A-05031904-001/002 | $940883-1$ | $25936-001$ | PENDING |

Attached are the original reports from the subcontract laboratories. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

March 25, 2005<br>Date:<br>Client:<br>Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100<br>Irvine, CA 92614<br>Attn: Michele Harper

Aquatic Testing


Laboratories
*dedicated to providing quality aquatic toxicity testing *
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 650-0546 FAX (805) 650-0756

CA DOHS ELAP Cert No.: 1775

Laboratory No.: A-05031904-001/002
Sample I.D.: IOC1523-01

Sample Control: The sample was received by ATL chilled, with the chain of custody record attached.
Date Sampled: 03/18/05
Date Received: 03/19/05
Date Tested: $\quad 03 / 19 / 05$ to $03 / 25 / 05$

Sample Analysis: The following analyses were performed on your sample:
Fathead Minnow 96hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002).

Attached are the test data generated from the analysis of your sample.

Result Summary:

| Acute: | $\frac{\text { Survival }}{100 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { TUa }}{0.0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fathead Minnow: |  |  |
| Chronic: | $\frac{\text { NOEC }}{}$ | TUe |
| $\quad$ Ceriodaphnia Survival: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\quad$ Ceriodaphnia Reproduction: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |

Quality Control: Reviewed and approved by:


Lab No.: A-05031904-001
Client/ID: Del Mar - 1OC1523-01

TEST SUMMARY
Species: Pimephales promelas.
Age: IU (1-14) days.
Regulations: NPDES.
Test solution volume: 250 ml .
Feeding: prior to renewal at $\mathbf{4 8}$ hrs.
Number of replicates: 2.
Dilution water: Moderately hard reconstituted water.
Photoperiod: $16 / 8$ hrs light/dark.

Start Date: 03/19/2005

Source: In-laboratory Culture.
Test type: Static-Renewal.
Test Protocol: EPA-821-R-02-012.
Endpoints: Percent Survival at 96 hrs.
Test chamber: $\mathbf{6 0 0} \mathrm{ml}$ beakers.
Temperature: $20+/-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Number of fish per chamber: 10.
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050303.


Comments:
Sample as received: Chlorine: $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{pH}: 24$; Conductivity: 310 umho; Temp: $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; DO: $8.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$; Alkalinity: $96 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$; Hardness: $84 \mathrm{mg} / ; \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}: 0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Sample aerated moderately (approx. $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to raise or lower DO? Yes / N .
Control: Alkalinity: $54 \mathrm{mg} /$; Hardness: $90 \mathrm{mg} /$; Conductivity: 290 umho.
Test solution aerated (not to exceed 100 bubbles $/ \mathrm{min}$ ) to maintain DO $>4.0 \mathrm{mg} / 17$ Yes $/$.
Sample used for renewal is the original sample kept at $0-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with minimal headspace.

## RESULTS

Percent Survival In: Control: 100 _ $\%$ 100\% Sample:_100 $\%$

Lab No.: A-05031904
Date Tested: 03/19/05 to 03/25/05
Client/ID: Del Mar IOC1523-01

## TEST SUMMARY

Test type: Daily static-renewal.
Species: Ceriodaphnia dubia.
Age: < 24 hrs; all released within 8 hrs.
Test vessel size: 30 ml .
Number of test organisms per vessel: 1.
Temperature: $25+/-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Dilution water: Mod. hard reconstituted (MHRW).
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050311.
Endpoints: Survival and Reproduction.
Source: In-laboratory culture.
Food: . 1 ml YTC, algae per day.
Test solution volume: 15 ml .
Number of replicates: 10.
Photoperiod: $16 / 8$ hrs. light/dark cycle.
Test duration: 7 days.
Statistics: ToxCalc computer program.
RESULTS SUMMARY

| Sample Concentration | Percent Survival | Mean Number of <br> Young Per Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | $100 \%$ | 22.4 |
| $6.25 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 25.1 |
| $12.5 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 25.2 |
| $25 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 27.8 |
| $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 23.1 |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 26.4 |
| * Statistically significantly less than control at P $=0.05$ level. <br> ** Reproduction data from concentrations greater than survival NOEC are <br> excluded from statistical analysis. |  |  |

CHRONIC TOXICITY

| Parameter | Survival | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOEC | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| TUc | 1.0 | 1.0 |

## QA/QC TEST ACCEPTABILITY

| Parameter | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Control survival $280 \%$ | Pass ( $100 \%$ survival) |
| $\geq 15$ young per surviving control female average | Pass ( 22.4 young) |
| $260 \%$ surviving controls had 3 broods | Pass ( $90 \%$ with 3 broods) |
| PMSD $<47 \%$ for reproduction; if $>47 \%$ and no toxicity <br> at IWC, the test must be repeated | Pass (PMSD $=18.1 \%$ ) |
| Statistically significantly different concentrations relative <br> difference $>13 \%$ | NA - No stat. sig. diff. concentrations |
| Concentration response relationship acceptable | Pass (slight inverse response at conc. tested) |

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1523




## Truesidail Laboratories, Inc.

Client: Del Mar Analytical
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Attention: Michele Harper

Project Name: 1OC1523 Truesdail Project: 940883
Date Received: 03/21/05

Samples Cross-reference

| Truesdail ID | Client ID | Matrix | Date Sampled | Time Sampled |  | Analysis Requested <br> $940883-1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IOC1523-01 | Water | $03 / 18 / 05$ | 110 | Hydrazines by EPA 8315M |  |

Respectfully Submitted,
TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.


## TruESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.



Client: Del Mar Analytical<br>17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100<br>Irvine, CA 92614<br>Attention: Michele Harper

Project Name: $\quad 10 \mathrm{C1523}$
Truesdail Project:
940883
Date Received: 03/21/05

## Case Narrative

Sample Receipt The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in. The sample was kept in a locked refrigerator until analysis. Thereafter, it is being kept in ambient storage for an additional 2 months before disposal.

Analysis The analysis was perfomed as requested on the chain-of-custody.
Quality Control The analytical results for each batch of samples performed include a minimum of one set of laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD), one matrix spike (MS) and a reagent blank (Method blank). Any exceptions or problems would be noted in the "comments" section.

## Comments

The test results in this report meet all quality assurance requirements set forth by the method specification and all quality control recoveries were within the laboratory acceptance limits. No anomalies or nonconformance events occurred during the course of analysis.

The analytes were quantitated down to the Method Detection Limit (J flags) per client's request.

Respectfully Submitted, TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.



This report applies only to the sample, or samples, investigated and is not necessarily indicative of the quality or condition of apparently identical or similar products. As a mutual protection to clients, the public,
and these laboratories, this report is submitted and accepted for the exdusive use of the client to whom it is addressed and upon the condition that it is not to be used, in whole or in part, in any advertising or

TRUESDAIL LABORAIORIES, INC.
Independent Testing. Forensic Science, and environmental anaiyses

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Client: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Del Mar Analytical } \\
17461 \text { Derlan Ave., Suite } 100 \\
\text { Irvine, CA } 92614
\end{array} \\
& \\
\text { Client Contact: } & \text { Michele Harper } \\
\text { Sample: } & \text { Liquid / 1 Sample } \\
\text { Sample ID: } & \text { IOC1523 } \\
\text { P.O. Number: } & 10 C 1523 \\
\text { Method Number: } & 8315 \text { (Modified) } \\
\text { Run Batch No.: } & \text { Extraction: 3017; Analysis: } 378 \\
\text { Investigation: } & \text { Hydrazines in Liquid }
\end{aligned}
$$

## REPORT

14201 FRANKLIN AVENUE TUSTIN, CALIFORNIA 82780-7008
(714) $730-6239 \cdot$ FAX (714) $730-6462 \cdot$ www.truesdail.com

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { QC Lab. No.: } & 704855 \\
\text { Project Lab. No.: } & 940883 \\
\text { Splked Sample ID: } & 940884 \\
\text { Report Date: } & \text { March 25, } \\
\text { Sampling Date: } & \text { March 18, } \\
\text { Recelving Date: } & \text { March 21, } \\
\text { Extraction Date: } & \text { March 21, } \\
\text { Analysis Date: } & \text { March 23, } \\
\text { Units: } & \text { Hg/L } \\
\text { Reported By: } & \mathrm{JS}
\end{aligned}
$$



Quality Control/Quality Assurance Spikes Report

| Parameter | Theoretical Value (ugh) | Measured <br> Value (ugh) | $\%$ <br> Roc. | Control <br> Limits | Flag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monomethyl Hydrazine | 25.0 | 28.0 | 112 | 85-115 | PASS |
| u-Dimethyl Hydrazine | 25.0 | 24.1 | 96.3 | 85-115 | PASS |
| Hydrazine | 5.0 | 4.96 | 98.2 | 85-115 | PASS |

ICV: Intital Callibration Veritication
acs: Ouality Contror Standard
LCS: Laboratory Control Spiko
MS: Martix Spike
FD: Percent Difierence "Pass I I within Control Limits: otherwise Fair
Note: Results based on datector $1(\mathrm{UV}=365 n \mathrm{~m})$ data.
This report applies only to the sample, or samples, investigated and is not necessarily indicative of the quality or condition of apparently identical or similar products. As a mutual protection to clients, the public,



Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $=>$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Rec}^{\prime} d \\
& \operatorname{soc} 94 / 28 / 05 \\
& 940883
\end{aligned}
$$




## Sample Integrity \& Analysis Discrepancy Form



Date Delivered: $22^{105}$ Time: 7140 By: aMail afield Service UClient

1. Was a Chain of Custody received and signed?
2. Does Customer require an acknowledgement of the COC?
3. Are there any special requirements or notes on the COC?
4. If a letter was sent with the COC, does it match the COC?
5. Were all requested analyses understood and acceptable?
6. Were samples received in a chilled condition?

Temperature (if yes)? $y^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$
7. Were samples received intact
(i.e. broken bottles, leaks, air bubbles, etc..)?
8. Were sample custody seals intact?
9. Does the number of samples received agree with COC?
10. Did sample labels correspond with the client ID's?
11. Did sample labels indicate proper preservation? Preserved (if yes) by: atr hesdaih Perient - II
12. Were samples $p H$ checke ph pHVA
13. Were all analyses within holding time at fim of receipt? If not, notify the Project Manager.
14. Have Project due dates been checked and accepted? Turn Around Time (TAT):RUSH © Std

aYes ano EN/A
aYes ano EN/A
ayes ano gN/A
GYes DNo DN/A
ares ano
QN/A tres ano IN/A aYes QNo UN/A GYes ano aN/A पTes ONO ON/A aYes ano an/a QYes ano anta aYes ano aN/A日Yes ano IN/A
15. Sample Matrix: QLiquid $\square D r i n k i n g$ Water $\square G r o u n d$ Water $\quad$ Waste Water asludge asoil aWipe apaint asolid arther water
16. Comments: $\qquad$
17. Sample Check-In completed by Truesdail Log-In/Receiving:


## Internal Chain of Custody Logbook

## beer: 940883 <br> ame: Del Mar



| L.D. ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {Analysis }} \begin{gathered}\text { Done }\end{gathered}$ | Date Out | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Tine } \\ \text { Out } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tiḿe } \\ & \text { - In } \end{aligned}$ | Amount <br> Taken <br> ( C ormi) | Printed Name | Signature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Storage } \\ \text { Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Shell No. For Storage | - Printed Name | Initials |  | charge Date | Printed Name | Initials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



March 24, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25936
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 22, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1523". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,
Ti(cuwice) le vo-
Martha M. Maier
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report Date Received: 3/22/2005 

Alta Lab. ID

25936-001

Client Sample 1D
IOC1523-01

SECTION II


## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.

I

J

P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.
*
See Cover Letter
Conc. Concentration

DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration

NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected

TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

## CURRENT CERTIFICATIONS

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reciamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)

## State of Colorado

State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New lersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Attechment 10.B. 1
SAMPLE LOEHN CHECKLST
Alta Propect No: 25936


Comments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I O C \mid S 21-01 \\
& I O C \mid S 23-01 \\
& I O C \mid S 25-01 \\
& I O C|S 26-0| \\
& I O C|S 63-0|
\end{aligned}
$$

SOPW CH1CB_R18, Pace 8 of 12

Findernther
 Du (0):



## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1523

| SENDING LABORATORY: <br> Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> 17461 Derian Avemue. Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261-1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Manager: Michele Harper | RECHVNVG LABORATORY: Alta Analytical 1104 Windfield Way EI Dorado Hills, CA 95762 Phone:(916) 933-1640 Fax: 916 ) $933-0940$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Standard TAT is requested uniesu specific due date is requ | Due Date: 5 day TAT $\qquad$ Initiala: |
| Analyuis Expiration | Comments |
| Sample Di $10 \mathrm{Cl} 523-01$ Whater <br> Sampled: 03/18/05 11:10  <br> EDD + Level 4 $03 / 25 / 0511: 10$ <br>  $04 / 15 / 0511: 10$ | Inctait Nofication <br> J finge, 17 congeners, no TEQ, sub to Paco-MN Excel EDD emaill to pm,Include Std loga for Lvi IV |
| Containers Supplied: <br> 1 L Amber (10C1523-01J) <br> 1 L Amber ( $10 \mathrm{Cl} 523-01 \mathrm{~K}$ ) |  |

$2593632^{\circ}$

| SAMPLE NXECRITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Samplo InboidCCOC agree: <br> Sempiplen Prenervod Propariy: | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \\ & \mathbf{Y}_{m} \end{aligned}$ | [ No $\square$ No | Semple Recoived Ou lce: <br> Smplet Reocived at (mun) |  | [ No |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { Project } 25936$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Please review the following Information and complete the Client Authorization section. To comply with NELAC regulations, wo must recelve authorization before proceeding with sample analysis. Thank You. (Fax ${ }^{\text {ep }}$ (6-673-0106)

The following Information or Item is needed to proceed with the analysis:

| $\square$ Completed Chaln-of-Custody | $\square$ Preservative | (Collector's Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Test Method Requested | $\square$ Sample Identification | $\square$ Sample Type |
| $\square$ Analyse List Requested | $\square$ Sample Collection Date /Time | $\square$ Sample Location |

The following anomalies were noted. Authorkation ls needed to proceed with the analysis:
Temperature outside $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Temp
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Sample holding time missed
Custody seals broken
Insumicient Sample Size
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Sample Container (s) Broken
Incorrect Container Type
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Other $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

,
,
+
,
$\qquad$
,
$\quad$,

- $\quad$
\%

,


# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
Issued: 04/12/05 19:13

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chains) of Custody, 10 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release,

## CASE NARRATIVE

SAMPLE RECEIPT: $\quad$ Samples were received intact, at $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, on ice and with chain of custody documentation.
HOLDING TIMES: All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times and/or in accordance with the Del Mar Analytical Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the report.

PRESERVATION: Samples requiring preservation were verified prior to sample analysis. Results were qualified where the sample container did not meet the method preservation requirements.

QA/QC CRITERIA: All analyses met method criteria, except as noted in the report with data qualifiers. The ICAL \%RSD failed the acceptance limit for 2,4-Dinitrophenol, Instrument sensitivity was acceptable based upon the response for 2,4-Dinitrophenol at the low ICAL level. The CCV and BS/BSD met acceptance limits for the analyte. Affected samples were 'ND' for this analyte, without J-flag detection. Therefore, since acceptable sensitivity is represented by the instrument and the extraction procedure, the analyte was flagged with ' $\mathrm{N}-\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ and reported.

COMMENTS: $\quad$ Results that fall between the MDL and RL are ' $J$ ' flagged.
SUBCONTRACTED: Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

| LABORATORY ID | CLIENT ID | MATRIX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC1526-01 | Outfall 011 Composite | Water |
| 1OC1526-02 | Trip Blank | Water |

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mgh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons | EPA 418.1 | 5C22091 | 0.31 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/22/05 | 03/22/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011

Report Number: $10 C 1526$

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) | EPA 8015B | 5C21048 | 0.082 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane (40-125\%) |  |  |  |  | 81\% |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | EPA 8015 Mod. | 5C21006 | 0.050 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) |  |  |  |  | 81\% |  |  |  |  |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P1 |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | EPA 8015 Mod. | 5C21006 | 0.050 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) |  |  |  |  | $76 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

Del Mar Analytical

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 526
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
```


## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (Outfan 011 Composite - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | SC20002 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/2005 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 116\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $103 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $94 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1526-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| cis 13 - ichloropropene | EPA 624 | $5 C 20002$ | 022 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 20002 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 112\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $103 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 96\% |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrolein | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 4.6 | 50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Acrylonitrile | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 5.1 | 50 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether | EPA 624 | 5C20002 | 1.3 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 116\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $103 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention; Bronwyn Kelly

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C20002 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5 C 20002 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC1526-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C20002 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C20002 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/20/05 | 03/20/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RL-3 |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Acenaphthylene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Aniline | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 5.8 | 20 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Anthracene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzidine | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 4.8 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 | L2 |
| Benzoic acid | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 7.4 | 40 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.076 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.28 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.10 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.12 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.11 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.42 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.22 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 2.2 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.68 | 10 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 | B, J |
| 4-Chloroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.40 | 4.0 | ND | 1,9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.68 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.11 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Chrysene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.17 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dibenzofuran | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.22 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.26 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 1.9 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.42 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.24 | 2.0 | 0.42 | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 | B, J |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.62 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.16 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.76 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 5.4 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 | N-1 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.46 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.48 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.34 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.17 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 526
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (Outfall 011 Comp <br> Reporting Units: ugh | posite - Wa | cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  | RL-3 |
| Fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.18 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Fluorene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.76 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 3.6 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 1.0 | 6.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.38 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Isophorone | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.12 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.56 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.40 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Naphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.36 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.70 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.98 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Nitrobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.20 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.46 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 4 Nitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 1.5 | 10 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.44 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| N -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.36 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.15 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 1.6 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Phenanthrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Phenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Pyrene | EPA 625 | SC20022 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C20022 | 0.20 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.15 | 4.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 20022 | 0.20 | 2.0 | ND | 1.9 | 03/20/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol (30-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 68\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 (35-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $67 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $68 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 70\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $78 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

[^34]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dillution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.030 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| alpha-BHC | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| beta-BHC | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| delta-BHC | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.20 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Chlordane | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 4,4'-DDD | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 4,4-DDE | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.025 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| 4,4-DDT | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.030 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Dieldrin | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Endosulfan I | EPA 608 | 5 C 19034 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Endosulfan II | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.040 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.015 | 0.20 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Endrin | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Endrin aldehyde | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.045 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Endrin ketone | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Heptachlor | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.030 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide | EPA 608 | 5 Cl 19034 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Methoxychlor | EPA 608 | $5 C 19034$ | 0.035 | 0.10 | ND | 0.952 | $03 / 19105$ | 03/19/05 |  |
| Toxaphene | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 1.5 | 5.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene (35-115\%) |  |  |  |  | $31 \%$ |  |  |  | ZX |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $39 \%$ |  |  |  | $Z X$ |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1D: 10C1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | EPA 608 | 5 Cl 9034 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1221 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | EPA 608 | 5C19034 | 0.40 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/19/05 | 03/20/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $37 \%$ |  |  |  | $Z X$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Bocing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

|  |  |  | MET | LS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5 Cl 9038 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.26 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Arsenic | EPA 200.8 | 5 Cl 19038 | 0.49 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Beryllium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.037 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.079 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Chromium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 19038 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 0.93 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Cobalt | EPA 200.8 | 5 Cl 9038 | 0.10 | 1.0 | 0.33 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 19038 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.39 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Manganese | EPA 200.8 | 5C21088 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 56 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5 C 19029 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Nickel | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.15 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | B, J |
| Selenium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.36 | 2.0 | 0.43 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Silver | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.089 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Thallium | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 0.075 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Vanadium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 19038 | 0.86 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |
| Zinc | EPA 200.8 | 5C19038 | 3.1 | 20 | 9.8 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/21/05 | J |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 526

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water)-cont.Reporting Units: $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5C22089 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.56 | 1 | 03/22/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5 C 18070 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/23/05 |  |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 18104 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 15 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Fluoride | EPA 300.0 | 5 Cl 18104 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 | B, J |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 Cl 18104 | 0.072 | 0.11 | ND | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5C21062 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Residual Chlorine | EPA 330.5 | 5 Cl 19030 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 Cl 18104 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 41 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | SM5540-C | 5 C 18107 | 0.044 | 0.10 | 0.064 | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/18/05 | J |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C21073 | 10 | 10 | 230 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Total Organic Carbon | EPA 415.1 | 5C22101 | 0.25 | 1.0 | 13 | 1 | 03/22/05 | 03/22/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C21068 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention; Bronwyn Kelly

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reperting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity | EPA 180.1 | 5C19032 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1 | 03/19/05 | 03/19/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium VI | EPA 218.6 | 5C18067 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/18/05 |  |
| Total Cyanide | EPA 335.2 | 5C21083 | 2.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5C18121 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/18/05 | 03/19/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: umhos/cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance | EPA 120.1 | 5C21077 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 350 | 1 | 03/21/05 | 03/21/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 526

Received: 03/18/05

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526
Received: 03/18/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID; Outfall 011 Composite (IOC1526-01) - Water |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: IOC1526

Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C22091 Extracted: 03/22/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C22091-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons ND | 1.0 | 0.31 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C22091-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons 4.49 | 1.0 | 0.31 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 90 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C22091-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons 4.59 | 1.0 | 0.31 | mg/ | 5.00 |  | 92 | 65-120 | 2 | 20 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Result | Reportin Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C21048 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21048-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) | ND | 0.50 | 0.082 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C40) | ND | 0.50 | 0.082 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: n-Octacosane | 0.174 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.200 |  | 87 | 40-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| EFH (Cl3-C40) | 0.738 | 0.50 | 0.082 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.775 |  | 95 | 40-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane | 0.182 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.200 |  | 91 | 40-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21048-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C40) | 0.688 | 0.50 | 0.082 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.775 |  | 89 | 40-120 | 7 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: n-Octacosane | 0.177 |  |  | mg/ | 0.200 |  | 88 | 40-125 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
IOC1526

Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C21006 Extracted: 03/21/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21006-BLK1)

| GRO (C4-C12) | ND | 0.10 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 0.00839 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.0100 |  | 84 | 65-140 |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21006-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | 0.650 | 0.10 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.800 |  | 81 | 70-140 |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 0.0238 |  |  | $m \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0300 |  | 79 | 65-140 |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21006-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: IOC1526-01 |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | 0.220 | 0.10 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.220 | ND | 100 | 60-140 |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 0.00955 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.0100 |  | 96 | 65-140 |


| Matrix Spike Dup An | C210 |  |  |  |  | e 10 | 26-01 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRO (C4-C12) | 0.221 | 0.10 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 0.220 | ND | 100 | 60-140 | 1 |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 0.00960 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.0100 |  | 96 | 65-140 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
        Project ID: }13267\mathrm{ (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC1526
```

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKKOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit |

Blank Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-BLK1)

| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Bromoform | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Bromomethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Chloroethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Chloroform | ND | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Chloromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 14 -Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.37 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 1, Dichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 | ugh |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Toluene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 | ugl |  |  |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 4.0 | 0.52 | ugh |  |  |  |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | ND | 5.0 | 1.2 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.7 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 | 111 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.5 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 | 102 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 23.8 |  |  | ugl | 25.0 | 95 | 80-120 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1)  <br>  Outfall 11 <br> Report Number: IOC1526 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

LCS Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-BS1)

| Benzene | 25.7 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ugl | 25.0 | 103 | 70-120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25.4 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 102 | 70-140 |
| Bromoform | 24.2 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | 97 | 55-135 |
| Bromomethane | 29.2 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | 117 | 60-140 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 25.2 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ugl | 25.0 | 101 | 70-140 |
| Chlorobenzene | 24.0 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | 80-125 |
| Chloroethane | 28.3 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | 113 | 60-145 |
| Chloroform | 27.9 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | 112 | 75-130 |
| Chloromethane | 29.6 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 118 | 40-145 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 24.5 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 98 | 65-145 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 24.0 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | 80-120 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ugh | 25.0 | 94 | 80-120 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.37 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | 80-120 |
| 11 Dichloroethane | 28.0 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | 112 | 70-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 29.5 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 118 | 60-150 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 26.3 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 105 | 75-135 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 26.8 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | 107 | 70-130 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 26.2 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | 105 | 70-120 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 26.0 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ugl | 25.0 | 104 | 75-130 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 26.4 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | 106 | 75-135 |
| Ethylbenzene | 25.0 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugh | 25.0 | 100 | 80-120 |
| Methylene chloride | 28.0 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/ | 25.0 | 112 | 60-135 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25.9 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | 104 | 60-135 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 23.1 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | 92 | 75-125 |
| Toluene | 24.8 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | 99 | 75-120 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 26.8 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | 107 | 75-140 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 25.9 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | 104 | 70-125 |
| Trichloroethene | 25.3 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ugh | 25.0 | 101 | 80-120 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 28.9 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugh | 25.0 | 116 | 65-145 |
| Vinyl chloride | 25.4 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ugh | 25.0 | 102 | 50-130 |
| Surrogate: Dibromoffuoromethane | 27.8 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 | 111 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.7 |  |  | ug $/$ | 25.0 | 103 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 25.3 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 | 101 | 80-120 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: <br> 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :---: |

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  | Reporting |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| Batch: 5C20002 Extracted:03/20/05. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualifiers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-MS1)

| Benzene | 26.4 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 70-120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25.5 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 102 | 70-140 |
| Bromoform | 22.3 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 55-140 |
| Bromomethane | 29.7 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 119 | 50-145 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 25.0 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 70-145 |
| Chlorobenzene | 24.6 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 80-125 |
| Chloroethane | 28.1 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 112 | 50-145 |
| Chloroform | 27.8 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 111 | 70-135 |
| Chloromethane | 30.6 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 122 | 35-145 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 23.8 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 95 | 65-145 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 24.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 75-130 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 24.5 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 75-130 |
| 14.Dichlorobenzenie | 24.7 | 2.0 | 0.37 | ugh | 250 | ND | 99 | $80-120$ |
| 1,-Dichloroethane | 27.3 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 109 | 65-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 29.3 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 117 | 60-150 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 27.7 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 111 | 65-140 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 25.5 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 102 | 65-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 27.0 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 108 | 65-130 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25.7 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 103 | 70-140 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25.7 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 103 | 70-140 |
| Ethylbenzene | 25.4 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 102 | 70-130 |
| Methylene chloride | 27.8 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 111 | 60-135 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 26.4 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 60-145 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-130 |
| Toluene | 25.3 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 70-120 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 24.2 | 2.0 | 0.30 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 75-140 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 25.3 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 60-135 |
| Trichloroethene | 24.6 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 70-125 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 28.3 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugf | 25.0 | ND | 113 | 55-145 |
| Vinyl chloride | 25.8 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 103 | 40-135 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.4 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 110 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.4 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 102 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.4 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 98 | 80-120 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA. 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Report Number: IOC1526 |

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20002 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-MSD1)

| Benzene | 25.8 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 103 | 70-120 | 2 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25.1 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 70-140 | 2 | 20 |
| Bromoform | 24.1 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 55-140 | 8 | 25 |
| Bromomethane | 28.4 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 114 | 50-145 | 4 | 25 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24.7 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 99 | 70-145 | 1 | 25 |
| Chlorobenzene | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 80-125 | 1 | 20 |
| Chloroethane | 26.7 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 107 | 50-145 | 5 | 25 |
| Chloroform | 27.1 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 108 | 70-135 | 3 | 20 |
| Chloromethane | 29.1 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 116 | 35-145 | 5 | 25 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 24.6 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 65-145 | 3 | 25 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 24.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 75-130 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 24.0 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 75-130 | 2 | 20 |
| 14-Dichlorobenzene | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.37 | ug A | 25.0 | ND | 98 | $80-120$ | 1 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 105 | 65-135 | 4 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 29.0 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 116 | 60-150 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 27.1 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 108 | 65-140 | 2 | 20 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 25.2 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 65-135 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 26.4 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 65-130 | 2 | 20 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25.8 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 103 | 70-140 | 0 | 20 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 26.5 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 70-140 | 3 | 25 |
| Ethylbenzene | 24.8 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 99 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Methylene chloride | 27.1 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 108 | 60-135 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 28.9 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 116 | 60-145 | 9 | 30 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 23.4 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Toluene | 24.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 70-120 | 2 | 20 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 23.0 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 75-140 | 5 | 20 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 26.1 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 104 | 60-135 | 3 | 25 |
| Trichloroethene | 24.2 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 70-125 | 2 | 20 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 27.4 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 110 | 55-145 | 3 | 25 |
| Vinyl chloride | 22.4 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 40-135 | 14 | 30 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.0 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 108 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.6 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 102 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.4 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 98 | 80-120 |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: <br> 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 <br> IOC1526 |
| :--- | :--- |

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20002 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrolein | ND | 50 | 4.6 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 50 | 5.1 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether | ND | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.7 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 111 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.5 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 25.0 |  | 102 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 23.8 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 |  | 95 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether | 26.5 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 106 | 20-175 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 27.8 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 |  | 111 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.7 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 103 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 25.3 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## MEHHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20002 Extracted; 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/20/2005 (5C20002-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclohexane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: $:$ | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Outfall 011 |
| Report Number: | IOC1526 |

Report Number: IOC1526
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## MUIHOD BKANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aniline | ND | 10 | 2.9 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ugl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzoic acid | ND | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ND | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | ND | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | ND | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2 chloroethyl)ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.084 | ugn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis (2-chloroisopropyl)ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 0.600 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugA |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| 4-Chloroaniline | ND | 2.0 | 0.20 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dibenzofuran | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ughl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.13 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.050 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | ND | 5.0 | 0.93 | ughl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | 0.220 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.31 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | ND | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | 1OC1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BLK1)

| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ |  |  |  | N-1 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.089 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ND | 5.0 | 1.8 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachloroethane | ND | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| Indeno( $1,2,3$-cd)pyrene | ND | 2.0 | 0.19 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| Lsophorone | ND | 1.0 | 0.059 | ng/ |  |  |  | $\therefore$ |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.23 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.73 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ND | 1.0 | 0.077 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.78 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| Phenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.14 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ugh |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 12.3 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 62 | 30-120 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Outfall 011 |
| Report Number: | IOC1526 |

Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 12.0 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 60 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 15.4 |  |  | ug $/$ | 20.0 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.34 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 63 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.02 |  |  | ug/ 1 | 10.0 |  | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 7.70 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Acenaphthene | 7.60 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugA | 10.0 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthylene | 7.76 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 78 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Aniline | 7.02 | 10 | 2.9 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 70 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Anthracene | 7.94 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 79 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ | 10.0 |  |  | 20-160 |  |  | L2 |
| Benzoic acid | 7.08 | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 71 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 8.78 | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 88 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene. | 8.28 | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 83 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fluofanthene | 7.98 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 80 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 7.68 | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 77 | 40-125 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(k)fuoranthene | 8.24 | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.48 | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 7.56 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 6.46 | 0.50 | 0.084 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 65 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 6.98 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 8.18 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 60-130 |  |  |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 7.30 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 73 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 8.02 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-125 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 6.88 | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 7.82 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 78 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 7.16 | 2.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 72 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 7.94 | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 79 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 6.82 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 68 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Chrysene | 8.32 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 83 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) anthracene | 8.64 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 86 | 45-130 |  |  |  |
| Dibenzofuran | 7.52 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 75 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 8.02 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-125 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 6.12 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 61 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 6.00 | 0.50 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 60 | 35-120 |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
$\begin{aligned} \text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC1526 }\end{aligned}$
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05

LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BS1)

| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 5.96 | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 | 60 | 35-120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33-Dichlorobenzidine | 7.18 | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ | 10.0 | 72 | 45-130 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 7.36 | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 | 74 | 55-120 |
| Diethyl phthalate | 7.40 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 | 74 | 55-120 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 6.64 | 2.0 | 0.31 | ug/ | 10.0 | 66 | 30-120 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 7.78 | 0.50 | 0.081 | ugh | 10.0 | 78 | 60-120 |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 8.54 | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 85 | 50-120 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 7.42 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ | 10.0 | 74 | 40-120 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 6.94 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/l | 10.0 | 69 | 60-120 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 7.46 | 5.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 10.0 | 75 | 60-120 |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 9.76 | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/ | 10.0 | 98 | 60-130 |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 7.98 | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 60-120 |
| Fluoranthene | 8.32 | 0.50 | 0.089 | ugh | 10.0 | 83 | 55-120 |
| Fluorene | 8.12 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 60.120 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 7.64 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 76 | 50-120 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 6.48 | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 65 | 40-120 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 6.58 | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/ | 10.0 | 66 | 15-120 |
| Hexachloroethane | 6.08 | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/ | 10.0 | 61 | 35-120 |
| Indeno( $1,2,3$-cd)pyrene | 8.12 | 2.0 | 0.19 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 40-130 |
| Isophorone | 6.94 | 1.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 50-120 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 7.42 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 74 | 50-120 |
| 2-Methylphenol | 7.02 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.14 | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 10.0 | 71 | 45-120 |
| Naphthalene | 7.10 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 71 | 50-120 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 7.92 | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 60-120 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 7.18 | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 10.0 | 72 | 55-120 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 7.68 | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 50-125 |
| Nitrobenzene | 6.56 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 66 | 50-120 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.28 | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 8.18 | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/ | 10.0 | 82 | 45-120 |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 6.94 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 40-120 |
| N -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 6.80 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 68 | 45-120 |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | 7.34 | 1.0 | 0.077 | ugl | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 8.06 | 2.0 | 0.78 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 50-120 |
| Phenanthrene | 7.82 | 0.50 | 0.071 | ugh | 10.0 | 78 | 55-120 |

M-NR1

N-1

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Report Number: 10 Cl 1526
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## METHOD BLANKKIQC DATA

# ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625) 

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C20022 Extracted: 03/20/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Phenol | 7.76 | 1.0 | 0.14 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 78 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Pyrene | 8.14 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 81 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 6.40 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 64 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 8.04 | 2.0 | 0.075 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 80 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.04 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 80 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.1 |  |  | ugh | 20.0 |  | 66 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.0 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 65 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.1 |  |  | ug $/$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.72 |  |  | ug $/$ | 10.0 |  | 67 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.48 |  |  | ug $/$ | 10.0 |  | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphemyl-d14 | 7.66 |  |  | ug $n$ | 10.0 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | 7.52 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 75 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |  |
| Acenaphthylene | 7.54 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugA | 10.0 |  | 75 | 55-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| Aniline | 6.88 | 10 | 2.9 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 35-120 | 2 | 25 | $J$ |
| Anthracene | 7.78 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 78 | 55-120 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ | 10.0 |  |  | 20-160 |  | 35 | $L 2$ |
| Benzoic acid | 6.18 | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 62 | 35-120 | 14 | 30 | $J$ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 8.48 | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 85 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 8.12 | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 81 | 55-120 | 2 | 25 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 7.90 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 79 | 50-120 | 1 | 25 |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 7.32 | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 73 | 40-125 | 5 | 25 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 7.98 | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 80 | 50-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.26 | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 73 | 45-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 7.42 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 74 | 55-120 | 2 | 20 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 6.10 | 0.50 | 0.084 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 61 | 50-120 | 6 | 20 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 6.98 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 70 | 45-120 | 0 | 20 |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 8.08 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 81 | 60-130 | 1 | 20 |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 7.30 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 73 | 50-120 | 0 | 25 |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 8.02 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ughl | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-125 | 0 | 20 |  |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 6.62 | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 66 | 50-120 | 4 | 25 |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 7.54 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 75 | 55-120 | 4 | 20 |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 6.86 | 2.0 | 0.34 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 69 | 60-120 | 4 | 25 |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 8.16 | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 82 | 55-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 6.74 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 67 | 45-120 | 1 | 25 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
$\begin{aligned} \text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC1526 }\end{aligned}$
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKKOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  |  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |  |  |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BSD1)

| Chrysene | 8.10 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) anthracene | 8.08 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 45-130 | 7 | 25 |
| Dibenzofuran | 7.54 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug 1 | 10.0 | 75 | 60-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 8.10 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 10.0 | 81 | 55-125 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 5.86 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 | 59 | 35-120 | 4 | 25 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 5.64 | 0.50 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 56 | 35-120 | 6 | 25 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 5.68 | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 | 57 | 35-120 | 5 | 25 |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 6.88 | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 45-130 | 4 | 25 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 7.30 | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Diethyl phthalate | 7.32 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 6.42 | 2.0 | 0.31 | ug/ | 10.0 | 64 | 30-120 | 3 | 25 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 7.70 | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 60-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 466-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 8.26 | 5.0 | 0.38 | ugh | 10.0 | 83 | 50-120 | 3 | 25 |
| 2,4 Dinitrophenol | 7.02 | 50 | 2.7 | ugh | 10.0 | 70 | $40-120$ | 6 | 25 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 6.92 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ugn | 10.0 | 69 | 60-120 | 0 | 20 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 7.22 | 5.0 | 0.24 | ug/l | 10.0 | 72 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 9.76 | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/ | 10.0 | 98 | 60-130 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 8.02 | 1.0 | 0.087 | ugl | 10.0 | 80 | 60-120 | 1 | 25 |
| Fluoranthene | 8.28 | 0.50 | 0.089 | ugh | 10.0 | 83 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Fluorene | 8.34 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 83 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 7.50 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh | 10.0 | 75 | 50-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 5.84 | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 58 | 40-120 | 10 | 25 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 6.76 | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/ | 10.0 | 68 | 15-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Hexachloroethane | 5.66 | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/l | 10.0 | 57 | 35-120 | 7 | 25 |
| Indeno( $1,2,3-\mathrm{cd}$ ) pyrene | 7.86 | 2.0 | 0.19 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 40-130 | 3 | 25 |
| Isophorone | 6.12 | 1.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 61 | 50-120 | 13 | 20 |
| 2-Methyinaphthalene | 7.12 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh | 10.0 | 71 | 50-120 | 4 | 20 |
| 2-Methylphenol | 6.92 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ugh | 10.0 | 69 | 45-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.06 | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/l | 10.0 | 71 | 45-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Naphthalene | 6.86 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 50-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 7.94 | 5.0 | 0.18 | ugh | 10.0 | 79 | 60-120 | 0 | 20 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 6.78 | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 10.0 | 68 | 55-120 | 6 | 25 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 7.64 | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 | 76 | 50-125 | 1 | 20 |
| Nitrobenzene | 6.62 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 66 | 50-120 | 1 | 25 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.20 | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 72 | 55-120 | 1 | 25 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Outfall 011 |
| Report Number: | 10 Cl 526 |

Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result $\%$ REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (5C20022-BSD1)

| 4-Nitrophenol | 7.96 | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 45-120 | 3 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 6.82 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ught | 10.0 | 68 | 40-120 | 2 | 20 |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 6.68 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 67 | 45-120 | 2 | 20 |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | 7.28 | 1.0 | 0.077 | ug/l | 10.0 | 73 | 55-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 7.92 | 2.0 | 0.78 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 50-120 | 2 | 25 |
| Phenanthrene | 7.68 | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 55-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Phenol | 7.62 | 1.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 | 76 | 45-120 | 2 | 25 |
| Pyrene | 7.96 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 50-120 | 2 | 25 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 6.06 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 61 | 45-120 | 5 | 20 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 7.66 | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 60-120 | 5 | 20 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 7.78 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 78 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 12.8 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 64 | 30-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 12.9 |  |  | ugh | 20.0 | 64 | 35-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.0 |  |  | ug $n$ | 20.0 | 80 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.74 |  |  | $u g /$ | 10.0 | 67 | $45-120$ |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.16 |  |  | $u g /$ | 10.0 | 72 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 7.48 |  |  | $u g /$ | 10.0 | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1526
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05
```


## METHOD BLANKGOC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C19034 Extracted; 03/19/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| beta-BHC | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| delta-BHC | ND | 0.20 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlordane | ND | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDD | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4-DDE | ND | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4-DDT | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dieldrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan I | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan II | ND | 0.10 | 0.040 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ND | 0.20 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endria | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endrin aldehyde | ND | 0.10 | 0.045 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endrin ketone | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methoxychlor | ND | 0.10 | 0.035 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toxaphene | ND | 5.0 | 1.5 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.320 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 64 | 35-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.403 |  |  | ug $/$ | 0.500 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Aldrin | 0.340 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 68 | 40-115 |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | 0.351 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| beta-BHC | 0.339 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 68 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| delta-BHC | 0.351 | 0.20 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.357 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 |  | 71 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| 4,4-DDD | 0.390 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 |  | 78 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| 4,4-DDE | 0.380 | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/1 | 0.500 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDT | 0.402 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 80 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Dieldrin | 0.380 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ugl | 0.500 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan I | 0.359 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ugl | 0.500 |  | 72 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan II | 0.377 | 0.10 | 0.040 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 75 | 60-125 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.377 | 0.20 | 0.015 | ugh | 0.500 |  | 75 | 60-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Report Number: | IOC1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |

## MEHHOD BIEANKIOC DATA

ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)
Analyte
Batch: 5 C 19034 Extracted: $03 / 19 / 05$

LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BS1)

| Endrin | 0.378 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.339 |
| Endrin ketone | 0.393 |
| Heptachlor | 0.357 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.352 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.386 |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.299 |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.398 |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BSD1)

| Aldrin | 0.380 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 | 76 | 40-115 | 11 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alpha-BHC | 0.391 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 | 78 | 45-115 | 11 | 30 |
| beta-BHC | 0.375 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/l | 0.500 | 75 | 50-115 | 10 | 30 |
| delta- BHC | 0.391 | 0.20 | 0.020 | -ga | 0.500 | 78 | 55-120 | 11 | 30 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.393 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 | 79 | 45-115 | 10 | 30 |
| 4,4-DDD | 0.427 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/l | 0.500 | 85 | 60-120 | 9 | 30 |
| 4,4-DDE | 0.423 | 0.10 | 0.025 | ugh | 0.500 | 85 | 55-120 | 11 | 30 |
| 4,4-DDT | 0.447 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 | 89 | 60-120 | 11 | 30 |
| Dieldrin | 0.416 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug 1 | 0.500 | 83 | 55-120 | 9 | 30 |
| Endosulfan I | 0.395 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 | 79 | 50-115 | 10 | 30 |
| Endosulfan II | 0.409 | 0.10 | 0.040 | ug/ | 0.500 | 82 | 60-125 | 8 | 30 |
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.410 | 0.20 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 | 82 | 60-120 | 8 | 30 |
| Endrin | 0.415 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 | 83 | 55-125 | 9 | 30 |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.373 | 0.10 | 0.045 | ug/ | 0.500 | 75 | 55-115 | 10 | 30 |
| Endrin ketone | 0.425 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 | 85 | 60-115 | 8 | 30 |
| Heptachior | 0.398 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 | 80 | 45-115 | 11 | 30 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.389 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 | 78 | 50-115 | 10 | 30 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.427 | 0.10 | 0.035 | ug/ | 0.500 | 85 | 60-120 | 10 | 30 |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.309 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 | 62 | 35-115 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.433 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 | 87 | 45-120 |  |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 10 Cl 1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

## Batch: 5C19034 Extracted: 03/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19034-BLK1)

| Aroclor 1016 | ND | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aroclor 1221 | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | ND | 1.0 | 0.40 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.356 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 0.500 | 71 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/19/2005 ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Aroclor 1016 | 2.73 | 1.0 | 0.20 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 4.00 | 68 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | 2.92 | 1.0 | 0.40 | ug/l | 4.00 | 73 | 55-115 |  |  |  |
| Stirrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.373 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed, 03/19/2 | BSD2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | 2.54 | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 4.00 | 64 | 50-115 | 7 | 30 |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | 2.83 | 1.0 | 0.40 | ug/l | 4.00 | 71 | 55-115 | 3 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.348 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 0.500 | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Outfall 011 |
| Report Number: | IOC1526 |

Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Batch: 5C19029 Extracted: 03/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19029-BLK1)


## Batch: 5C19038 Extracted: 03/19/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-BLK1)

| Antimony | 1.25 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugA | $J$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | 0.49 | ugh |  |
| Barium | ND | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/l |  |
| Cadmium | 0.0170 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | $J$ |
| Chromium | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ |  |
| Cobalt | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/l |  |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l |  |
| Iron | 0.0190 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | mg/ | $B \sim 1$ |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  |
| Nickel | 0.555 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ | $J$ |
| Selenium | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l |  |
| Silver | 0.184 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/l | $J$ |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | 0.075 | ugh |  |
| Vanadium | ND | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/l |  |
| Zinc | ND | 20 | 3.1 | ug/l |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
$\begin{aligned} \text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC1526 }\end{aligned}$
Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## MIETHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-BS1)

| Antimony | 81.3 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 102 | 85-115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | 86.3 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 108 | 85-115 |
| Barium | 0.0806 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0800 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Beryllium | 74.7 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ugl | 80.0 | 93 | 85-115 |
| Cadmium | 78.9 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 99 | 85-115 |
| Chromium | 80.8 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Cobalt | 80.6 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugh | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Copper | 80.6 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Iron | 0.803 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.800 | 100 | 85-115 |
| Lead | 81.1 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Nickel | 81.5 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/l | 80.0 | 102 | 85-115 |
| Selenium | 80.8 | 2.0 | 0.36 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Silver | 807 | 10 | 0.089 | ugh | 800 | 101 | 85115 |
| Thallium | 808 | 10 | 0.075 | ugt | 80.0 | 101 | 85-115 |
| Vanadium | 79.6 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ugh | 80.0 | 100 | 85-115 |
| Zinc | 79.7 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 | 100 | 85-115 |

Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C19038-MS1)

| Antimony | 84.1 | 2.0 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.64 | 104 | $70-130$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | 88.5 | 1.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 1.2 | 109 | $70-130$ |
| Barium | 0.0958 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0800 | 0.013 | 104 | $70-130$ |
| Beryllium | 75.0 | 0.50 | 0.037 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | ND | 94 | $70-130$ |
| Cadmium | 80.3 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 0.034 | 100 | $70-130$ |
| Chromium | 81.8 | 2.0 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 1.2 | 101 | $70-130$ |
| Cobalt | 81.7 | 1.0 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.25 | 102 | $70-130$ |
| Copper | 84.0 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 80.0 | 3.3 | 101 | $70-130$ |
| Iron | 1.06 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.800 | 0.15 | 114 | $70-130$ |
| Lead | 82.7 | 1.0 | 0.13 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.50 | 103 | $70-130$ |
| Nickel | 82.5 | 2.0 | 0.15 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 1.1 | 102 | $70-130$ |
| Selenium | 80.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.39 | 101 | $70-130$ |
| Silver | 80.5 | 1.0 | 0.089 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | ND | 101 | $70-130$ |
| Thallium | 82.7 | 1.0 | 0.075 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.13 | 103 | $70-130$ |
| Vanadium | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.86 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 2.7 | 100 | $70-130$ |
| Zinc | 89.8 | 20 | 3.1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 8.2 | 102 | $70-130$ |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Outfall 011 |  |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | OUne, Suite 1200 | Report Number: |
| IOC1526 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  | Received: 03/18/05 |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS



| Matrix Sp | 5C1903 |  |  |  |  | e: IOC | 24-0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 82.6 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.64 | 102 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Arsenic | 85.5 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.2 | 105 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Barium | 0.0950 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | mg/ | 0.0800 | 0.013 | 102 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Beryllium | 73.6 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ugh | 80.0 | ND | 92 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.034 | 98 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Chromium | 79.9 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.2 | 98 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Cobalt | 79.3 | 1.0 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 80.0 | 0.25 | 99 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Copper | 81.9 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.3 | 98 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Iron | 0.905 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.800 | 0.15 | 94 | 70-130 | 16 | 20 |
| Lead | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.50 | 102 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Nickel | 79.8 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.1 | 98 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Selenium | 80.4 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.39 | 100 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Silver | 79.2 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ugh | 80.0 | ND | 99 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Thallium | 81.2 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ugl | 80.0 | 0.13 | 101 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Vanadium | 81.6 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/l | 80.0 | 2.7 | 99 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Zinc | 84.2 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 | 8.2 | 95 | 70-130 | 6 | 20 |

Batch: 5C19039. Extracted: 03/19/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/19/2005 (5C19039-BLK1)


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outall 011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Report Number:  <br> Pasadena, CA 91101  Sampled: 03/18/05 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly  Received: 03/18/05 |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOC1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHCD BLAMKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 1OC1526 |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers

## Batch: 5C18104 Extracted: 03/18/05

LCS Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C18104-BS1)

| Chloride | 4.80 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 96 | 90-110 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fluoride | 4.67 | 0.50 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 93 | 90-110 |  |
| Sulfate | 10.0 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 100 | 90-110 |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C18104-MS1) |  |  | Source: 10C1500-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 10.3 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 6.1 | 84 | 80-120 |  |
| Fluoride | 4.51 | 0.50 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 5.00 | 0.39 | 82 | 80-120 |  |
| Sulfate | 12.8 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 10.0 | 3.8 | 90 | 80-120 |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C18104-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C1500-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride | 10.3 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 6.1 | 84 | 80-120 | 0 |
| Fluoride | 4.52 | 0.50 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 5.00 | 0.39 | 83 | 80-120 | 0 |
| Sulfate | 12.8 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 3.8 | 90 | 80-120 | 0 |

## Batch: 5C18107. Extracted: 03/18/05

## Blank Analyzed: 03/18/2005 (5C18107-BLK1)



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)<br>Outfall 011<br>Report Number: 10 C 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## method blankec data

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Report Number: | IOC1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C21062 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Oil \& Grease 17.1 | 5.0 | 0.94 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21062-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease 16.0 | 5.0 | 0.94 | mg 1 | 20.0 |  | 80 | 65-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C21068 Extracted: 03/21/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 942 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21068-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | ce: 10C1 | 566-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ND | 10 | 10 | mgn |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5 C 21073 Extracted: $03 / 21 / 05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 968 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 1000 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/21/2005 (5C21073-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | e: 1OC15 | 566-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 320 | 10 | 10 | mg / |  | 300 |  |  | 6 | 10 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Outfall 011 |  |  |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 10 Cl 526 | Received: 03/18/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
| Report Number: | IOC1526 | Received: 03/18/05 |

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\mathbf{R P D}$ <br> Limit | Data Quallfiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: P5C2203 Extracted: 03/22/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | ND | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.11 |  |  | ug/ | 1.00 |  | 111 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 8.06 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 81 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.12 |  |  | $u g /$ | 1.00 |  | 112 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 10.2 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 102 | 70-130 | 23 | 20 | R-7 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.09 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 1.00 |  | 109 | $80-125$ |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: POC0388-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 32.8 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 10.0 | 25 | 78 | 70-150 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane | 1.06 |  |  | ugh | 1.00 |  | 106 | 80-125 | : |  | $\because \quad$. |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/22/2005 (P5C2203-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: POC0388-06 |  |  |  |  |  | : |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 32.4 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 | 25 | 74 | 70-150 | 1 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.07 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 1.00 |  | 107 | 80-125 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 1526

Sampled: 03/18/05
Received: 03/18/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

| B | Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank. |
| :---: | :---: |
| B-1 | Analyte was detected in the associated method blank. Analyte concentration in the sample is greater than $10 x$ the concentration found in the method blank. |
| J | Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality. |
| L2 | Laboratory Control Sample recovery was below method control limits. |
| M-NR1 | There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate. |
| N-1 | See case narrative. |
| P1 | Sample received and analyzed without chemical preservation. |
| R-7 | LFB/LFBD RPD exceeded the method control limit. Recovery met acceptance criteria. |
| RL-3 | Reporting limit raised due to high concentrations of non-target analytes. |
| ZX | Due to sample matrix effects, the surrogate recovery was outside the acceptance limits. |
| ND | Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified. |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference |

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

## For TICs:

All identifications are tentative and concentrations are estimates based upon spectral comparison to the EPA/NIH library. For 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine

The result for 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine is based upon the reading of its breakdown product, Azobenzene.
For GRO (C4-C12):
GRO (C4-C12) is quantitated against a gasoline standard. Quantitation begins immediately following the methanol peak.
For Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) :
Unless otherwise noted, Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) are quantitated against a Diesel Fuel Standard.

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 526
Received: 03/18/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Callfornia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 120.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 180.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.7 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 218.6 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 330.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 335.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 350.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 405.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 415.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 418.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 608. | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 624 (MOD.) | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 624 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 625 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 8015 Mod. | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 8015B | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM5540-C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

```
Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: 10C1526-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 1OC1526-01
Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB California Cert \#1775
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 - Ventura, CA 93003
```

Analysis Performed: Bioassay-7 dy Chmic
Samples: 10 Cl 1526 -01
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/18/05
Report Number: 10 Cl 526 Received: 03/18/05

Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB California Cert \#1775
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 - Ventura, CA 93003
Analysis Performed: Bioassay-Acute 96hr
Samples: 1OC1526-01
Del Mar Analytical - Phoenix NELAC Cert \#01109CA, California Cert \#2446
9830 S. 51st Street, Suite B-120 - Phoenix, AZ 85044
Method Performed: EPA 8260B
Samples: 1OC1526-01

## Eberline Services - SUB

2030 Wright Avenue - Richmond, CA 94804
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: 10C1526-01
Analysis Performed: Gross Alpha
Samples: IOC1526-01
Analysis Performed: Gross Beta
Samples: IOC1526-01
Analysis Performed: Radium, Combined
Samples: $10 \mathrm{Cl} 1526-01$
Analysis Performed: Strontium 90
Samples: 1OC1526-01
Analysis Performed: Tritium
Samples: 1OC1526-01
Truesdail Laboratories-SUB California Cert \#1237
14201 Franklin Avenue - Tustin, CA 92680
Analysis Performed: Hydrazine
Samples: IOC1526-01
Analysis Performed: Level 4 Data Package
Samples: 1OC1526-01

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM
Del Mar Analytical version 02/23/05

| Client Name/Address: | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Boeing-SSFL NPDES |  |
| Outfall 011 - 13267 |  |

MWH-Pasadena
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA' 91101
Flow-weight Composite Phone Number:
(626) $568-6691$
Fax Number:
(626) 568-6515

| Sample | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sampla } \\ \text { Description } \\ \text { Matrix }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Container } \\ \text { Type }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { of } \\ \text { Cont. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sampling } \\ \text { Dateltime }\end{array}$ | Preservative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

ed
Project Manager: Bronwyn Kelly
Sampler: $P 10 c 4$

| Sample | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sampla } \\ \text { Description } \\ \text { Matrix }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Container } \\ \text { Type }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { of } \\ \text { Cont. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sampling } \\ \text { Dateltime }\end{array}$ | Preservative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

None
None
None
None
None
$\frac{8}{8}$
None
None
None Received By
$\times$
$F A x$
(i) MWH

Bute: 03/21/10s

To: $\quad$ Michele Hesper / Dual Mar Analytical
Kris Mcliveman / MWH
To. Michelixper DUM

300 N. Lake Ave., Suite 1200
Pasadena, California 91101
Tel: 626-56\#-6691
Fax 626.568 .6515

Fax No:
949-260-3297
925-975-3412

From: Branding Kelly


Per Request:
Please make the changes listed below to the chain-of-cuatody analytical request form. Include that form with the final deliverables for these wimples

mi 3/2105
The reason for theme changer:
Incorrectly marked on COC form
Lack of ample volume
MWH office personnel regitive this change
Other: Containers mislabeled

New COC's are attached for review.
Thank you
Bronayu

Del Mar Analytical vemomoznsos CHAN OF CUSTODY FORM
Page 2 of 2

April 4, 2005

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

| Attention: | Bronwyn Kelly |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | 13267 (Study 1)/Outfall 011 |
|  | Sampled: 03/18/05 |
|  | Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC1526 |

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Aquatic Testing Laboratories performed Fathead Minnow 96 hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002), Truesdail Laboratories tested Hydrazines by EPA 8315 M, Alta Analytical performed EPA Method 1613 by Dioxin and Eberline Services performed Gross Alpha/Gross Beta (EPA 900.0), Tritium (H-3, EPA 906.0), Strontium-90 (Sr-90, EPA 905.0), Radium 226 (EPA 903.1), and Radium 228 (904.0) for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| KWH ID | DEL MAR ID | AIL ID | TRUESDAIL ID | ALTA ID | ESERINE M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC1526-01 | A-05031905-001/002 | 9408841 | $25938-001$ | PENDING |

Attached are the original reports from the subcontract laboratories. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours, DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

## LABORATORY REPORT

Date: March 25, 2005
Client: Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Attn: Michele Harper

Aquatic Testing


## Laboratories

"dedicated to providing quality aquatic toxicity testing"
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 650-0546 FAX (805) 650-0756

CA DOHS ELAP Cert. No.: 1775

Laboratory No.: A-05031905-001/002
Sample I.D.: IOC1526-01

Sample Control: The sample was received by ATL chilled, with the chain of custody record attached.

| Date Sampled: | $03 / 18 / 05$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Received: | $03 / 19 / 05$ |
| Date Tested: | $03 / 19 / 05$ to 03/25/05 |

Sample Analysis: The following analyses were performed on your sample:
Fathead Minnow 96hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002).

Attached are the test data generated from the analysis of your sample.

## Result Summary:

| Acute: | $\frac{\text { Survival }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { TUa }}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fathead Minnow: | $100 \%$ | 0.0 |
| Chronic: |  |  |
| $\quad$ NOEC | TUe |  |
| $\quad$ Ceriodaphnia Survival: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |
| Ceriodaphnia Reproduction: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |

Quality Control: Reviewed and approved by:


Lab No.: A-05031905-001
Client/ID: Del Mar - IOC1526-01

Species: Pimephales promelas.
Age: 10 (1-14) days.
Regulations: NPDES.
Test solution volume: 250 ml .
Feeding: prior to renewal at $\mathbf{4 8}$ hrs.
Number of replicates: 2.
Dilution water: Moderately hard reconstituted water.
Photoperiod: 16/8 hrs light/dark.

## Start Date: 03/19/2005

TEST SUMMARY
Source: In-laboratory Culture.
Test type: Static-Renewal.
Test Protocol: EPA-821-R-02-012.
Endpoints: Percent Survival at 96 hrs.
Test chamber: 600 ml beakers.
Temperature: $20+/-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Number of fish per chamber: 10.
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050303.

TEST DATA


## Comments:

Sample as received: Chlorine: $0 \mathrm{mgl} ; \mathrm{pH}: 2.5$; Conductivity: 310 umho; Temp: $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
DO: $10.1 \mathrm{mg} / ;$ Alkalinity: $95 \mathrm{mg} / ;$ Hardness: $132 \mathrm{mg} / ; \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}: 0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.
Sample aerated moderately (approx. $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to raise or lower DO? Yes $/$ No.
Control: Alkalinity: $54 \mathrm{mg} /$; Hardness: $90 \mathrm{mg} /$; Conductivity: 290 umho.
Test solution aerated (not to exceed 100 bubbles $/ \mathrm{min}$ ) to maintain D $0>4.0 \mathrm{mg} / 17$ Yes $/ \mathrm{Nd}$.
Sample used for renewal is the original sample kept at $0-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with minimal headspace.

RESULTS
$\qquad$ \% 100\% Sample: $\qquad$ \%

Lab No.: A-05031905
Client/ID: Del Mar 1OC1526-01
Date Tested: 03/19/05 to 03/25/05

## TEST SUMMARY

Test type: Daily static-renewal. Species: Ceriodaphnia dubia.
Age: $<24 \mathrm{hrs}$; all released within 8 hrs.
Test vessel size: 30 ml .
Number of test organisms per vessel: 1.
Temperature: $25+/-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Dilution water: Mod. hard reconstituted (MHRW).
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050311.

Endpoints: Survival and Reproduction.
Source: In-laboratory culture.
Food: . 1 ml YTC, algae per day.
Test solution volume: 15 ml .
Number of replicates: 10.
Photoperiod: $16 / 8 \mathrm{hrs}$. light/dark cycle.
Test duration: 7 days.
Statistics: ToxCalc computer program.

RESULTS SUMMARY

| Sample Concentration | Percent Survival | Mean Number of <br> Young Per Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | $100 \%$ | 21.9 |
| $6.25 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 23.7 |
| $12.5 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 24.4 |
| $25 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 26.8 |
| $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 28.6 |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 26.6 |
| * Statis, |  |  |

* Statistically significantly less than control at $P=0.05$ level.
** Reproduction data from concentrations greater than survival NOEC are excluded from statistical analysis.

CHRONIC TOXICITY

| Parameter | Survival | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOEC | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| TUc | 1.0 | 1.0 |

QA/QC TEST ACCEPTABILITY
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \text { Parameter } & \text { Result } \\ \hline \text { Control survival } 280 \% & \text { Pass (100\% survival) } \\ \hline \geq 15 \text { young per surviving control female average } & \text { Pass (21.9 young) } \\ \hline \geq 60 \% \text { surviving controls had } 3 \text { broods } & \text { Pass ( } 90 \% \text { with } 3 \text { broods) } \\ \hline \text { PMSD }<47 \% \text { for reproduction; if }>47 \% \text { and no toxicity } \\ \text { at IWC, the test must be repeated }\end{array}\right]$ Pass (PMSD $=20.6 \%$ ).

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1526

| SENDING LABORATORY: <br> Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261-1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Manager: Michele Harper | RECEIVING LABORATORY: <br> Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB <br> 4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 <br> Ventura, CA 93003 <br> Phone :(805) 650-0546 <br> Fax: (805) 650-0756 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requ | D Due Date: |
| Analysis Expiration | Comments |
| Sample ID: IOC1526-01 Water $\quad$ Sampled: $03 / 18 / 0514: 40$ <br> Bioassay- 7 dy Chrnic $03 / 20 / 0502: 40$ <br> Bioassay-Acute 96 hr $03 / 20 / 0502: 40$ | Instant Nofication ceriodaphnia, 13267 fathead minnow, 13267 |
| Containers Supplied: <br> 1 gal Poly (IOC1526-01AR) <br> 1 gal Poly (1OC1526-01AS) |  |



## Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.

INDEPENDENT TESTING, FORENSIC SCIENCE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSES
March 25,2005

| Client: | Del Mar Analytical <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attention: | Michele Harper |

Project Name: 10 Cl 1526
Truesdail Project: 940884
Date Received: 03/21/05

Samples Cross-reference

| Truestail ID | Client ID | Matrix | Date Sampled |  | Time Sampled |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $940884-1$ | IOC1526-01 | Water | $03 / 18 / 05$ | 1440 | Hydrazines by EPA 8315M |  |

Respectfully Submitted,
TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.
K.R.p. gyour
K.R.P. lyer

Quality Control/Quality Assurance Officer


## Truesdail laboratories, Inc.

Client: Del Mar Analytical<br>17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100<br>Irvine, CA 92614<br>Attention: Michele Harper

Project Name: 10 Cl 1526
Truesdail Project:
940884
Date Received: 03/21/05

## Case Narrative

Sample Receipt The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in. The sample was kept in a locked refrigerator until analysis. Thereafter, it is being kept in ambient storage for an additional 2 months before disposal.

Analysis $\quad$ The analysis was performed as requested on the chain-of-custody.
Quality Control The analytical results for each batch of samples performed include a minimum of one set of laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD), one matrix spike (MS) and a reagent blank (Method blank). Any exceptions or problems would be noted in the "comments" section.

## Comments

The test results in this report meet all quality assurance requirements set forth by the method specification and all quality control recoveries were within the laboratory acceptance limits. No anomalies or nonconformance events occurred during the course of analysis.

The analytes were quantitated down to the Method Detection Limit (J flags) per client's request.

Respectfully Submitted, TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

Truesdall. Laboratories, Inc.

REPORT
Analytical Results

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.
independent testing. Forensic science, and environmental analyses

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Det Mar Analytical } \\
& \text { 17461 Derlan Ave., Suite } 100 \\
& \text { Irvine, CA } 92614 \\
& \text { Michele Harper } \\
& \text { Liquid / S Sample } \\
& \text { IOC1526 } \\
& 1061526 \\
& 83515 \text { (Modified) } \\
& \text { Extraction: } 3017 \text {; Analysis: } 378 \\
& \text { Hydrazines in Llquid } \\
& \text { Quality }
\end{aligned}
$$

## REPORT


Quality Control/Quality Assurance Spikes Report
ICV: Inltal Callbration Vertication
QCS: Quality Control Standard
LCS: Laboratory Control Spike
MS: Matrix Spika
\%D: Percent Difference
Flag: "Pass" If within Cont
Fleg: "Pass" If within Controd Limits; otherwise "Fail"
Note: Results based on detector $\% 1(\mathrm{UV}=365 \mathrm{~nm})$ data.


| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |
| Phone: (949) |
| 261-1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |

RECEIVING LABORATORY:
Truesdail Laboratories-SUB
14201 Frankin Avenue
Tustin, CA 92680
Phone :(714) 730-6239
Fax: (714) 730-6462

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow>$ Due Date: $\qquad$ Initials: $\qquad$

| Analysis |  | Expiration | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C1526-01 | Water | S Sampled: 03/18/05 14:40 | Instant Nofication |
| Hydrazine-OUT | 03/21/05 14:40 |  | J flags, Sub Truesdail for Monomethylhydrazine |
| Level 4 Data Package |  | 04/15/05 14:40 |  |
| Containers Supplied: <br> 1 L Amber (IOC1526-01 AMM) BB |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Sample Integrity \& Analysis Discrepancy Form

Client:


Lab\# 940884
Date Delivered: $321 / 05$ Time: 740 By: aMail $\quad$ afield Service 日Cfient

1. Was a Chain of Custody received and signed?
2. Does Customer require an acknowledgement of the COC?
3. Are there any special requirements or notes on the COC?
4. If a letter was sent with the $C O C$, does it match the $C O C$ ?
5. Were all requested analyses understood and acceptable?
6. Were samples received in a chilled condition?

Temperature (if yes)? LPC
7. Were samples received intact
(i.e. broken bottles, leaks, air bubbles, etc..)?
8. Were sample custody seals intact?
9. Does the number of samples received agree with COC?
10. Did sample labels correspond with the client ID's?
 Preserved (if yes) by: ロTrusspait DClent
12. Were samples $p H$ checked 1 pAEVEI IT
13. Were all analyses within holding time at time of receipt? If not, notify the Project Manager.
14. Have Project due dates been checked and accepted? Tum Around Time (TAT): D RUSH [] Std
ares aNo DN/A
aYes ano GN/A
ayes ano aña
ayes ano conva
dYes ano $\square N / A$
DFes DNo DN/A

GYes ano an/A

QYes $\square N O$ IN/A QYes aNo DN/A GTes QNO UN/A םYes aNo IN/A aYes ano GN/A aYes aNo aN/A GYes DNo DN/A
15. Sample Matrix: DLiquid aDrinking Water GGround Water aWaste Water asludge asoil aWipe apaint asolid ubther water
16. Comments: $\qquad$
17. Sample Check-In completed by Truesdail Log-In/Receiving:


| ab Number: |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{948884}{}$ |
| Del Mar Name |





March 24, 2005
Alta Project I.D.: 25938
Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614

Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 22, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC1526". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Maier Director of HRMS Services

## Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

# Section I: Sample Inventory Report 

Date Received: 3/22/2005

## Alta Lab. ID

25938-001

Client Sample ID
IOC1526-01

## SECTION II

| Method Blank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Matrix: } \\ & \text { Sample Size: } \end{aligned}$ | Aqueous <br> 1.000 L | QC Batch No.: <br> Date Extracted: |  |  | Lab Sample: $0-\mathrm{MB} 001$ <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 23-Mar-05 |  |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mar-05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Conc. (pg/L) | DL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | EMPC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Qualifiers | Labeled Standard |  |  | \%R | LCL-UCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Oualifiers |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | 0.841 |  |  | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD |  | 79.3 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.749 |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8$-P | CDD | 75.2 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 1.49 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8 | xCDD | 74.0 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 1.52 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8 | XCDD | 80.9 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 1.50 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7, | HpCDD | 72.5 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 1.17 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDD |  | 55.5 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | ND | 3.33 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 82.1 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.795 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-P | CDF | 74.6 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 1.67 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-P | CDF | 77.9 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 1.39 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8 | XCDF | 62.7 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.474 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8- | xCDF | 73.0 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.442 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8 | xCDF | 71.1 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.510 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9 | XCDF | 67.2 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.820 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7, | HpCDF | 67.8 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | 0.929 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8, | HpCDF | 71.3 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 1.13 |  |  |  | 13C-OCDF |  | 58.9 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | ND | 2.74 |  |  | CR | 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TC |  | 83.9 | 35-197 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | Foot | notes |  |  |  |  |
| Total TCDD | ND | 0.841 |  |  | a. Sar | ple specific estimated | tection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDD | ND | 0.749 |  |  | b. Est | nated maximum possi | e concentration. |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | 1.51 |  |  | c. Me | ood detection limit. |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | ND | 1.17 |  |  |  | er control limit - upper | ontrol limit. |  |  |  |
| Total TCDF | ND | 0.795 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDF ${ }^{-}$ | ND | 1.52 |  |  |  | .... - .n........ |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDF | ND | 0.545 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst: JMH |  |  |  |  |  | Approved By; | Martha M. | 24-1 | ar-2005 09:41 |  |




## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL. The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No, CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)


Commentas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IOC } 1521-01 \\
& \text { IOC } 1523-01 \\
& \text { IOC } 1525-01 \\
& \text { IOC } 1526-01 \\
& \text { IOC } 1563-01
\end{aligned}
$$
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## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC1526


$259383.2^{\circ}$



Contact Michele taper Fax Number: $(9+1) 260-3297$


Please review the following Information and complete the Client Authorization section. To comply with NEL AC regulations, we must receive authortantion before proceeding with sample analysis. Thank You. (Fax we16-673-0106)

The following Information or item is needed to proceed with the analysis:

| $\square$ Completed Chain-of-Custody | $\square$ Preservative | $区$ (Collector's Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Test Method Requested | $\square$ Sample Identification | $\square$ Sample Type |
| $\square$ Analyte List Requested | $\square$ Sample Collection Date Time | $\square$ Sample Location |

The following anomalies were noted. Authorization is needed to proceed with the analysis:
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# CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA 

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Alta
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Dioxins \& Furans /1613

Laboratory Alta
Analysis/Method Dioxins \& Furans /1613

Package ID T711DF40
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. Multiple
No. of Analyses 5

| Date: April 7, 2005 |
| :--- |
| Reviewer's Signature |
| A. |

## ACTION ITEMS ${ }^{-}$

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables.
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis

Protocol, e.g.,
Detects below the method calibration level were qualified "J."
Holding Times
GCMS Tune/Inst. Perform
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Intemal Standard Performance
Compound Identification and
Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$

[^35]
# amec ${ }^{\theta}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: DIOXINS/FURANS

# SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC0871, IOC2062, IOC2063, IOC2064, IOC2093 

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Muttiple |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC0871, IOC2062, IOC2063, IOC2064, IOC2093<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Dioxins/Furans<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 5<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 7, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 1), EPA Method 1613, and the National National Functional Guidelines For Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: NPDES |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |

Table 1. Sample Identification

| Client ID | Laboratory ID <br> (Del Mar) | Laboratory ID <br> (Alta) | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 018 | IOC0871-01 | $25975-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 002 | IOC2062-01 | $25969-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 | IOC2063-01 | $25967-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC2064-01 | $25968-001$ | water | 1613 |
| Outfall 001 | IOC2093-01 | $25970-001$ | water | 1613 |


|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG No.: | Multiple |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

All samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar with cooler temperatures within the QC limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the exception of sample Outfall 002 which was received at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were received at $0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at Alta. According to the laboratory login sheets, all samples were received intact and in good condition at both laboratories. Due to non-volatile nature of the target compounds and since all samples were received intact, no qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs and transfer COCs were legible and signed by the appropriate field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to Del Mar Analytical, custody seals were not required. The coolers received by Alta had custody seals present and intact. The EPA IDs were added to the sample result summaries by the reviewer. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted and analyzed within a year of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

Following are findings associated with instrument performance:

### 2.2.1 GC Column Performance

A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards (see section 2.3.2). The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and $2,3,7,8-\mathrm{TCDD}$ reported as less than $25 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2.2 Mass Spectrometer Performance

The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000 . No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATTON REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG No.: <br> Multiple <br> S/F |

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration, analyzed $01 / 21 / 05$. The calibration consisted of six concentration level standards (CS0 through CS5) analyzed to verify instrument linearity. The initial calibration was acceptable with $\%$ RSDs $\leq 20 \%$ for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and $\leq 35 \%$ for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the QC limits listed in Method 1613 for all standards. A representative number of \%RSDs were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.2 Continuing Calibration

Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning and end of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits. A representative number of \%Ds were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

WDM and isomer specificity compounds were added to the VER standards instead of being analyzed separately, as noted in section 2.2.1 of this report. No adverse effect was observed with this practice.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank $(06653$ MB001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. There were no target compound detects reported in the method blank. A review of the method blank raw data and chromatograms indicated no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) sample ( 0 6653_OPR001) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. All recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed in these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the OPR results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Following are findings associated with field QC:

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | Multiple |

### 2.7.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

No field duplicate samples were identified for these SDGs.

### 2.8 INTERNAL STANDARDS

The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613. The compound identifications were verified from the raw data and no false negatives or positives were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantitation was verified from the raw data. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any results reported as Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) were qualified as estimated nondetects, "UJ." Any detects below the lower method calibration level (MCL) were qualified as estimated, "J;" however, as Alta analyzed an additional calibration standard, the results below the lower MCL but above the lower calibration level were flagged with "A" laboratory qualifier. These results were qualified as estimated, " J ," by the reviewer.

2,3,7,8-TCDF was detected in sample Outfall 018; however, no confirmation was performed since the level of the detect was below the calibration range. This compound was qualified as estimated, "J."

The Total TCDF result in sample Outfall 011 was reported with "D" laboratory qualifier due to the presence of ether. Total TCDF was qualified as "J" in this sample. No further qualifications were required.


CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID | T711HZ11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order | 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. | 10C2063, 10 |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses | 2 |
| Laboratory Truesdail | Date: $04 / 11$ |  |
| Reviewer P. Meeks | Prujewek | gnature |
| Analysis/Method Hydrazines | P.Mes |  |



# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: HYDRAZINES SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC2063 \& IOC2064

Prepared by<br>AMEC-Denver Operations<br>550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500<br>Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063, 2064 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Hydrazines<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples:<br>2<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: April 11, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (2/94), and USEPA SW-846 Method 8315. Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SDG No.: | IOC2063, 2064 |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Hydrazines |

Table 1. Sample identification

| EPA ID | Del Mar ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | IOC2063-01 | 941100 | water | Hydrazines by 8315 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | IOC2064-01 | 941101 | water | Hydrazines by 8315 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical and the subcontract laboratory, Truesdail Laboratories, within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The case narratives for these SDGs noted that the samples were received intact at both laboratories. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs from the field to Del Mar were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel, and the transfer COCs from Del Mar to Truesdail Laboratories were signed and dated by personnel from both laboratories. Both the original COCs and transfer COCs requested only monomethyl hydrazine analysis; however, unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine and hydrazine were also reported. As the samples were transported to Del Mar and then to Truesdail by courier, no custody seals were required. Truesdail Laboratories did not list the Outfall 011 IDs on the Form Is; therefore, the reviewer hand-corrected the Form Is to include this information. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the date of collection with the dates of analysis. The samples were extraction within the three-day holding time and analyzed within three days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The five-point initial calibration were analyzed $03 / 29 / 05$, with correlation coefficients of $\geq 0.995$ for the hydrazines. The ICV and CCV bracketing the sample analyses had recoveries for the hydrazines within the QC limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

One method blank was analyzed with these SDGs. The results reported on the method blank summary form and in the raw data for the instrument and method blank analyses associated with the samples were nondetects at the reporting limit. No qualifications were required.

Project:
NPDES
SDG No.: IOC2063, 2064

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate was analyzed with these SDGs. The hydrazines were recovered within the laboratory-established control limits of $70 \%-130 \%$, and the RPDs were within the control limit of $\leq 20 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogates were not utilized in this analysis. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MSD/MSD analyses were performed on Outfall 011 Composite. The hydrazines were recovered within the laboratory-established control limits of $0 \%-150 \%$; however, both recoveries were $\geq 10 \%$. The RPDs were within the control limit of $\leq 20 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.71 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The site samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples in these SDGs.

### 2.8 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The samples were analyzed by HPLC for monomethyl hydrazine, unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine, and hydrazine by Method 8315. Compound identification was verified, and review of the raw data indicated no compound identification errors. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified from the raw data at a Level IV data validation by recalculating LCS/LCSD and MS/MSD detects, as there were no sample detects. No compound quantitation problems were noted. The hydrazine reporting limits were supported by the lower levels of the initial calibration. No qualifications were required.
Truesdail Laboratories, inc.
INDEPENDENT TESTUG, FORENSIC SCIENCE, AND ENVIAONMENTAL ANAYSES

## REPORT

Analytical Results

pur t/ulos
Note: Results based on detector \#1 (UV=365nm) data.
Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.
INDEPENOENT TESTHNG, FORENSIC SCIENCE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSES

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Client: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Del Mar Analytical } \\
\text { 17461 Derian Ave., Suite } 100 \\
\text { Irvine, CA 92614 }
\end{array} \\
& \\
\text { Attention: } & \text { Michele Harper } \\
\text { Sample: } & \text { Liquid / 1 Sample } \\
\text { Prolect Name: } & \text { IOC2064 } \\
\text { P.O. Number: } & \text { 10c2064 } \\
\text { Method Number: } & \mathbf{8 3 1 5} \text { (Modified) } \\
\text { Investigation: } & \text { Hydrazines in Liquid }
\end{aligned}
$$

REPORT
Analytical Results
Page 1 of 1


MDL: Method Detection Limit, ug/L
PQL: Practical Quantitation Limit, ugh. ND: Not Detected at or above the MDL value. N/A: Not Applicable

Note: Results based on detector \#1 (UV=365nm) data.


## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer P. Meeks
Analysis/Method Metals

Package ID
T711MT74
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC2063, IOC2064
No. of Analyses 2
Date: 04/11/05
Reyiewer's Signature


## ACHION ITEMS

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy Deliverables
6. Deviations from

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification
and Quantitation
System Performance

Qualifications were applied for:

1. Detects in the method blank and CCBs
2. ICSAB recovery outlier
3. Reporting limit check standard recovery outlier
4. Detects below the reporting limit
$\qquad$
$\square$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

COMMENIS*
${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
bifferences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

## amec ${ }^{9}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES<br>Monitoring

ANALYSIS: METALS<br>SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC2063 \& IOC2064

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Metals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: P. Meeks<br>Date of Review: April 11, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP-MS Metals, (DVP-5-A, Rev.0), AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels III and IV ICP Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0), SW-846 Method 6020B for Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry, SW-846 Method 6010B for Inductively Coupled Plasma, SW-846 Method 7471A for Mercury (Manual Cold-Vapor Technique), and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form $I$ as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063, 2064 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC2063-01 | water | ILM04 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | 1OC2064-01 | water | ILM04 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No sample preservation, handling, or transport problems were noted, and no qualifications were necessary.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the samples and analyses presented in these SDGs. Duplicate samples were submitted for the samples in these SDGs; however, duplicate analyses were not required. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The dates of collection recorded on the COCs and the dates of analyses recorded in the raw data, documented that the sample analyses were performed within the specified holding times of six months for the ICP and ICP/MS metals, and 28 days for mercury No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 ICP-MS TUNING

A precalibration routine must be completed prior to calibrating the instrument, which consists of analyzing a tuning solution to verify resolution, mass calibration, and thermal stability. The solution must be analyzed a minimum of five times and must contain isotopes representing all mass regions of interest. All $\%$ RSDs were less than $5 \%$. The mass calibrations were within 0.1 amu of the true mass and the instrument resolutions were less than 0.75 amu at 5 percent peak height for all analytes in the tune solution. No site sample qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The ICV and CCV results showed acceptable recoveries, $90-110 \%$ for ICP/MS metals and $80-120 \%$ for mercury. Antimony and nickel were not recovered in the 0.2 ppb reporting limit check standard; therefore nondetected antimony in both site samples (see section 2.4) was qualified as estimated, "UJ." As nickel was detected in both samples above the $2.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ reporting limit and was recovered within the control limits in the 2.0 ppb reporting limit check standard, no qualifications were required. The remaining reporting limit check standards were recovered within the AMEC control limits of 70-130\%. No further sample qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063, 2064 |

### 2.4 BLANKS

Antimony, boron and thallium were detected in bracketing CCBs at $0.422 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}, 0.0207 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and $0.0895 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively; therefore, antimony and boron detected in both site samples and thallium detected in Outfall 011 Grab were qualified as estimated, "UJ." Chromium was detected in method blank 5C25116-BLK1 at $0.516 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, chromium detected in both site samples was qualified as estimated, "UJ." No further qualifications were required due to the method and calibration blank results.

### 2.5 ICP INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE (ICS A/AB)

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the ICP-MS analyses. Results were not provided for spiked interferents sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride, and barium, beryllium, selenium, thallium, vanadium, antimony and lead were not spiked into the ICSAB solution. Arsenic was recovered below the control limit in the ICSAB, therefore, arsenic detected in both site samples was qualified as estimated, "J." Manganese, cobalt copper, zinc, and cadmium were detected above the reporting limit in the ICSA. The validator reviewed the raw data for the site sample ICP/MS analyses for the level of reported interferents, $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Mg , and determined that the levels of reported interferents were not high enough to cause matrix affects. No assessment could be made with respect to possible interference from sulfur, phosphorus, carbon, and chloride.

ICSA and ICSAB analyses were included in the raw data for the boron ICP analyses, but were not run on the days the site samples were analyzed. The recoveries for the interferents and the other spiked analytes were within the control limits of $80-120 \%$. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.6 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The ICP/MS LCS sample was identified as 5C25116-BSland the ICP LCS sample was identified as 5C25111-BS1. The mercury LCS sample was identified as 5C26033-BS1. The LCS results on the summary forms and in the raw data were within the laboratory-established ICP, ICP/MS, and mercury control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.8 MATRIX SPIKE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDG; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: |
| :--- | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: |
| IOC2063, 2064 |  |

### 2.9 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.10 ICP/MS AND ICP SERIAL DILUTION

No serial dilution analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.11 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The ICP-MS internal standard recoveries for the site samples and associated QC sample analyses were within the $60-125 \%$ control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.12 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Analytes detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.13 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples.

### 2.13.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate analyses performed in association with the site samples.

## DRAFT: METALS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data Batch Limit Limit Result FactorExtracted Analyzed Qualifiers

## Method

Batch Limit

- Water)-cont.


## Sample ID: IOC2063-01 Reporting Units: mg/l

Barium

Boron
Iron

| EPA 200.8 | SC25116 | 0.00014 | 0.0010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EPA 200.7 | SC25111 | 0.0074 | 0.050 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.023 | 1 | $03 / 25 / 05$ | $03 / 28 / 05$ |
| 0.092 | 1 | $03 / 25 / 05$ | $03 / 27 / 05$ |
| 0.43 | 1 | $03 / 25 / 05$ | $03 / 28 / 05$ |

1
EPA 200.
EPA $200.8 \quad 5 C 25116 \quad 0.0032$

## AMEC VALIDATE?



| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Outfall 011 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 2063$ | Sampled: $03 / 25.05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  | Received: 032505 |

Atention: Bronwyn Kelly

## DRAFT: METALS

| Analyte |  | Method | Batch | MDL Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | n Date Extracted | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Analyze } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Data } \\ \text { ed Qualifit } \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: <br> Report | DRA | Outfall 011 C | - Water) | cont. |  |  |  |  |  | Rev Qual | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Qual } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Antimony |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 1 | 03:25/05 | 03/28:05 | UJ J | *3, B |
| Arsenic |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.49 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03:28/05 | J |  |
| Beryltium |  | EPA 200.8 | SC25116 | 0.037 | 0.50 | 0.041 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03i28/05 | JJ | DNQ |
| Cadmium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/2805 | J J | DNa |
| Chromium |  | EPA 200.8 | SC25116 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03i25/05 | 03/28:05 | UJB, |  |
| Cobalt |  | EPA 200.8 | SC25116 | 0.10 | 1.0 | 0.29 | 1. | 0332505 | 03/28:05 | J $j$ |  |
| Copper |  | ERA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1 | 03/25\%05 | 03/28:05 |  |  |
| Lead |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.46 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J J | DNQ |
| Manganese |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 36 | 1 | 03:25/05 | 03/28:05 |  |  |
| Mercury |  | EPA 245.1 | 5 C 26033 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03.26/05 | 03/26/05 | $u$ |  |
| Nickel |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.15 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28.05 | U |  |
| Selenium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03:25/05 | 03/2805 | $u$ |  |
| Silver |  | EPA 200.8 | SC25116 | 0.089 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | $u$ |  |
| Thallium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.075 | 1.0 | 0.21 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28105 | UJ | B |
| Vanadium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.86 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28:05 |  |  |
| Zinc |  | EPA 200.8 | $5 C 25116$ | 3.1 | 20 | 13 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 0ミ128/05 | J J | DNQ |

AMEC VALIDATLD LEVETIT

## DRAFT REPORT

DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBIECT TO CHANGE


## DRAFT: METALS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date Date Data

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | d Qu: | ata lifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rev Qual Code |  |  |
| Barium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.00014 | 0.0010 | 0.024 | 1 | 0325:05 | 03/28/05 |  |  |
| Boron | EPA 200.7 | 5 C 25111 | 0.0074 | 0.050 | 0.095 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03i2705 | UJ | B |
| Iron | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.0032 | 0.010 | 0.43 | 1 | 03:25:05 | 03.28:05 |  |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED

## LPWer H






| \%MWH-Pasadena/Bocing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03:2505 |
| ${ }^{\text {Pasadena, CA } 91101}$ | Report Number: | 10C2064 | Received: 03:25:05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  | Received. 032505 |

## DRAFT: METALS

| Analyte |  | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rev <br> Qual | Quad cod |
| Antimony |  | EPA 200.3 | 5 C 25116 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.29 | 1 | 03:2505 | 03/28.05 | UT J | 73, B |
| Arsenic |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.49 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28:05 | J | I |
| Beryllium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.037 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03:28:05 | $\cup$ |  |
| Cadmium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.20 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03:28/05 | J J | ONQ |
| Chromium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1 | 03/25:05 | 03/28.05 | UTB, 1 | 8 |
| Cobalt |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.10 | 1.0 | 0.29 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | Ј J | DNQ |
| Copper |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 1 | 03/25:05 | 03/28/05 |  |  |
| Lead |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.43 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J J | ONQ |
| Manganese |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 41 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |  |
| Mercury |  | EPA 245.1 | 5C26033 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/26:05 | 03/26i05 | U |  |
| Nickel |  | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.15 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28:05 |  |  |
| Selenium | $\cdots$ | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25:05 | 03/28/05 | U |  |
| Silver |  | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.089 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | 1 |  |
| Thallium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.075 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |  |
| Vanadium |  | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.86 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J. | DNQ |
| Zinc |  | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 3.1 | 20 | 13 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/2805 | J J | $D N Q$ |

## AMEC VALIDATED



## DRAFT REPORT

DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar
Reviewer H. Chang
Analysis/Method Pesticides \& PCBs/608

Package ID T711PP34
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC2063, IOC2064
No. of Analyses 2
Date: April 10, 2005
Reviewer's Signature

## ACTION ITEMS

7

1. Case Narrative

Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables

|  | Deviations from Analysis | Samples were qualified "UJ" for low surrogate recoveries. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protocol, e.g. |  |
|  | Holding Times |  |
|  | GCMS Tune/Inst. Perform |  |
|  | Calibrations |  |
|  | Blanks |  |
|  | Surrogates |  |
|  | Matrix Spike/Dup LCS |  |
|  | Field QC |  |
|  | Internal Standard Performance |  |
|  | Compound Identification and |  |
|  | Quantitation |  |
|  | System Performance |  |
| COM | MMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |

[^36]
# amec ${ }^{\theta}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: PESTICIDES

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC2063, IOC2064

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC2063, } 2064 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | Pest/PCB |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Pesticides/PCBs<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review. April 10, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures (DVP-4, Rev.2), EPA Method 608, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary form as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT $\quad$| Project: $\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { NPDES } \\ \text { SDG: } \\ \text { IOC2063, } \\ \text { Analysis: } \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ Pest/PCB |
| ---: |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC2063-01 | water | 608 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC2064-01 | water | 608 |


| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG: <br> Analysis: <br> IOC2063, 2064 <br> Pest/PCB |
| :--- | :--- |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COCs noted that the samples were received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analyses presented in these SDGs. As the sample was couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water samples were extracted within seven days of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 PESTICIDES INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE

No resolution check standards or breakdown check standards are required by Method 608 for pesticides, and according to the raw data provided, a resolution check standard was not analyzed by the laboratory. The laboratory did analyze a breakdown check standard with a breakdown of $\leq 20 \%$ for individual components (4,4-DDT and endrin) and $\leq 30 \%$ for the total, as suggested in the National Functional Guidelines. A review of the raw data indicated that the analytical run time was of sufficient length to provide adequate standard separation. The two analytical columns used in the analyses were within the guidelines specified in the methods.

According to the laboratory SOP and the initial calibration raw data, the retention time windows are $\pm 0.10$ minutes for both surrogates and target compound calibration standards. A review of the raw data indicated that the laboratory retention time criteria were met for the surrogates and pesticide calibration standards. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

### 2.3.1 Analytical Sequence

Based on the data provided, the analytical sequences were in accordance with the requirements of Method 608. No qualifications were required.

|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { OC2063, } 2064 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| data validation report | Analysis: | Pest/PCB |

### 2.3.2 Initial Calibration

There was one initial calibration dated $03 / 24 / 05$ associated with the pesticide analysis of the sample, which consisted of six point calibrations for all pesticide target compounds on two analytical columns. The \%RSDs were within the EPA Method 608 QC limit of $\leq 10 \%$ or the $r^{2}$ values were $\geq 0.995$ on both analytical columns. There was one initial calibration dated 03/28/05 associated with the PCB analysis of the samples which consisted of five points for Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260. The average \%RSDs for the individual peaks of Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260 were $\leq 10 \%$ or the $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ values were $\geq 0.995$ on both analytical columns. An ICV was analyzed immediately following each of the initial calibrations. The \%Ds for all target compounds were within the QC limits of $15 \%$ on both analytical columns. A representative number of \%RSDs and ICV \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3.3 Continuing Calibration

In the continuing calibrations bracketing the pesticide analysis of the sample, all \%Ds were $\leq 15 \%$ with the exception of \%Ds for alpha-BHC, gamma-chlordane, dieldrin, and 4,4'DDD on channel B for one of the closing CCVs. No qualifications were required since channel A was used as the primary column and there were no detects on the primary column. Of the continuing calibrations associated with the PCB analysis of the sample, all \%Ds were $\leq 15 \%$ for Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260. A representative number of \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

### 2.4. Instrument Blanks

An instrument blank was analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. Crosscontamination was not evident in the samples. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.4.2 Method Blanks

Two water method blanks, one for pesticides (5C28048-BLK1) and one for PCBs (5C28048BLK2) were extracted and analyzed with these SDG. There were no pesticide target compounds or Aroclors detected in the corresponding method blank. Review of the chromatograms showed no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Two pairs of blank spike and blank spike duplicate, one for pesticides (5C28048-BS1/BSD1) and one for PCBs (5C28048-BS2/BSD2) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. The recoveries for all spiked pesticide target compounds and Aroclors were within the laboratoryestablished QC limits and the RPDs were $\leq 30 \%$ for pesticides. RPDs for Aroclors 1016 and 1260 were above the QC limits of $30 \%$ and $25 \%$, respectively. No qualifications were required since there were no detects for Aroclors in the samples.

The laboratory indicated that the PCB blank spike was double spiked and was reanalyzed at $2 \times$ dilution. The original analysis of the BS was not provided. The $2 \times$ dilution showed comparable

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG: | Analysis: |

levels to the BSD analysis. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample and all QC samples were fortified with the surrogate compounds decachlorobiphenyl and tetrachloro-m-xylene. Surrogate recoveries for the pesticide and PCB analyses of both samples were below the laboratory-established QC limits. In sample Outfall 011 Composite, the surrogate recovery was reported as acceptable, however, the raw data indicated that it was slightly below the QC limits. All pesticides and PCBs were qualified as estimated nondetects, "UJ," in both samples. The recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses performed on the sample in this SDG. Method accuracy was assessed based on the blank spike results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 SAMPLE CLEANUP PERFORMANCE

According to the laboratory extraction benchsheets, no cleanups were performed on the extracts for pesticides. The extracts for PCBs were acid washed. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated sample. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with the sample in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with the sample in this SDG.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for pesticides and PCBs by EPA Method 608. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for the sample in this SDG. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES <br> SDG: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC2063, |  |  |
| 2064 |  |  |
| PesuPCB |  |  |

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for these SDGs by recalculating any sample detects, and a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standards of the initial calibrations and the laboratory MDL studies. The water reporting limits were not adjusted for sample amount on the result summaries; however, the dilution factor listed on the summaries reflected the sample volume extracted. No qualifications were required.

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/25:05
Repor Number: 1OC2063
Received: 0325:05
```


## DRAFT: ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)



## AMEC VALIDATED

DRAFT REPORT
DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE




MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25i05
Report Number: IOC2063
Received: 03:25:05

## DRAFT: TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)



## AMEC VALIDATED

## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBIECT TO CHANGE

 $48+$ Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (658, 305-8:96 FAX (858) 505-90: 9830 5outh 57 sl SL, 5ute B-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480):85-0043 FAX 4800 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas. NV 89120 (7C2) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)<br>Outfall 011<br>Report Number: IOC2064<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25:05

DRAFT: ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

Analyte
Method

## MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date

Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ug/I
Aldrin
alpha-BHC
beta-BHC
delta-BHC
gamma-BHC (Lindane)
Chlordane
4,4-DDD
4,4'-DDE
4,4-DDT
Dieldrin
Endosulfan I
Endosulfan II
Endosulfan sulfate
Endrin
Endrin aldehyde
Endrin ketone
Heptachlor
Heptachlor epoxide
Methoxychlor
Toxaphene

| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.030 | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048. | 0.020 | 0.20 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.20 | 1.0 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.025 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.030 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.040 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.20 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.045 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.030 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.035 | 0.10 |
| EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 1.5 | 5.0 |

Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene (35-115\%)
Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%)

# AMEC VALIDATED 

## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

| MWH-Pasadena Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite $1200 \ldots$ | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 2064$ | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
DRAFT: TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)


## AMEC VALIDATED

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA


## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: SEMIVOLATILES

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC2063, IOC2064

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Semivolatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: M. Pokorny<br>Date of Review: April 11, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Semivolatile Organics (DVP-3, Rev. 2), EPA Method 625, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: <br> NPDES <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT |
| :--- | ---: |
| SDG: |  |
| IOC2063, | 2064 |
| SVOC |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011-Grab | Outfall 011-Grab | IOC2063-01 | water | 625 |
| Outfall 011-Composite | Outfall 011-Composite | 1OC2064-01 | water | 625 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not require preservation, and no preservation was noted in the field. The COCs noted that the samples were received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water samples were extracted within seven days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

The DFTPP tunes met the criteria specified in Method 625, and the samples were analyzed within 12 hours of the DFTPP injection time. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration associated with this SDG was dated $03 / 17 / 05$. The average RRFs for were $\geq 0.05$ and the \%RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ or $r^{2} \geq 0.995$ for all target compounds listed on the sample summary form, except for the $r^{2}$ values for benzoic acid and 4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol. Benzoic acid and 4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol were qualified as estimated nondetects, "UJ," in the samples of these SDGs. The laboratory used more stringent \%RSD criteria than required by Method 625, and provided reanalyses of both samples for 2,4-dinitrophenol only; however, as the original data met criteria, the reanalysis results, both nondetects, were rejected, " $R$," in favor of the original analysis results for 2,4 -dinitrophenol. A representative number of average RRFs and $\%$ RSDs were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. The continuing calibration associated with the sample analysis was analyzed $03 / 31 / 05$. The RRFs for all target compounds were $\geq 0.05$, and the $\%$ Ds were $\leq 20 \%$ except for the $\%$ Ds for hexachlorocyclopentadiene and benzidine. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene was qualified as an estimated nondetect, "UJ," in the samples of these SDGs. Benzidine was rejected for other reasons (see Section 2.5) and was not further qualified. A representative number of RRFs, $r^{2}$ values, and \%Ds were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No further qualifications were required.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG: |  |
|  | Analysis: | SVOC |

### 2.4 BLANKS

One method blank (5C28041-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. Butylbenzylphthalate, di-n-butylphthalate, and diethylphthalate were reported in the method blank and were qualified as nondetects, "U," in the samples of these SDGs. Review of the raw data indicated no reportable false negatives or false positives. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C28041-BS1/5C28041-BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with this SDG. All percent recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory QC limits, except for benzidine which was not recovered in either the BS or BSD. Benzidine was rejected, " R ," in the samples of these SDGs. A representative number of recoveries and RPDs were calculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The sample surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were calculated from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were associated with these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy and precision was based on blank spike/blank spike duplicate results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> DPDES <br> DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG: <br> IOC2063, <br> SVO4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SVOC |  |  |

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

The internal standard area counts and retention times were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $-50 \% /+100 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 30$ seconds for retention times. A representative number of recoveries were checked from the raw data, and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for semivolatile target compounds by EPA Method 625. Review of the sample chromatograms, retention times, and spectra indicated no problems with target compound identification. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. No calculation or transcription errors were found. The reporting limits were supported by the low level of the initial calibration and the method detection limit study. No qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

TICs were not reported by the laboratory for these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Review of the raw data indicated no problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)


## AMEC VALIDATED LEVEL IV

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena. CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { Project ID: } & 13267(\text { Study 1) } \\
\text { Outfall 011 } & \\
\text { Report Number: } 10 C 2063 & \text { Rampled: } 03: 25: 05 \\
\text { Received: } 03: 2505
\end{array}
$$

DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)


## AGES MMDATM

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Sampled: 03:25:05
Report Number: IOC2063
Received: 03:25:05

## DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
$\begin{array}{lcccc} & \text { MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date } \\ \text { Method } & \text { Batch Limit Limit } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Result } \\ \text { Factor Extracted }\end{array}\end{array}$
Date Data Analyzed Qualifiers

Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Grab - Water) Reporting Units: ug/h

| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | QUAL | $\triangle C D E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.10 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03:28/05 | 03.31/05 | U |  |
| Acenaphthylene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.10 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Aniline | EPA 625 | SC28041 | 2.9 | 10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Anthracene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.083 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31,05 | $\downarrow$ |  |
| Benzidine | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 2.4 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | R L2 | 2 |
| Benzoic acid | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 3.7 | 20 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | 05 | C |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.038 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | $\cup$ |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.14 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.050 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.053 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.21 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.072 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.084 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.11 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 1.1 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Buty benzyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.34 | 5.0 | NDSE8: | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31105 | 15 | $B$ |
| 4 Chloroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 020 | 20 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | U |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.34 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.056 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03:31/05 |  |  |
| Chrysene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.072 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.083 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28.05 | 03/31/05 |  |  |
| Dibenzofuran | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.075 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | , |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND 981 | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03,31/05 | 15 | $B$ |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.11 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | $\cup$ |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.13 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.050 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.93 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.21 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28.05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND 023 | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | 41 | tr |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.31 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | U |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.081 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | $\cup$ |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.38 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | 05 | $C$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 2.7 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 | $\cup \mathrm{N}-1$ |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.23 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.24 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.17 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03.31/05 |  |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.087 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | $\nabla$ |  |
| DRAFT REPORT DRAFT REPORT |  |  |  | R |  |  | ding | $0$ |  |  |

## DRAFT REPORT

## DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

[^37]MWH-Pasadena:Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

Samplec: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)


DRAFT: ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



DRAFT REPORT
DRAFT REPORT


MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ \text { Outfall 011 }\end{array}$
Report Number: 1OC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)


## AMEC VALIDATED LEVEL IV

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Pacific Analytical
Reviewer L. Calvin
Analysis/Method EFH by Method 8015B

Package ID T711TF60
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC2063, 1OC2064
No. of Analyses 2
Date: April 12, 2005



## amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: TPH/EXTRACTABLE

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC2063, IOC2064

## Prepared by

AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | NPDES |
| SDG: | IOC2063, 2064 |
| IPH |  |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: TPH-Extractable<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: L. Calvin<br>Date of Review: April 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Extractable Total Fuel Hydrocarbons by GC (DVP-8, Rev. 2), USEPA SW-846 Method 8015M, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the "R" data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Project: <br> SDG: <br> Analysis: <br> IOC2063, |
| TPH |  |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC2063-01 | water | 8015B/EFH |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC2064-01 | water | 8015B/EFH |


|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ 10 C 2063,2064 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: |  |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical laboratory on ice within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Del Mar Analytical case narrative noted that the sample containers were received intact. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the analyses presented in this SDG. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The samples were extracted within seven days of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration associated with the sample analyses was analyzed on $03 / 11 / 05$. The $\%$ RSD was within the QC limit of $\leq 20 \%$. The \%Ds for the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibrations associated with the sample analysis were $\leq 15 \%$. The \%RSD and \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required

### 2.4 METHOD BLANKS

One method blank (5C26001-BLK1) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. EFH (C13-C22) was not present above the MDL in the method blank or in the instrument blank analyzed at the beginning of the analytical sequence. Review of the chromatograms showed no false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One method blank spike/blank spike duplicate pair (5C26001-BS1/BSD1) was extracted and analyzed with the samples in these SDGs. The laboratory reported recoveries of alkane range C13C 28 from spiked diesel. The recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits of $40-$ $120 \%$, and the RPD was within the QC limit of $\leq 25 \%$. The recoveries and RPD were checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC2063, } 2064 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | TPH |

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The samples were fortified with the surrogate compound n-octacosane. The sample surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits of $40-125 \%$. The recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses performed on the samples of these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy and precision was based on the BS/BSD results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field blank or equipment rinsate samples associated with the site samples in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with these SDGs.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for EFH n-alkane range C13-C22 by Method 8015B. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for these SDGs by recalculating any sample detects, blank spike recoveries, and a representative number of surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and by the laboratory MDL. Results were reported in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (ppm). No qualifications were required.

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) | Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Sampled: 0325.05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: $10 C 2063$ | Received: $03: 25.05$ |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

## DRAFT: EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)



## AMEC VALIDATED



 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Vegas, NV 89120 (702) $798-3620$ FAX FO2 $793-36.2$

```
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwry Kelly
```

Project ID: 1326? (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2064

Sampled: 03:25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## DRAFT: EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Date Factor Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data palifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample D: 1OC2064-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) <br> Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane (40-125\%) | EPA 8015B | 5C26001 | 0.082 | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ND} \\ & 65 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0.943 03:2605 | 03:28:05 |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED



CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

| AMEC Earth \& Environmental | Package ID T711TF61 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 550 South Wadsworth Boulevard | Task Order 313150010 |
| Suite 500 | SDG No. IOC2063, IOC2064 |
| Lakewood, CO 80226 | No. of Analyses 4 |
| Laboratory Pacific Analytical | Date: April 12, 2005 |
| Reviewer L. Calvin | Reviewer's gignature |
| Analysis/Method GRO by Method 8015M | Ux cran |



## amec

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: TPH/Purgeable

## SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: IOC2063, IOC2064

## Prepared by

AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC2063, 1OC2064<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: TPH-Purgeable<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 4<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: L. Calvin<br>Date of Review: April 12, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the general guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Extractable Total Fuel Hydrocarbons by GC (DVP-8, Rev. 2), USEPA SW-846 Method 8015 M , and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA CLP National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT $\quad$| Project: |
| ---: |
| SDG: |
| IOC2063, 2064 |
| Analysis: |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC2063-01 | water | $8015 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{GRO}$ |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC2063-02 | water | $8015 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{GRO}$ |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC2064-01 | water | $8015 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{GRO}$ |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC2064-02 | water | $8015 M /$ GRO |


|  | Project: SDG: Analusis: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC2063, } 2064 \\ \text { TPH } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | $\square$ TPH |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at Del Mar Analytical on ice within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Del Mar Analytical case narrative noted that the samples were received intact, and the COCs indicated the samples were properly preserved. Information regarding lack of headspace in the VOA vials was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The water samples were analyzed within 14 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

One gasoline standard initial calibration dated 08/15/04 was associated with the sample analyses. The \%RSD for GRO (C4-C12) was within the QC limit of $\leq 20 \%$. An initial calibration verification (ICV) was not provided in the data package. The \%Ds for both CCVs bracketing the sample analyses were within the Method QC limit of $\leq 15 \%$. The \%RSD and \%Ds were recalculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required

### 2.4 METHOD BLANKS

One water method blank (5C26026-BLK1) was associated with the sample analyses. GRO (C4-C12) was not detected above the MDL in the method blank. Review of the raw data indicated no false negative result. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One water method blank spike (5C26026-BS1) was associated with the sample analyses. GRO (C4-C12) was recovered within the laboratory-established QC limits of $70-140 \%$ in the blank spike. The recovery was checked from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: SDG: | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NPDES } \\ \text { IOC2063, } 2064 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | Analysis: | TPH |

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The samples were fortified with the surrogate compound 4-bromofluorobenzene (BFB). Surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits of $65-140 \%$. Recoveries were calculated from the raw data and no transcription or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were not performed on the site samples of these SDGs. Evaluation of method accuracy was based on the blank spike results. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and laboratory QC samples for usability. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.9.1 Trip Blanks, Field Blanks, and Equipment Rinsates

Samples Trip Blank (IOC2063-02) and Trip Blank (IOC2064-02) were the trip blanks associated with site samples Outfall 011 Grab and Outfall 011 Composite, respectively. GRO (C4C 12 ) was not detected above the MDL in either trip blank. Review of the raw data indicated no false negative results. There were no field blank or equipment rinsate samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were necessary.

### 2.9.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples in these SDGs.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The laboratory analyzed for GRO (C4-C12) by EPA SW-846 Method 8015M. Compound identification is verified at a Level IV validation. Review of chromatograms and retention times indicated no problems with compound identification for the samples in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification was verified for these SDGs by recalculating any sample detects, blank spike recoveries, and a representative number of surrogate recoveries. Reporting limits were supported by the low level standard of the initial calibration and by the laboratory MDL. Results were reported in units of $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ( ppt ). No qualifications were required.

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention:- Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 2063$

Sampled: 03:25:05
Received: 03:25:05

## DRAFT: VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyze |  | Data aplifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | EPA 8015 Mod . | 5C26026 | 0.050 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/2605 | 0328.05 | い |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) |  |  |  |  | $104 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-02 (DRAFT: Trip Blank - Water) <br> Reporting Lnits: mgh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-Cl2) | EPA 8015 Mod . | SC26026 | 0.050 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/27/05 | $u$ |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) |  |  |  |  | $103 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Sampled: 03:25:05
Report Number: IOC2064
Received: 03/25/05

Analyse
Method
Batch
MDL Reporting
Sample Dilution Date Date Data
Analyzed
Qualifier
Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/l
GRO (C4-C12)
EPA 8015 Mod. 5 C 260260.050
0.10

ND
1
03:26/05 03:28:05


## DRAFT: VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%)

Limit Result Factor Extracted

Sample ID: 1OC2064-02 (DRAFT: Trip Blank - Water)
Reporting Units: gl

Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%)
$88 \%$

## AMEC VALIDATED



## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA



## amec ${ }^{\circ}$

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: VOLATILES

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC2063, IOC2064

Prepared by
AMEC Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

|  | Project: | NPDES <br> Data Validation Report |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>SDG\#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Volatiles<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 4<br>No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0<br>Reviewer: H. Chang<br>Date of Review: April 11, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 were validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedure for Levels C and D Volatile Organics (DVP-2, Rev. 2), EPA Method 624, EPA SW-846 Method 8260B, and the National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the summary forms as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Data Validation Report | SDG: | IOC2063, 2064 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Lab No. | Matrix | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | Outfall 011 Grab | IOC2063-01 | water | 624 |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC2063-02 | water | 624 |
| Outfall 011 Composite | Outfall 011 Composite | IOC2064-01 | water | 624 |
| Trip Blank | Trip Blank | IOC2064-02 | water | 624 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Data Validation Report | SDG: | IOC2063, 2064 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

The following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples were properly preserved. The COC noted that the samples were received intact; however, information regarding absence of headspace was not provided. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by both field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for the analysis presented in these SDGs. As the samples were couriered directly to the laboratory, custody seals were not required. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3Holding Times

The samples were analyzed within 14 days of collection. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 GC/MS TUNING

All ion abundances were within the limits specified in the EPA Method 624. The samples and associated QC were analyzed within 12 hours of the BFB injection times. The Form Vs were verified from the raw data and no discrepancies between the summary forms and the raw data were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 CALIBRATION

Two initial calibrations dated 03/04/05 and 03/16/05 (1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, acrolein, and acrylonitrile only) were associated with these SDGs. The average RRF for acrolein was $<0.05$ in the initial calibration dated $03 / 16 / 05$; therefore, the nondetect results for acrolein were rejected, "R," in all samples of these SDGs. The average RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ for the remaining target compounds listed on the sample result summaries. The \%RSDs were $\leq 35 \%$ for all applicable target compounds.

Two continuing calibrations dated 03/27/05 at 09:39 and at 10:11 (1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2trifluoroethane, acrolein, and acrylonitrile only) were associated with the sample analyses in these SDGs. The RRF for acrolein was $<0.05$ in the continuing calibration; therefore, the nondetect results for acrolein were rejected, "R," in all samples of these SDGs. All other RRFs were $\geq 0.05$ for the remaining target compounds. All \%Ds were within $\pm 20 \%$ with the exception of acrolein which had a $\% \mathrm{D}$ greater than $20 \%$. No additional qualification was necessary since acrolein was already rejected due to low RRFs. A representative number of \%RSDs and average RRFs from the

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Data Validation Report | SDG: | IOC2063, 2064 |

initial calibrations, and \%Ds and RRFs from the continuing calibrations were recalculated from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANKS

One water method blank (5C27003-BLK1) was associated with the sample analyses. There were no detects above the MDLs for the target compounds listed on the sample result summary. The method blank raw data showed no evidence of false negatives. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

One water blank spike (5C27003-BS1) was associated with the sample analyses. All recoveries were within the laboratory-established QC limits. A representative number of recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.6 SURROGATE RECOVERY

The surrogates were recovered within the QC limits of $80-120 \%$ in the samples and associated QC. A representative number of surrogate recoveries were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD analyses were performed on sample Outfall 011 Grab . All recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established QC limits. A representative number of recoveries and RPDs were recalculated from the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were found. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site sample. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.8.1Trip Blanks

Sample Trip Blank (IOC2063) and Trip Blank (IOC2064) were the trip blanks associated with these SDGs. There were no target compounds detected above the MDLs in the trip blanks. No qualifications were required.


### 2.8.2Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

There were no field QC samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.8.3Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate samples associated with these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.9 INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

Internal standard area counts and retention times for the samples in this SDG were within the control limits established by the continuing calibration standards: $+100 \% /-50 \%$ for internal standard areas and $\pm 0.50$ minutes for retention times. A representative number of internal standard areas and retention times were verified from the raw data, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.10 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Target compound identification was verified at a Level IV data validation. The laboratory analyzed for volatile target compounds by EPA Method 624. A TIC search was performed for requested target compounds 1,2 -dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane and cyclohexane. The laboratory calibrated for target compound 1,2-dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane; however, the calibration was not used for identification. Target compound cyclohexane was not included in the calibration (see section 2.11). TIC scan did not identifiy neither compound. Chromatograms, retention times, and spectra for the samples and QC were examined and no target compound identification problems were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Compound quantification is verified at a Level IV data validation. The reporting limits were supported by the lowest concentrations of the initial calibration standards and by the MDL study. Calibration was not utilized for target compounds 1,2-dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane and cyclohexane; therefore, the laboratory performed only a TIC search for these compounds. Nondetects for both compounds were qualified as estimated, "UJ," in the samples Outfall 011 Grab and Outfall 011 Composite. Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike and surrogate recoveries from the raw data. Results were reported in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ (ppb). No calculation or transcription errors were noted. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.12 TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

The laboratory did not report TICs for these SDGs other than two target compounds reported using a TIC scan (see Section 2.10). Reporting of TICs is not required by EPA Method 624. No qualifications were required.

|  | Project: <br> SDG: |
| :--- | ---: |
| Data Validation Report | IOC2063, 2064 |

### 2.13 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

A review of the chromatograms and other raw data showed no identifiable problems with system performance. No qualifications were required.
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# DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624) 



## AMEC VALIDATED LEVELII

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 0325:05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | 1OC2063 | Received: 03:25:05 |
| Attention: Bronwy Kelly |  |  |  |

DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)


# AMEC VALIDATED LEVEL IV 

## DRAFT REPORT

DRAFT REPORT


# DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624) 

# AMEC VALIDATED LEVEL IV 



## DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution FactorE | D Date Extracted | Date Analyzed |  | ata lifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (DRAFT Reporting Units: ugh | T: Outfall 011 Gra | b - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ren Qual | Qual Code |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | $5 C 27003$ | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03:27.05 | 45 | - +11 |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5 C 27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03,27/05 | 03/27/05 | UJ | * 11 |
| Sample ID; IOC2063-02 (DRAFT: Trip Blank - Water) <br> Reporting Units: ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-tritluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5 C 27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 | $u$ |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5 C 27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 | $u$ |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

[^38]Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)<br>Outfall 011<br>Report Number: 1OC2064<br>Sampled: 03:25/05<br>Received: 03/25:05

## DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | D Date Extracted | Da Anal | $\mathrm{d}$ | fiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (DRA <br> Reporting Units: ug/l | utfall 011 | mposite - |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rev Quar | Qual Code |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 |  |  |  |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Chioroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03\%27/05 |  |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Methylene chloride 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethan | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27:05 |  |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27:05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | $03 / 27 / 05$ $03 / 27 / 05$ |  |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03:27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |  |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | $03 / 27 / 05$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%\% <br> Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) <br> Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $105 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

# AMEC VALIDATED <br> LEVEL I/ 

DRAFT REPORT
DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

MWH-Pasadena-Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
                Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
                                    Outfall 011
Report Number: 10C2064
```

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 032505

DRAFT: PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)


## AMEC VALIDATED

## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE



## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE



## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL I/

# CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA 

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
$\quad$ Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
$\quad$ Reviewer L. Jarusewic
Analysis/Method General Minerals

## Package ID T711WC131

Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC2063, IOC2064
No. of Analyses 2


## ACTION ITEMS

1. Case Narrative Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from Analysis Protocol, e.g.,

Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification
and Quantitation
System Performance
Qualifications were applied for:

1) Detects below the reporting limit
2) Negative method blank results
3) Reviewer change of cyanide MDL to level of interference

## COMMENTS ${ }^{6}$

[^39]
# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

## ANALYSIS: GENERAL MINERALS

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC2063 \& IOC2064

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. Mcllvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: General Minerals<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>Reviewer: L. Jarusewic<br>Date of Review: April 8, 2005

The sample listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 300.0, 350.2, 330.5, 405.1, 335.2, 413.1, 415.1, 418.1, 425.1, 218.6, 120.1, 160.2, 160.5, 180.1, and 120.1, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Method SM5540-C and SM2540C, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063/2064 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011-Grab | Outfall 011-Grab | IOC2063-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 011-Composite | Outfall 011-Composite | IOC2064-01 | Water | General Minerals |
| Outfall 011-Grab | Outfall 011-Grab | IOC2063-01RE | Water | EPA 413.1 |
| Outfall 011-Composite | Outfall 011-Composite | IOC2064-01RE | Water | EPA 413.1 |


|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063/2064 |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No preservation problems were noted by the laboratory. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel. The COCs accounted for all analyses presented in these SDGs. No sample qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding times were assessed by comparing the date of collection with the dates of analyses. The 28 -day analytical holding time for ammonia, fluoride, chloride, sulfate, conductivity, total recoverable hydrocarbons, TOC, and oil and grease, the 14-day analytical holding time for cyanide, the seven-day holding time for total suspended solids and total dissolved solids, the 48 -hour holding time for surfactants, turbidity, nitrate/nitrite, biological oxygen demand, and total settleable solids, and the 24 -hour hexavalent chromium and residual chlorine holding times were met. No qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

For the applicable analyses, the initial calibration correlation coefficients were $\geq 0.995$. Initial and continuing calibration information was acceptable with recoveries within the control limits of $90-110 \%$. For ammonia, no information regarding the standardization of the titrant was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. For BOD, no information regarding the calibration of the oxygen meter was provided; however, as the LCS recovery was within the CCV control limits, no qualifications were required. The total cyanide reporting limit check standard was recovered within the control limits of $70-130 \%$. Calibration is not applicable to residual chlorine, oil and grease, total dissolved solids, total suspended solids, or total settleable solids. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

Turbidity was detected in the method blank (5C26056-BLK1) associated with Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011-Composite; however, the method blank result was insufficient to qualify the Outfall 011-Grab or Outfall 011 -Composite results. Cyanide was reported in the method blank (5C25119-BLK1) associated with Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011-Composite at $-3.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$; therefore, nondetected cyanide in Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011-Composite was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The remaining method blank and

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063/2064 |

CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the samples were nondetects at the reporting limit. No further qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (BOD, oil and grease, and total recoverable hydrocarbons only) recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits. The LCS is not applicable to turbidity, conductivity, residual chlorine, or settleable solids. The original LCS/LCSD results for oil and grease associated with Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011-Composite were recovered below laboratory-established QC limits. The laboratory re-extracted the samples and the LCS/LCSD and reported all oil and grease results from the reanalysis. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analyses presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

Laboratory duplicate analyses were performed on Outfall 011-Grab for residual chlorine and total suspended solids. The RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits and no qualifications were required.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

There were no MS/MSD analyses performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analyses of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analyses presented in this data validation report.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063/2064 |

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Cyanide was reported in the raw data for Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011Composite at -5.2 and $-5.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively, and the associated method blank was reported at $-3.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Due to these negative results, the reviewer changed the MDL and the reporting limit on the Form Is to the level of interference. BOD and fluoride in Outfall 011-Grab and Outfall 011-Composite and oil and grease in Outfall 011 -Grab detected below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J." No further qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.


## AMEC VALIDATED


MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Pasadena, CA 91101

DRAFT: INORGANICS


## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) | Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mo0 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Report Number: 1002063 |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS

MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date
Date Data

Sample ID: 10C2063-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: m/l/hr
Total Settleable Solids

## AMEC VALIDATEO



# 2 Del Mar Analytical 







MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly<br>Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)<br>Outfall 011<br>Report Number: IOC2063<br>Sampled: 03:2505<br>Received: 03/2505

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED



## DRAFT: INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted |  |  | fier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium VI | EPA 218.6 | 5C25058 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25:05 | 03 | $u$ |  |
| Total Cyanide | EPA 335.2 | 5C25119 | 25 | 25.45 | 2 ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25 | $u J$ | B. $\$$ |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5C25061 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/26:05 |  |  |

## AMEC VALIDATIED

## LEVEL IZ




 2520 E. Sunce Rd. \#3. Las Vegas, NV 89120 ;702) $798-3620$ FAX $702,798-302-$

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) | Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATLu





```
MWH-Pasadena'Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite }120
Pasadena, CA. }9110
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
```

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Repor Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25:05
Received: 03/25:05

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDAn=u

## LEVEL IV

## DRAFT REPORT

DRAFT REPORT
DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

## D Del Mar Analytical






MWH-Pasadena/Boeing300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2064

Sampled: 03/25,05
Received: 03/25/05

## DRAFT: TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)



## AMEC VALIDATED



| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outfall 011 |  |  |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: | IOC2064 |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## amec Validated


Project ID: 13267 (Sudy 1)
Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: 10 C 2064
Received: 03:2505

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED



Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 2064$

Sampled: 03:25:05
Received: 0325:05

## DRAFT: INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDL } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data <br> Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. <br> Reporting Units: NTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity | EPA 180.1 | 5C26056 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 1 | 03:2605 | 03:26:05 |  |

## AMEC VALIDATED

## LEVEL IV




## Del Mar Analytical





MWH-Pasadena Bocing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronuyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 032505
Report Number: 10 C 2064

Received: 03/25:05

## DRAFT: INORGANIC

Analyse
Method
MDL Reporting Sample Dilution Date
Date Data

Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (DRAFT: Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ugh/
Chromium VI
Total Cyanide
Perchlorate



## AMES VALIDAILU

## LEVEL IV

## *ansis Not Validated

| MWH-Pasadena Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03:2505 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | JOC2064 | Received: 0325:05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  | 10 C 206 | Received: 0325:05 |

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED



## DRAFT: INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyz |  | ifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (DR <br> Reporting Units: mg/l | utfall 011 | posite - | ter) | cont. |  |  |  |  |  | gut $1 C O Q E$ |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5C28067 | 0.30 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03:2805 | $L$ |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5 C 25093 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1 | 03/25:05 | 03/30/05 |  | Q |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | SC25048 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 9.2 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03:25:05 |  |  |
| Fluoride | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 25048 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1 | 03:25105 | 03/25:05 | J |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5C25048 | 0.072 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |  |
| Residual Chlorine | EPA 330.5 | 5 C 25118 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 3000 | 5 C 25048 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 22 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | SMS540-C | 5C25096 | 0.044 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25:05 | 03/25:05 | U |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C28078 | 10 | 10 | 140 | 1 | 03:28:05 | 03:28/05 |  |  |
| Total Organic Carbon | EPA 415.1 | 5 C 28077 | 0.25 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | 03:28:05 | 03/28:05 |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5 C 25117 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/25i05 | 03:25:05 |  |  |

## amec validatio

## DRAFT REPORT <br> DRAFT REPORT <br> DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

## CONTRACT COMPLIANCE SCREENING FORM FOR HARDCOPY DATA

AMEC Earth \& Environmental
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Suite 500
Lakewood, CO 80226
Laboratory Del Mar Analytical
Reviewer L. Jarusewic
Analysis/Method Perchlorate

Package ID T711WC132
Task Order 313150010
SDG No. IOC2063, IOC2064
No. of Analyses 2


## ACTION ITEMS

1. Case Narrative Deficiencies
2. Out of Scope

Analyses
3. Analyses Not

Conducted
4. Missing Hardcopy

Deliverables
5. Incorrect Hardcopy

Deliverables
6. Deviations from

Analysis Protocol, e.g.,
Holding Times
GC/MS Tune/Inst.
Performance
Calibrations
Blanks
Surrogates
Matrix Spike/Dup LCS
Field QC
Internal Standard
Performance
Compound Identification and Quantitation
System Performance
COMMENTS ${ }^{\text {b }} \quad$ Acceptable as reviewed.

[^40]
# amec ${ }^{\text {® }}$ 

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT 

## NPDES Monitoring

ANALYSIS: PERCHLORATE SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUPS: IOC2063 \& IOC2064

Prepared by
AMEC-Denver Operations
550 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Suite 500
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: NPDES Monitoring<br>Contract Task Order \#: 313150010<br>Sample Delivery Group \#: IOC2063, IOC2064<br>Project Manager: B. McIlvaine<br>Matrix: Water<br>Analysis: Perchlorate<br>QC Level: Level IV<br>No. of Samples: 2<br>Reviewer: L. Jarusewic<br>Date of Review: April 8, 2005

The samples listed in Table 1 was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the AMEC Data Validation Procedures SOP DVP-6, Rev. 2, USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes Method 314.0, and validation guidelines outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94). Any deviations from these procedures and guidelines are documented herein. Qualifiers were applied in cases where the data did not meet the required QC criteria or where special consideration by the data user is required. Data qualifiers were placed on Form Is with the associated qualification codes. Analytes that were rejected for any reason are denoted on the Form I as having only the " $R$ " data qualifier and associated qualification code(s) denoting the reason for rejection. Any additional problems with the data that may have resulted in an estimated value were not denoted by a qualification code since the data had already been rejected.

|  | Project: | NPDES |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DATA VALIDATION REPORT | SDG No.: | IOC2063/2064 |

Table 1. Sample identification

| Client ID | EPA ID | Laboratory ID | Matrix | COC Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011-Grab | Outfall 011-Grab | IOC2063-01 | Water | Perchlorate |
| Outfall 011-Composite | Outfall 011-Composite | IOC2064-01 | Water | Perchlorate |

## 2. DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

### 2.1 SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Following are findings associated with sample management:

### 2.1.1 Sample Preservation, Handling, and Transport

The samples in these SDGs were received at the laboratory within the temperature limits of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The analysis did not required preservation and no preservation was noted in the field. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.2 Chain of Custody

The COCs were signed and dated by field and laboratory personnel, and accounted for the samples and analysis presented in these SDGs. No qualifications were required.

### 2.1.3 Holding Times

The holding time was assessed by comparing the dates of collection with the date of analysis. The 28day analytical holding time for perchlorate was met, and no qualifications were required.

### 2.2 CALIBRATION

The initial calibration correlation coefficient was $\geq 0.995$. The IPC-MA recovery was within the control limits of $80-120 \%$. The ICV, CCV, ICCS, and IPC recoveries were within the control limits of $90-$ $110 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.3 BLANKS

The method blank and CCB results reported on the summary forms and in the raw data for blank analyses associated with the samples were nondetects at the reporting limit. No qualifications were required.

### 2.4 BLANK SPIKES AND LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

The laboratory control sample recovery was within the method control limits of $85-115 \%$. No qualifications were required.

### 2.5 SURROGATES RECOVERY

Surrogate recovery is not applicable to the analysis presented in these SDGs.

### 2.6 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

No MS/MSD or duplicate analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion.

### 2.7 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

No MS/MSD analyses were performed in association with the samples in these SDGs; therefore, no assessment was made with respect to this criterion. Method accuracy was assessed based on LCS results.

### 2.8 FURNACE ATOMIC ABSORPTION QC

Furnace atomic absorption was not utilized for the analysis of these samples; therefore, furnace atomic absorption QC is not applicable.

### 2.9 ICP SERIAL DILUTION

ICP serial dilution is not applicable to the analysis presented in this data validation report.

### 2.10 SAMPLE RESULT VERIFICATION

A Level IV review was performed for the samples in these data packages. Calculations were verified, and the sample results reported on the Form Is were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11 FIELD QC SAMPLES

Field QC samples are evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based only on laboratory blanks. Any remaining detects are used to evaluate the associated samples. The following are findings associated with field QC samples:

### 2.11.1 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates

The samples in these SDGs had no associated field QC samples. No qualifications were required.

### 2.11.2 Field Duplicates

There were no field duplicate pairs associated with these SDGs.

Project ID: 13267 (Sudy 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25:05
Received: 03:25:05

## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATIED

## LEVEL IV

> "Anolyuis Not Vers oce


## DRAFT: INORGANICS



## AMEC VALIDATED

# LEVEL IV 
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# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05
Issued: 04/13/05 16:23

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain(s) of Custody, 4 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## SAMPLE RECEIPT:

HOLDING TIMES: All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times and/or in accordance with the Del Mar Analytical Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the report.
PRESERVATION:
QA/QC CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:
SUBCONTRACTED:
Samples requiring preservation were verified prior to sample analysis.
All analyses met method criteria, except as noted in the report with data qualifiers. The percent recovery for benzidine in the BS/BSD was below method acceptance limits. Benzidine is known to be a problematic compound and according to the EPA, it can be subject to oxidative losses during solvent extraction and its chromatographic behavior is poor. All results reported for benzidine are potentially biased low and can be considered estimates only. Results for benzidine are reported with 'L2' qualifier. The ICAL \%RSD failed the acceptance limit for 2,4-Dinitrophenol. Instrument sensitivity was acceptable based upon the response for 2,4-Dinitrophenol at the low ICAL level. The CCV and BS/BSD met acceptance limits for the analyte. Affected samples were 'ND' for this analyte, without J-flag detection. Therefore, since acceptable sensitivity is represented by the instrument and the extraction procedure, the analyte was flagged with ' $\mathrm{N}-1$ ' and reported. The sample was then reanalyzed for 2,4-Dinitrophenol and the results are reported as an RE1. Also, there was a low BSD recovery for the original batch for Oil \& Grease and the lab re-extracted and re-analyzed the sample.
Results that fall between the MDL and RL are 'J' flagged.
Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

## CASE NARRATIVE

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
LABORATORY ID

IOC2063-01
1OC2063-02
IOC2063-03
IOC2063-04

## LABORATORY ID

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: $10 \mathrm{C} 2063 \quad$ Received: 03/25/05

## CLIENT ID

Outfall 011 Grab
Trip Blank
Outfall 011 Grab/filter
Outfall 011-Grab/Substrate

MATRIX
Water
Water
Water
Water

Reviewed By:


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

## CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

Department: Extractions
Method: EPA 625
QC Batch: 5C28041

Date: 03/31/2005
Matrix: Water

Identification and Definition of Problem:
The percent recovery for benzidine in the LCS was below method acceptance limits.

Determination of the Cause of the Problem:
Benzidine is known to be a problematic compound. According to the EPA, it can be subject to oxidative losses during solvent extraction and its chromatographic behavior is poor.

## Corrective Action Taken:

All results reported for benzidine are potentially biased low and can be considered estimates only.


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons | EPA 418.1 | 5C26002 | 0.31 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/26/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

 Outfall 011Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) | EPA 8015B | 5C26001 | 0.082 | 0.50 | ND | 0.952 | 03/26/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane (40-125\%) |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ |  |  | 03/290s |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: |  | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 |  |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
|  | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |  |

Received: 03/25/05

## VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall <br> Reporting Units: mgl | rab - Water) - co |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) <br> Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) | EPA 8015 Mod . | 5C26026 | 0.050 | 0.10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & 104 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-02 (Trip Blan Reporting Units: mg/ | Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) <br> Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) | EPA 8015 Mod. | 5C26026 | 0.050 | 0.10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & 103 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/27/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualfiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water)Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| cis 1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Trichlorotrifiuoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $108 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 101\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 94\% |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyze |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-02 (Trip Biank - Water)Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | SC27003 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | $5 C 27003$ | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 108\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 92\% |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrolein EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 4.6 | 50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Acrylonitrile EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 5.1 | 50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 1.3 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  | 108\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  | 101\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  | 94\% |  |  |  |  |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrolein EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 4.6 | 50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Acrylonitrile EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 5.1 | 50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 1.3 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  | $108 \%$ |  | 03/2705 | 03/2705 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  | 92\% |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dllution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
$\begin{array}{rlr}\text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } & \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } & \text { Sampled: 03/25/05 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC2063 } & \text { Received: 03/25/05 }\end{array}$

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.10 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Acenaphthylene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.10 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Aniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 2.9 | 10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.083 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzidine | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 2.4 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | L2 |
| Benzoic acid | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 3.7 | 20 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | L2 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.038 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.14 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.050 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.053 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.21 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.072 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.084 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.11 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 1.1 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.34 | 50 | 0.68 | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | J |
| 4. Chloroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 020 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.059 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.34 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.056 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| $2 . \mathrm{Chlorophenol}$ | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Chrysene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.072 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.083 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Dibenzofuran | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.075 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Di-a-butyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 0.87 | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | J |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.11 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.13 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.050 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.93 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.21 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | J |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.31 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.081 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.38 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 2.7 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | N-1 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.23 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.24 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.17 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.087 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Harper Project Manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dllution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Gral Reporting Units: ug/ | - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| Fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.089 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Fluorene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.075 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.38 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 1.8 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.51 | 3.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.19 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Isophorone | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.20 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Naphthalene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.18 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.35 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.49 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Nitrobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.23 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.73 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | EPA 625 | $5 C 28041$ | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | $03 / 31 / 05$ |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.18 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.077 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.78 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Phenanthrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.071 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Phenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Pyrene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.059 | 0.50 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.075 | 2.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol (30-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $64 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 (35-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $65 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 85\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $64 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $69 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

 Outfall 011Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01RE1 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 2.7 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 04/11/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol (30-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 (35-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 63\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate Nitrobenzene-d5 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 62\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 66\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: |  | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 |  |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Sampled: 03/25/05 |
|  |  | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dllution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.030 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| alpha-BHC | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| beta-BHC | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| delta-BHC | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.020 | 0.20 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Chlordane | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| 4,4-DDD | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| 4,4-DDE | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.025 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| 4,4-DDT | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.030 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Dieldrin | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Endosulfan I | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Endosulfan II | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.040 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.015 | 0.20 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Endrin | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Endrin aldehyde | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.045 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Endrin ketone | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Heptachlor | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.030 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 0.020 | 0.10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Methoxychlor | EPA 608 | $5 C 28048$ | 0.035 | 0,10 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Toxaphene | EPA 608 | 5 C 28048 | 1.5 | 5.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene (35-115\%) <br> Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 31\% |  |  |  | ZX |
|  |  |  |  |  | $36 \%$ |  |  |  | $Z X$ |

[^41]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: 03/25/05 |

Received: 03/25/05

## TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualiffers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1221 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.40 | 1.0 | ND | 0.971 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 40\% |  |  |  | ZX |

[^42]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |  |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: 03/25/05 |

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barium | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.00014 | 0.0010 | 0.023 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Boron | EPA 200.7 | 5 C 25111 | 0.0074 | 0.050 | 0.092 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Iron | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.0032 | 0.010 | 0.43 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Arsenic | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.49 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Berylium | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.037 | 0.50 | 0.041 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Chromium | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | B, J |
| Cobalt | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.10 | 1.0 | 0.29 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.46 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Manganese | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 36 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C26033 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/26/05 |  |
| Nickel | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.15 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Selenium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Silver | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.089 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Thallium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.075 | 1.0 | 0.21 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Vanadium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.86 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Zinc | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 3.1 | 20 | 13 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 |$\quad$| Sampled: 03/25/05 |
| ---: |
| Received: 03/25/05 |

Received: 03/25/05

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dllution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5C28067 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.56 | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5C25093 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 0.91 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/30/05 | J |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5C25048 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 8.4 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Fuoride | EPA 300.0 | 5C25048 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 | J |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 25048 | 0.072 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Residual Chlorine | EPA 330.5 | 5 C 25118 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5 C 25048 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 20 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | SM5540-C | 5 C 25096 | 0.044 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C28078 | 10 | 10 | 120 | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Total Organic Carbon | EPA 415.1 | 5C29079 | 0.25 | 1.0 | 11 | 1 | 03/29/05 | 03/29/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C25117 | 10 | 10 | ND | , | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (Ontfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: m//hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Settleable Solids | EPA 160.5 | 5C25105 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: IOC2063

Received: 03/25/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: NTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity | EPA 180.1 | 5C26056 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/26/05 |  |
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MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

 Outfall 011Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dllution Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium VI | EPA 218.6 | 5C25058 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Total Cyanide | EPA 335.2 | 5C25119 | 2.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5C25061 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/26/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: IOC2063

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2063-01 (Outfall 011 Grab - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: umhos/cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance | EPA 120.1 | 5C28081 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 210 | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03/28/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)



[^44]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011

Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 011 Grab (IOC2063-01) | Water |  |  |  |  |

[^45]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063

## METHOD BLANKIOCDATA

## TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
            Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
                                    Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063
```

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

| Analyte | Result | Reportin Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C26026 Extracted: 03/26/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26026-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | ND | 0.10 | 0.050 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 0.0103 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.0100 |  | 103 | 65-140 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26026-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | 0.742 | 0.10 | 0.050 | mg/l | 0.800 |  | 93 | 70-140 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 0.0301 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.0300 |  | 100 | 65-140 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26026-MS1) |  | Source: 10C1437-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | 101 | 20 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 44.0 | 49 | 118 | 60-140 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 2.71 |  |  | $m g /$ | 2.00 |  | 136 | 65-140 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26026-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 10C1437-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) | 100 | 20 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 44.0 | 49 | 116 | 60-140 | 1 | 20 |  |
| Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) | 2.69 |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 2.00 |  | 134 | 65-140 |  |  |  |

[^46]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

 Outfall 011Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANK/OC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \%REC } \\ & \text { Limits } \end{aligned}$ | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bromoform | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bromomethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloroethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloroform | ND | 2.0 | 0.33 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Dichlorobenzene | ND | 20 | 0.37 | ugh | ¢ |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Dichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | c... |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 5.0 | 0.48 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 | ugl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 4.0 | 0.52 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | ND | 5.0 | 1.2 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.2 |  |  | ugh | 25.0 |  | 1058 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.2 |  |  | ugh | 25.0 |  | 1018 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 22.8 |  |  | $u g h$ | 25.0 |  | 918 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

Analyte
Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05

## LCS Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BS1)

| Benzene | 24.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 23.4 |
| Bromoform | 22.6 |
| Bromomethane | 25.8 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24.2 |
| Chlorobenzene | 23.6 |
| Chloroethane | 24.1 |
| Chloroform | 25.1 |
| Chloromethane | 25.4 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 23.2 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 23.8 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 23.6 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 23.6 |
| 1,-Dichloroethane | 25.2 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.3 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 24.2 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 24.8 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 24.4 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.8 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | 24.2 |
| Methylene chloride | 25.3 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 23.2 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 23.4 |
| Toluene | 23.8 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloreethane | 24.6 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 23.4 |
| Trichloroethene | 23.9 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 25.9 |
| Vinyl chloride | 21.4 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.3 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.8 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MS1) |  | Source: IOC2063-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 22.4 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-120 |  |  |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | 22.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-140 |  |  |  |
| Bromoform | 23.6 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 55-140 |  |  |  |
| Bromomethane | 23.5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 50-145 |  |  |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 22.0 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 70-145 |  |  |  |
| Chlorobenzene | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| Chloroethane | 21.3 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 85 | 50-145 |  |  |  |
| Chloroform | 23.4 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-135 |  |  |  |
| Chloromethane | 22.6 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 35-145 |  |  |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | 23.3 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 65-145 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 75-130 |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 22.0 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 75-130 |  |  |  |
| 1,4 Dichlorobenzene | 22.4 | 2.0 | 0.37 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 23.3 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 65-135 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 25.8 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 103 | 60-150 |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 22.6 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 65-140 |  |  |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 23.0 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 65-135 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 65-130 |  |  |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 70-140 |  |  |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-140 |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 21.8 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 87 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | 24.4 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 60-135 |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25.4 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 102 | 60-145 |  |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | 21.2 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 85 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 22.3 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 70-120 |  |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 22.1 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 75-140 |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.3 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 60-135 |  |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 70-125 |  |  |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 23.4 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ughl | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 55-145 |  |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | 19.0 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 25.0 | ND | 76 | 40-135 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |  |  | ug 1 | 25.0 |  | 106 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.1 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.2 |  |  | ug $n$ | 25.0 |  | 978 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
\text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } & \\
& \text { Outfall 011 } & \text { Sampled: } 03 / 25 / 05 \\
\text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC2063 } & \text { Received: 03/25/05 }
\end{array}
$$

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Matrix Spike Dap Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MSD1) |  |  | Source: IOC2063-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 23.1 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/t | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-140 | 4 | 20 |
| Bromoform | 25.2 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 55-140 | 7 | 25 |
| Bromomethane | 23.9 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 50-145 | 2 | 25 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 23.0 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-145 | 4 | 25 |
| Chlorobenzene | 23.0 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 80-125 | 4 | 20 |
| Chloroethane | 22.3 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 50-145 | 5 | 25 |
| Chloroform | 24.0 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 70-135 | 3 | 20 |
| Chloromethane | 23.0 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 35-145 | 2 | 25 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 65-145 | 5 | 25 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 75-130 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 22.7 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 91 | 75-130 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,4-Bichlorobenzene | 23.1 | 2.0 | 0.37 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,-Dichloroethane | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 65-135 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.6 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 60-150 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 23.4 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 65-140 | 3 | 20 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 23.7 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 95 | 65-135 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 24.1 | 2.0 | 0.35 | $u g / t$ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 65-130 | 3 | 20 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 70-140 | 3 | 20 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 70-140 | 3 | 25 |
| Ethylbenzene | 22.6 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-130 | 4 | 20 |
| Methylene chloride | 25.4 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 102 | 60-135 | 4 | 20 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 105 | 60-145 | 3 | 30 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 70-130 | 5 | 20 |
| Toluene | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 22.7 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 91 | 75-140 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Trichloroethene | 24.9 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 60-135 | 2 | 25 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 22.9 23.9 | 2.0 50 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-125 | 3 | 20 |
| Vinyl chloride | 19.2 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 55-145 | 2 | 25 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.7 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 77 | 40-135 | 1 | 30 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.0 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 107 100 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.5 |  |  | ug/t | 25.0 |  | 98 | 80-120 |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrolein | ND | 50 | 4.6 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 50 | 5.1 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether | ND | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.2 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 105 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.2 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 22.8 |  |  | ug $/$ | 25.0 |  | 91 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether | 24.8 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 99 | 20-175 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 106 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.3 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.8 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 99 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed, 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MS1) Source: $10 C 2063-01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Chloroethyl vinyl ether | 26.6 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 20-175 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 106 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.1 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.2 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 25.0 |  | 97 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MSD1) Source: 10C2063-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether | 27.1 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 108 | 20-175 | 2 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromoffuoromethane | 26.7 |  |  | $u g h$ | 25.0 |  | 107 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.0 |  |  | ugh | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.5 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 98 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclohexane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## MITMOU BLLANHGCDATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted; 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Blank Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BLK1)

| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ugh |
| Aniline | ND | 10 | 2.9 | ugl |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/1 |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ |
| Benzoic acid | ND | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ND | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | ND | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/1 |
| Benzyl alcohol | ND | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.084 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/t |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 0.760 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |
| 4-Chloroaniline | ND | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ |
| Dibenzofuran | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ugl |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 0.300 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.13 | ugh |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/ |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | ND | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ |
| Diethyl phthalate | 0.220 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ugh |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.31 | ugh |
| Dimethyl phthalate | ND | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/l |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 2.7 | ugA |  |  |  |  |  |  | N-1 |
| 2,4 Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.089 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ND | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachloroethane | ND | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indeno(1, 2,3-cd)pyrene | ND | 20 | 0.19 | ugn |  |  |  | . $\%$ |  |  |  |
| Isophorone | ND | 10 | 0.059 | ugA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.23 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ND | 1.0 | 0.077 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.78 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.14 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugh 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.6 |  |  | $u g h$ | 20.0 |  | 68 | 30-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.7 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 68 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.5 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 82 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-ds | 6.94 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 69 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.28 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 73 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d/4 | 8.40 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 84 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 04/11/2005 (5C28041-BLK2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 12.9 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 64 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.6 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 68 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 17.1 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 86 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.98 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fhuorobiphenyl | 7.68 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate Terphenyl-d14 | 8.10 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Acenaphthene | 8.28 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 83 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthylene | 8.44 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 84 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Aniline | 7.32 | 10 | 2.9 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 73 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Anthracene | 8.48 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 85 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/l | 10.0 |  |  | 20-160 |  |  | L2 |
| Benzoic acid | 6.74 | 20 | 3.7 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 67 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 9.52 | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 95 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 8.70 | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 87 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 9.32 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 93 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 8.16 | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 40-125 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 9.24 | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 92 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.62 | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 7.98 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 6.98 | 0.50 | 0.084 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 70 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 7.26 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 73 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 9.16 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 92 | 60-130 |  |  |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 8.10 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 81 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 9.66 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 97 | 55-125 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 6.60 | 2.0 | 0.20 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 66 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 8.52 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 85 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Harper Project Manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063
Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$
Received: $03 / 25 / 05$

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05

LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BS1)

| 1205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 7.18 | 2.0 | 0.34 | ugh | 10.0 | 72 | 60-120 | -NR |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 8.88 | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ | 10.0 | 89 | 55-120 |  |
| 2 -Chlorophenol | 7.12 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 | 71 | 45-120 |  |
| Chrysene | 9.14 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ | 10.0 | 91 | 60-120 |  |
| Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ )anthracene | 7.06 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 | 71 | 45-130 |  |
| Dibenzofuran | 8.18 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/l | 10.0 | 82 | 60-120 |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 9.02 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 10.0 | 90 | 55-125 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 6.26 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 | 63 | 35-120 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 6.26 | 0.50 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 63 | 35-120 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorabenzene | 6.18 | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 | 62 | 35-120 |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 6.98 | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ | 10.0 | 70 | 45-130 |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 7.68 | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 55-120 |  |
| Diethyl phathatate | 8.18 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ugh | 100 | 82 | 55120 |  |
| 2,4Dimethylphenol | 5.28 | 2.0 | 0.31 | ug/ | 10.0 | 53 | 30-120 |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 8.76 | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/ | 10.0 | 88 | 60-120 |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 9.40 | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 94 | 50-120 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.70 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ | 10.0 | 87 | 40-120 | N-1 |
| 2,4 Dinitrotoluene | 8.00 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 80 | 60-120 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 8.28 | 5.0 | 0.24 | uga | 10.0 | 83 | 60-120 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 9.46 | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/ | 10.0 | 95 | 60-130 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 8.78 | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ | 10.0 | 88 | 60-120 |  |
| Fluoranthene | 9.26 | 0.50 | 0.089 | ug/ | 10.0 | 93 | 55-120 |  |
| Fluorene | 9.18 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug1 | 10.0 | 92 | 60-120 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 8.42 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 84 | 50-120 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 6.40 | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/l | 10.0 | 64 | 40-120 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 7.30 | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/l | 10.0 | 73 | 15-120 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | 6.26 | 3.0 | 0.51 | ugh | 10.0 | 63 | 35-120 |  |
| Indeno( $1,2,3$-cd)pyrene | 7.72 | 2.0 | 0.19 | $u g /$ | 10.0 | 77 | 40-130 |  |
| Isophorone | 7.42 | 1.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 74 | 50-120 |  |
| 2-Methyinaphthalene | 7.88 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 50-120 |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | 6.98 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.12 | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/l | 10.0 | 71 | 45-120 |  |
| Naphthalene | 7.36 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 74 | 50-120 |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 8.62 | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 86 | 60-120 |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 7.82 | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/l | 10.0 | 78 | 55-120 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: 03/25/05 |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METIIOD BLANKKOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| 4.Nitroaniline | 8.16 | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 50-125 |  |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | 6.90 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.58 | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 7.60 | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 76 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 7.40 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 74 | 40-120 |  |  |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 7.22 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 72 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | 7.98 | 1.0 | 0.077 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | 8.86 | 2.0 | 0.78 | ug/1 | 10.0 |  | 89 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | 8.56 | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 86 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Phenol | 8.12 | 1.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Pyrene | 9.44 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 94 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 6.52 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 65 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| 2,45-Trichlorophenol | 8.30 | 2.0 | 0.075 | ugh | 10.0 |  | 83 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| 24,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.76 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug $A$ | 10.0 |  | 88 | 60.120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.3 |  |  | $u g /$ | 20.0 |  | 66 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.1 |  |  | ug/l | 20.0 |  | 66 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.0 |  |  | $u g /$ | 20.0 |  | 80 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.70 |  |  | ug $/$ | 10.0 |  | 67 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.58 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 8.10 |  |  | $u g h$ | 10.0 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 04/11/2005 (5C28041-BS2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.72 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 87 | 40-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.0 |  |  | ugh | 20.0 |  | 65 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.4 |  |  | ug $/$ | 20.0 |  | 67 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.7 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 84 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.72 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 67 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.14 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 71 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 7.92 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 79 | 45-120 |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
$\begin{array}{rlr}\text { Project ID: } & 13267 \text { (Study 1) } & \\ & \text { Outfall 011 } & \text { Sampled: 03/25/05 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & 10 C 2063\end{array}$

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
        Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
        Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063
```

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METIIOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05

## LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BSD1)

| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 9.54 | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 95 | 50-120 | 1 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.94 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ | 10.0 | 89 | 40-120 | 3 | 25 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 8.46 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 85 | 60-120 | 6 | 20 | N-I |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 8.62 | 5.0 | 0.24 | ugh | 10.0 | 86 | 60-120 | 6 | 20 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 10.0 | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/ | 10.0 | 100 | 60-130 | 6 | 20 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 9.68 | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ | 10.0 | 97 | 60-120 | 10 | 25 |  |
| Fluoranthene | 9.68 | 0.50 | 0.089 | ug/ | 10.0 | 97 | 55-120 | 4 | 20 |  |
| Fluorene | 9.80 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 98 | 60-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 8.88 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 89 | 50-120 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 6.94 | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 40-120 | 8 | 25 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 8.62 | 5.0 | 1.8 | ugh | 10.0 | 86 | 15-120 | 17 | 30 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | 6.78 | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/ | 10.0 | 68 | 35-120 | 8 | 25 |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 8.56 | 2.0 | 0.19 | ug/ | 10.0 | 86 | 40.130 | 10 | 25 |  |
| Isophorone | 752 | 1.0 | 0.059 | ugh | 10.0 | 75 | 50-120 | 1 | 20 |  |
| 2-Methyinaphthalene | 8.46 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 85 | 50-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | 7.30 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 45-120 | 4 | 20 |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.48 | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 10.0 | 75 | 45-120 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Naphthalene | 7.94 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 50-120 | 8 | 20 |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 9.28 | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 93 | 60-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 8.46 | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 10.0 | 85 | 55-120 | 8 | 25 |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 8.60 | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 | 86 | 50-125 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Nitrobenzene | 7.28 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 50-120 | 5 | 25 |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.92 | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 55-120 | 4 | 25 |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 8.70 | 5.0 | 0.73 | ugh | 10.0 | 87 | 45-120 | 13 | 25 |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 7.56 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 10.0 | 76 | 40-120 | 2 | 20 |  |
| N -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 7.68 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 45-120 | 6 | 20 |  |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 8.36 | 1.0 | 0.077 | ug/l | 10.0 | 84 | 55-120 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | 9.04 | 2.0 | 0.78 | ugl | 10.0 | 90 | 50-120 | 2 | 25 |  |
| Phenanthrene | 9.06 | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/ | 10.0 | 91 | 55-120 | 6 | 20 |  |
| Phenol | 8.62 | 1.0 | 0.14 | ugh | 10.0 | 86 | 45-120 | 6 | 25 |  |
| Pyrene | 9.74 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 97 | 50-120 | 3 | 25 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 7.02 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 8.36 | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/l | 10.0 | 84 | 60-120 | 1 | 20 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 9.06 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 91 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.5 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 68 | 30-120 |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$
Received: $03 / 25 / 05$
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BSD1)

| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.7 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Surrogate: $2,4,6$-Tribromophenol | 16.7 |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 7.00 |
| Surrogate: 2 -Fluorobiphenyl | 7.96 |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 8.22 |


| LCS Dup Analyzed: 04/11/2005 (5C28041-BSD2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.86 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/l | 10.0 | 89 | 40-120 | 2 | 25 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.2 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 66 | 30-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 14.3 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 72 | 35-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 17.2 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 86 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 7.02 |  |  | ugh | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.52 |  |  | ug $n$ | 10.0 | 75 | 45-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 7.66 | \% |  | ugh | 10.0 | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
            Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
                Outfall }01
Report Number: 10 C 2063
```

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28048 Extracted: 03/28/05. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/29/2005-03/30/2005 (5C28048-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha-BHC | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| beta-BHC | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| delta-BHC | ND | 0.20 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlordane | ND | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4 - DDD | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4-DDE | ND | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4-DDT | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dieldrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan I | ND | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan II | ND | 0.10 | 0.040 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ND | 0.20 | 0.015 | ugn | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endrin | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ugh |  |  | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |
| Endrin aldehyde | ND | 0.10 | 0.045 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endrin ketone | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor | ND | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ND | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methoxychlor | ND | 0.10 | 0.035 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toxaphene | ND | 5.0 | 1.5 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate; Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.350 |  |  | ugh | 0.500 |  | 70 | 35-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.383 |  |  | ug $/ 1$ | 0.500 |  | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C28048-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | 0.347 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/1 | 0.500 |  | 69 | 40-115 |  |  | M-NR1 |
| alpha-BHC | 0.372 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 74 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| beta-BHC | 0.377 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 75 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| delta-BHC | 0.382 | 0.20 | 0.020 | ugh | 0.500 |  | 76 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.373 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 75 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDD | 0.420 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 84 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| 4,4*-DDE | 0.417 | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 83 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| 4,4'-DDT | 0.437 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/1 | 0.500 |  | 87 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Dieldrin | 0.405 | 0.10 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 |  | 81 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan I | 0.388 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ugh | 0.500 |  | 785 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan II | 0.396 | 0.10 | 0.040 | ug/1 | 0.500 |  | $79 \quad 6$ | 60-125 |  |  |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.396 | 0.20 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 796 | 60-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 2063$

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28048 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C28048-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Endrin | 0.420 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 84 | 55-125 |  |  |  |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.382 | 0.10 | 0.045 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 76 | 55-115 |  |  |  |
| Endrin ketone | 0.402 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 80 | 60-115 |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor | 0.371 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 74 | 45-115 |  |  |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.388 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 78 | 50-115 |  |  |  |
| Methoxychlor | 0.399 | 0.10 | 0.035 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 80 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.337 | . |  | ug $n$ | 0.500 |  | 67 | 35-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.372 |  |  | $u g /$ | 0.500 |  | 74 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C28048-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrin | 0.291 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 58 | 40-115 | 18 | 30 |  |
| alpha-BHC | 0.322 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 64 | 45-115 | 14 | 30 |  |
| beta-BHC | 0.345 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 69 | 50-115 | 9 | 30 |  |
| delta-BHC | 0.352 | 0.20 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 55-120 | 8 | 30 |  |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.328 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 66 | 45-115 | 13 | 30 |  |
| 4,4'-DDD | 0.397 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 79 | 60-120 | 6 | 30 |  |
| 4,4'-DDE | 0.378 | 0.10 | 0.025 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 76 | 55-120 | 10 | 30 |  |
| 4,4'-DDT | 0.531 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 106 | 60-120 | 19 | 30 |  |
| Dieldrin | 0.368 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 74 | 55-120 | 10 | 30 |  |
| Endosulfan I | 0.351 | 0.10 | 0.015 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 50-115 | 10 | 30 |  |
| Endosulfan II | 0.368 | 0.10 | 0.040 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 74 | 60-125 | 7 | 30 |  |
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.373 | 0.20 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 0.500 |  | 75 | 60-120 | 6 | 30 |  |
| Endrin | 0.383 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 77 | 55-125 | 9 | 30 |  |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.369 | 0.10 | 0.045 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 74 | 55-115 | 3 | 30 |  |
| Endrin ketone | 0.377 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/l | 0.500 |  | 75 | 60-115 | 6 | 30 |  |
| Heptachlor | 0.320 | 0.10 | 0.030 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 64 | 45-115 | 15 | 30 |  |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.349 | 0.10 | 0.020 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 70 | 50-115 | 11 | 30 |  |
| Methoxychlor | 0.375 | 0.10 | 0.035 | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 75 | 60-120 | 6 | 30 |  |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.289 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 58 | 35-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.344 |  |  | ug/ | 0.500 |  | 69 | 45-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28048 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Biank Analyzed: 03/29/2005-03/30/2005 (5C28048-BLK1)

| Aroclor 1016 | ND | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aroclor 1221 | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | ND | 1.0 | 0.40 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.407 |  |  | ug/l | 0.500 | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NR1 |
| Aroclor 1016 | 6.06 | 2.0 | 0.40 | ug/l | 8.00 | 76 | 50-115 |  |  | -NR |
| Aroclor 1260 | 5.96 | 2.0 | 0.80 | ug/l | 8.00 | 74 | 55-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.769 |  |  | $u g h$ | 1.00 | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/30/20 | BSD 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | 3.08 | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/l | 4.00 | 77 | 50-115 | 65 | 30 |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | 3.30 | 1.0 | 0.40 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 4.00 | 82 | 55-115 | 57 | 25 | R-7 |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.431 |  |  | ug/l | 0.500 | 86 | $45-120$ | 57 | 25 | R-7 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| $\qquad$ Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: 03/25/05 |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C25111 Extracted; 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boron ND | 0.050 | 0.0074 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boron 0.450 | 0.050 | 0.0074 | mg/l | 0.500 |  | 90 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-MS1) |  |  |  | Sour | ce: 10C1 | 861-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Boron 0.612 | 0.050 | 0.0074 | mg/ | 0.500 | 0.13 | 96 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-MSD1) |  |  |  | Sour | ce: IOC1 | 861-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Boron 0.642 | 0.050 | 0.0074 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 | 0.13 | 102 | 70-130 | 5 | 20 |  |

## Blank Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C25116-BLK1)

| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ugh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |
| Barium | ND | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/1 |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ |
| Chromium | 0.507 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ |
| Cobalt | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |
| Iron | 0.00735 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |
| Manganese | ND | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/ |
| Nickel | ND | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ |
| Selenium | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh |
| Silver | ND | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/ |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/l |
| Vanadium | ND | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/ |
| Zinc | ND | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Outfall 011 |  |  |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Report Number: $10 C 2063$ | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 |
| Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |  |  |

## method blankgc data

## METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| Batch: 5 C 25116 | Extracted: $03 / 25 / 05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualifiers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LCS Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C25116-BS1)

| Antimony | 80.9 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 101 | 85-115 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 105 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Barium | 0.0810 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0800 |  | 101 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Beryllium | 82.8 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 104 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Chromium | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Cobalt | 78.3 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugh | 80.0 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Copper | 75.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Iron | 0.796 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.800 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Lead | 88.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 111 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Manganese | 80.3 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Nickel | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Selenium | 80.6 | 20 | 036 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 101 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Silver | 87.8 | 10 | 0.089 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 110 | 85115 | $\because$ |  |
| Thallium | 79.3 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Vanadium | 79.1 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Zinc | 75.9 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 95 | 85-115 |  |  |
| Matrix Spil | 116 MMS |  |  |  | Son | e: 10C | 62-01 |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 83.2 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.29 | 104 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Arsenic | 85.1 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ugl | 80.0 | 1.2 | 105 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Barium | 0.121 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 0.0800 | 0.036 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Beryllium | 85.1 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cadnium | 79.5 | 1.0 | 0.015 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 0.072 | 99 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Chromium | 81.2 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 80.0 | 2.2 | 99 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Cobalt | 79.4 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.58 | 99 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Copper | 77.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 93 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Iron | 1.44 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.800 | 0.67 | 96 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Lead | 86.8 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.55 | 108 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Manganese | 208 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/l | 80.0 | 100 | 135 | 70-130 |  | Ml |
| Nickel | 79.1 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/l | 80.0 | 2.8 | 95 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Selenium | 80.4 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 80.0 | ND | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Silver | 85.1 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.10 | 106 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Thallium | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.15 | 102 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Vanadium | 81.3 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.5 | 100 | 70-130 |  |  |
| Zinc | 84.8 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 | 14 | 88 | 70-130 |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 2063$

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

METALS

|  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |


| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C25116-MSD1) |  |  | Source: 10C2062-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 81.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 102 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Arsenic | 84.9 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.2 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Barium | 0.119 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0800 | 0.036 | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Beryllium | 81.9 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ugh | 80.0 | ND | 102 | 70-130 | 4 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 78.0 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.072 | 97 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Chromium | 79.8 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 80.0 | 2.2 | 97 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Cobalt | 78.3 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.58 | 97 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Copper | 75.6 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 91 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Iron | 1.40 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 0.800 | 0.67 | 91 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Lead | 87.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.55 | 108 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Manganese | 203 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ugh | 80.0 | 100 | 129 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Nickel | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/l | 80.0 | 2.8 | 94 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Selenium | 79.7 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 100 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Silver | 85.1 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.10 | 106 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Thallium | 80.9 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.15 | 101 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Vanadium | 81.2 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.5 | 100 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Zinc | 83.4 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 | 14 | 87 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |

Batch: 5C26033 Extracted: 03/26/05
Blank Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26033-BLK1)


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Oualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C26033 Extracted: 03/26/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26033-MSD1)
Source: IOC2062-01

| Mercury | 7.61 | 0.20 | 0.063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.20 | 0.063 | ug/ | 8.00 | ND | 95 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: IOC2063 Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2063

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKKQC DATA

## INORGANICS



Batch: 5C25118 Extracted: 03/25/05
Duplicate Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25118-DUP1)
Residual Chlorine ND
0.10
0.10
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
Source: 1OC2063-01
ND
20

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 <br> Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIGC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Oualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C25119 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25119-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cyanide ND | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25119-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cyanide 194 | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/1 | 200 |  | 97 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25119-MS1) Source: 1OC2062-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cyanide 191 | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/ | 200 | ND | 96 | 70-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25119-MSD1) Source: IOC2062-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cyanide 195 | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/ | 200 | ND | 98 | 70-115 | 2 | 15 |  |
| Batch: 5C26056 Extracted: 03/26/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26056-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity $\quad 0.0500$ | 1.0 | 0.040 | NTU |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26056-DUP1) |  |  |  | Source: IOC2062-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity 11.9 | 1.0 | 0.040 | NTU |  | 12 |  |  | 1 | 20 |  |

## Batch: 5C28067 Extracted: 03/28/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28067-BLK1)

| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | ND | 0.50 | 0.30 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

LCS Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28067-BS1)

| Ammonia-N (Distilled) | 9.80 | 0.50 | 0.30 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 | 98 | $80-115$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^47]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2063
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Analyte Result \& Reporting Limit \& MDL \& Units \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Spike \\
Level
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Source \\
Result
\end{tabular} \& \%REC \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\%REC \\
Limits
\end{tabular} \& RPD \& \begin{tabular}{l}
RPD \\
Limit
\end{tabular} \& Data Qualifiers \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Batch: 5C28067 Extracted: 03/28/05} \\
\hline Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28067-MSI) \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Source: 10C2120-01} \\
\hline Ammonia-N (Distilled) 9.80 \& 0.50 \& 0.30 \& mg/ \& 10.0 \& ND \& 98 \& 70-120 \& \& \& \\
\hline Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28067-M \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Source: 1OC2120-01} \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Ammonia-N (Distilled) 8.96 \& 0.50 \& 0.30 \& \(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}\) \& 10.0 \& ND \& 90 \& 70-120 \& 9 \& 15 \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Batch: 5C28069 Extracted: 03/28/05} \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Blank Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28069-BLK1)} \\
\hline Oil \& Grease ND \& 5.0 \& 0.94 \& \(\mathrm{mg} /\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{LCS Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28069-BS1)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{M-NR1} \\
\hline Oil \& Grease 19.7 \& 5.0 \& 0.94 \& \(\mathrm{mg} / 1\) \& 20.0 \& \& 98 \& 65-120 \& \& \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{LCS Dup Analyzed: \(03 / 28 / 2005\) (5C28069-BSD1)
Oll Grease

(91)}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 96 \& 65-120 \& 3 \& 20 \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Batch: 5C28078 Extracted: 03/28/05} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Blank Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28078-BLK1)} <br>
\hline Total Dissolved Solids ND \& 10 \& 10 \& $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ \& * \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{LCS Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28078-BS1)} <br>
\hline Total Dissolved Solids 956 \& 10 \& 10 \& $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ \& 1000 \& \& 96 \& 90-110 \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Duplicate Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28078-DUP1)} \& \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Source: 10C1740-01} <br>
\hline Total Dissolved Solids 288 \& 10 \& 10 \& mg 1 \& \& 280 \& \& \& 3 \& 10 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^48]| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/25/05 |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOC2063 | Received: 03/25/05 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |  |

## METHOD DLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28081 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28081-DUP1) | Source: IOC1740-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance 507 | 1.0 | 1.0 | umhos/cm |  | 500 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |
| Batch: 5C29079 Extracted: 03/29/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C29079-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Organic Carbon ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C29079-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Organic Carbon 10.4 | 1.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 10.0 |  | 104 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C29079-MS1) | Source: 1OC2115-02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Organic Carbon 9.84 | 1.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 5.3 | 91 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C29079-MSD1) |  |  |  | Source: 1OC2115-62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Organic Carbon 10.0 | 1.0 | 0.25 | mg/ | 5.00 | 5.3 | 94 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: IOC2063

Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQCDATA

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

 Outfall 011Report Number: IOC2063

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.

Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality. Laboratory Control Sample recovery was below method control limits.

The MS and/or MSD were above the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference. See Blank Spike (LCS).

## M-3

M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike
Duplicate.
N-1 See case narrative.
R-7 LFB/LFBD RPD exceeded the method control limit. Recovery met acceptance criteria.
$\mathbf{Z X}$ Due to sample matrix effects, the surrogate recovery was outside the acceptance limits.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD
Relative Percent Difference

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

## For TICs:

All identifications are tentative and concentrations are estimates based upon spectral comparison to the EPANIH library. For 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine:

The result for 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine is based upon the reading of its breakdown product, Azobenzene.
For GRO (C4-C12):
GRO (C4-C12) is quantitated against a gasoline standard. Quantitation begins immediately following the methanol peak. For Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) :

Unless otherwise noted, Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) are quantitated against a Diesel Fuel Standard.

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
            Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
                Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
                    Report Number: 1OC2063 Received: 03/25/05
```


## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 120.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 180.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.7. | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 218.6 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 330.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 335.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 350.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 405.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 415.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 418.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 608. | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 624 (MOD. | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 624 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 625 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 8015 Mod. | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 8015B | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM5540-C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613-Dioxin-HR
Samples: IOC2063-01
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: IOC2063-01

Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB Calfornia Cert \#1775 4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 - Ventura, CA 93003

Analysis Performed: Bioassay-7 dy Chrnic
Samples: IOC2063-01
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200Pasadena, CA 91101Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB California Cert \#1775
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 - Ventura, CA 93003
Analysis Performed: Bioassay-Acute 96 hrSamples: 1OC2063-01
Del Mar Analytical - Phoenix NELAC Cert \#01109CA, Calfornia Cert \#2446
9830 S. 51st Street, Suite B-120 - Phoenix, AZ 85044
Method Performed: ..... EPA 8260BSamples: 1OC2063-01
Eberline Services - SUB
2030 Wright Avenue - Richmond, CA 94804
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: IOC2063-01
Analysis Performed: Gamma Scan
Samples: 1OC2063-04
Analysis Performed: Gross Alpha
Samples: 1OC2063-01, OC2063-03
Analysis Performed: Gross BetaSamples: IOC2063-01, 1OC2063-03
Analysis Performed: Radium, Combined
Samples: IOC2063-01, IOC2063-03
Analysis Performed: Strontium 90
Samples: $10 \mathrm{C} 2063-01$, OC2063-03
Analysis Performed: TritiumSamples 10C206301, 10C206303
Truesdail Laboratories-SUB California Cert \#1237
14201 Franklin Avenue - Tustin, CA 92680
Analysis Performed: Hydrazine
Samples: IOC2063-01
Analysis Performed: Level 4 Data Package
Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2063
Sampled: 03/25/05Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager


 dd + (809) OHE B4d ANALYSIS REQUIRED

```
पоиuk
(z.0se) 411 N-епuоuни
```




| Client Name/Address: <br> MWH-Pasadena <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |  | Project: <br> Boeing-SSFL NPDES <br> Outfill 011 - 13267 <br> Perimeter Pond |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Manager: Bronwyn Kelly <br> Sampler: <br> tand thys. |  |  |  | Phone Num (626) 568 Fax Numb (626) 568 | nber: <br> 6691 <br> er: <br> 6515 |  |
| Sample Description | Sampla Matrix | Container Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tof } \\ & \text { cont. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sempling } \\ & \text { Deferine } \end{aligned}$ | Preservati | Bottle |
| Outifill 011 | W | Poly-1L | 1 |  | HNO3 | 1 A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outfili } 011 \text { - } \\ & \text { Dup } \end{aligned}$ | W | Poly-1L. | 1 | 7 | HNO3 | 18 |
| Outifill 011 | w | Poly-1L | 1 |  | None | 2 |
| Outfill 011 | W | VOAs | 3 |  | HCl | $\begin{gathered} 3 A_{i} 3 B_{i} \\ 3 C \end{gathered}$ |
| Outfoll 011 | W | 1L. Amber | 2 | $\cdots$ | None | 4A, 4B |
| Outfell 011 | w | 11. Amber | 2 |  | HCl | 5A, 5B |
| Outfell 011 | W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poly- } 500 \\ & \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  | NaOH | 6 |
| Outfall 019 | w | Poly-1L | 1 |  | None | 7 |
| Outtalt 011 | W |  | 2 |  | None | 8A, 88 |
| Outfall 011 | W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poly-500 } \\ & \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  | None | 9A, 88 |
| Outfell 011 | W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poly-600 } \\ & \text { ml } \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  | None | $10 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| Outtall 011 | W | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Poly}-600 \\ & \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 11 |
| Outfall 011 | W | 1L. Amber | 2 |  | None. | $\begin{aligned} & 12 A, \\ & 12 B \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Outfall 011 | W | 1L Amber | 2 | $\pm 1$ | None | 138 138 |
| Trip Biank | W | VOAs | 3 |  | HCl | 14 A, 148, 14 C |
|  |  |  |  |  | Recelved 7 |  |



April 7, 2005

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: $\quad 13267$ (Study 1)/Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/25/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC2063

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Aquatic Testing Laboratories performed Fathead Minnow 96 hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002), Truesdail Laboratories tested Hydrazines by EPA 8315 M, Alta Analytical performed EPA Method 1613 by Dioxin and Eberline Services performed Gross Alpha/Gross Beta (EPA 900.0), Tritium (H-3, EPA 906.0), Strontium-90 (Sr-90, EPA 905.0), Radium 226 (EPA 903.1), and Radium 228 (904.0) for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MWH ID | DEL MAR D | ATL ID | TRUESDAL ID | ALTA ID | EBERLINE ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall 011 Grab | 1OC2063-01 | A-05032601-001/002 | $941100-1$ | $25967-001$ | PENDING |

Attached are the original reports from the subcontract laboratories. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

# LABORATORY REPORT 

Date:
Client: Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Attn: Michele Harper

Aquatic Testing Laboratories
"dedicated to providing quality aquatic toxicity testing".
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 650-0546 FAX (805) 650-0756

CA DOHS ELAP Cert. No.: 1775

Laboratory No.: A-05032601-001/002
Sample I.D.: $\quad$ IOC2063-01

Sample Control: The sample was received by ATL chilled, with the chain of custody record attached.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Date Sampled: } & 03 / 25 / 05 \\
\text { Date Received: } & 03 / 26 / 05 \\
\text { Date Tested: } & 03 / 26 / 05 \text { to 04/01/05 }
\end{array}
$$

Sample Analysis: The following analyses were performed on your sample:
Fathead Minnow 96hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002).

Attached are the test data generated from the analysis of your sample.

Result Summary:

| Acute: | $\frac{\text { Survival }}{100 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { TU }}{0.0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fathead Minnow: |  |  |
| Chronic: | $\frac{\text { NOES }}{}$ | TUE |
| $\quad$ Ceriodaphnia Survival: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\quad$ Ceriodaphnia Reproduction: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |

Quality Control: Reviewed and approved by:


## FATHEAD MINNOW PERCENT SURVIVAL TEST

Lab No.: A-05032601-001
Client/ID: Del Mar - IOC2063-01

## TEST SUMMARY

Species: Pimephales promelas.
Age: 8 (1-14) days.
Regulations: NPDES.
Test solution volume: 250 ml .
Feeding: prior to renewal at 48 hrs.
Number of replicates: 2.
Dilution water: Moderately hard reconstituted water.
Photoperiod: $16 / 8$ hrs light/dark.

## Start Date: 03/26/2005

Source: In-laboratory Culture.
Test type: Static-Renewal.
Test Protocol: EPA-821-R-02-012.
Endpoints: Percent Survival at 96 hrs.
Test chamber: 600 ml beakers.
Temperature: $20+/-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Number of fish per chamber: 10.
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050303.

TEST DATA


Comments:
Sample as received: Chlorine: $\qquad$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{pH}: 7.8 ;$ Conductivity: 155 mho; Temp: $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; DO: $5.4 \mathrm{mg} /$; Alkalinity: $12 \mathrm{mg} /$; Hardness: $77 \mathrm{mg} / ; \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}: 0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.
Sample aerated moderately (approx. $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to raise or lower DO? Yes $/ 40$.
Control: Alkalinity: $57 \mathrm{mg} /$; Hardness: $95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$; Conductivity: 3 co. umho.
Test solution aerated (not to exceed 100 bubbles $/ \mathrm{min}$ ) to maintain DO $>4.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ? Yes / No
Sample used for renewal is the original sample kept at $0-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with minimal headspace.

## RESULTS

Percent Survival In: Control: $\qquad$ $\% \quad 100 \%$ Sample: $\qquad$ $\%$

# CERIODAPHNIA CHRONIC BIOASSAY EPA METHOD 1002.0 

Lab No.: A-05032601
Client/ID: Del Mar IOC2063-01

## TEST SUMMARY

Test type: Daily static-renewal.
Species: Ceriodaphnia dubia.
Age: < 24 hrs; all released within 8 hrs.
Test vessel size: 30 ml .
Number of test organisms per vessel: 1.
Temperature: $25+/-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Dilution water: Mod. hard reconstituted (MHRW).
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050326.

Date Tested: 03/26/05 to 04/01/05

Source: In-laboratory culture.
Food: . 1 ml YTC, algae per day.
Test solution volume: 15 ml .
Number of replicates: 10.
Photoperiod: $16 / 8 \mathrm{hrs}$. light/dark cycle.
Test duration: 7 days.
Statistics: ToxCalc computer program.

RESULTS SUMMARY

| Sample Concentration | Percent Survival | Mean Number of Young Per Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 100\% | 31.4 |
| 6.25\% | 100\% | 31.2 |
| 12.5\% | 100\% | 33.5 |
| 25\% | 100\% | 30.9 |
| 50\% | 100\% | 33.1 |
| 100\% | 100\% | 33.6 |
| * Statistically significantly less than control at $\mathbf{P}=0.05$ level. <br> ** Reproduction data from concentrations greater than survival NOEC are excluded from statistical analysis. |  |  |

CHRONIC TOXICITY

| Parameter | Survival | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOEC | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| TUc | 1.0 | 1.0 |

QA/QC TEST ACCEPTABHLITY

| Parameter | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Control survival $280 \%$ | Pass ( $100 \%$ survival) |
| 215 young per surviving control female average | Pass (31.4 young) |
| $260 \%$ surviving controls had 3 broods | Pass ( $100 \%$ with 3 broods) |
| PMSD < $47 \%$ for reproduction if $>47 \%$ and no toxicity at IWC, the test must be repeated | Pass (PMSD $=11.3 \%$ ) |
| Statistically significantly different concentrations relative difference $>13 \%$ | NA - No stat. sig. diff. concentrations |
| Concentration response relationship acceptable | Pass (slight inverse response at conc. tested) |

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC2063

| SENDING LABORATORY: <br> Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261-1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Manager: Michele Harper | RECEIVING LABORATORY: <br> Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB <br> 4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 <br> Ventura, CA 93003 <br> Phone :(805) 650-0546 <br> Fax: (805) 650-0756 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requ <br> Analysis <br> Expiration | => Due Date: 5 day $\qquad$ Initials: <br> Comments |
| Sample ID: $10 \mathrm{Cl2063-01}$ Water <br> Sioassay-7 dy Chmic $03 / 27 / 0500: 00$ <br> Bioassay-Acute 96 hr $03 / 27 / 0500: 00$  | Instant Nofication ceriodaphnia, 13267 fathead minnow, 13267 |
| Containers Supplied: <br> 1 gal Poly (IOC2063-01AR) <br> 1 gal Poly (IOC2063-01AS) |  |



## TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

Client: Del Mar Analytical
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Attention: Michele Harper

Project Name: 1OC2063
Truesdail Project: 941100
Date Received: 03/28/05

Samples Cross-reference

| Truestail ID | Clien ID | Matrix | Date Sampled | Time Sampled |  | Analvsis Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $941100-1$ | IOC2063-01 | Water | $03 / 25 / 05$ | 1200 | Hydrazines by EPA 8315M |  |

Respectfully Submitted,
TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.


## Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.

Client: Del Mar Analytical
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Attention: Michele Harper
Project Name: IOC2063
Truesdail Project:
941100
Date Received: 03/28/05

## Case Narrative

Sample Receipt The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in. The sample was kept in a locked refrigerator until analysis. Thereafter, it is being kept in ambient storage for an additional 2 months before disposal.

Analysis The analysis was perfomed as requested on the chain-of-custody.
Quality Control The analytical results for each batch of samples performed include a minimum of one set of laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD), one matrix spike (MS) and a reagent blank (Method blank). Any exceptions or problems would be noted in the "comments" section.

Comments The test results in this report meet all quality assurance requirements set forth by the method specification and all quality control recoveries were within the laboratory acceptance limits. No anomalies or nonconformance events occurred during the course of analysis.

The analytes were quantitated down to the Method Detection Limit (J flags) per client's request.

Respectfully Submitted,
TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.
K.R.P. gyen
K.R.P. Iyer

Quality Control/Quality Assurance Officer

Truesdail Laboratories, inc.
REPORT

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Client: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Del Mar Analytical } \\
\text { 17461 Derian Ave., Suite } 100 \\
\text { Irvine, CA 92614 }
\end{array} \\
& \\
\text { Attention: } & \text { Michele Harper } \\
\text { Sample: } & \text { Liquid / 1 Sample } \\
\text { Project Name: } & \text { IOC2063 } \\
\text { P.O. Number: } & \text { IOC2063 } \\
\text { Method Number: } & 8315 \text { (Modified) } \\
\text { Investigation: } & \text { Hydrazines in Liquid }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\text { Page } 1 \text { of } 1
$$


MDL: Method Detection Limit, ug/L. PQL: Practical Quantitation Limit, ug/L
ND: Not Detected at or above the MDL value.
N/A: Not Applicable
ND: Not Detected at or above the MDL value.
N/A: Not Applicable
Note: Results based on detector \#1 (UV $=\mathbf{3 6 5 n m})$ data.
Analytical Results

MDL: Method Detection Limit, ug/L.


Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.
INDEPENDENT TESTING, FORENSIC SCIENCE, AND ENYIRONMENTAL ANALYSES


Quality Control/Quality Assurance Spikes Report

| Parameter | Spliked Conc. uq/L | Recovered Concentration |  |  | PercentRecovery (\%) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSI } \\ \text { LCSD } \\ \% D \end{gathered}$ | Flag | Control Limits |  | Spiked Conc. ugh | Recovered Concentration |  |  | PercentRecovery (\%) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSI } \\ & \text { MSD } \\ & \% D \end{aligned}$ | Flag | AccuracyControl Limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LCS | LCSD | MB | LCS | LCSD |  |  | \%D | \% Rec. |  | MS | MSD | Sample | MS | MSD |  |  | \% D | \% Rec. |
| Monomethyl Hydrazine | 50.0 | 45.8 | 47.0 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 94.0 | 2.52\% | PASS | 20 | 70-130 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 80.8 | 10.7\% | PASS | 20 | 0-150 |
| U-Dimethyl Hydrazine | 50.0 | 46.1 | 46.8 | 0.0 | 92.2 | 93.6 | 1.49\% | PASS | 20 | 70-130 | 50.0 | 44.5 | 41.1 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 82.1 | 7.94\% | PASS | 20 | $0-150$ |
| Hydrazine | 10.0 | 9.39 | 8.96 | 0.0 | 93.9 | 89.6 | 4.74\% | PASS | 20 | 70-130 | 10.0 | 7.90 | 7.65 | 0.0 | 79.0 | 76.5 | 3.24\% | PASS | 20 | 0-150 |

ICV: Initial Callbration Verification
OCS: Quality Control Standard
LCS: Laboratory Control Spike
MS: Matrix Spike
\%D: Percent Differ
\%D: Percent Difference
Flag: "Pass" If within Con
Flag: "Pass" If within Control Limits; otherwise "Fail"
Note: Results based on detector $1(U N=365 \mathrm{~mm})$ data.


## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC2063

| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261 -1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Manager: Michele Harper $\mathbf{l}$ |

```
RECEIVING LABORATORY:
Truesdail Laboratories-SUB
14201 Franklin Avenue
Tustin, CA"92680
Phone:(714) 730-6239
Fax: (714) 730-6462
```

INGEABORATORY:
14201 Franklin Avenue
Phone :(714) 730-6239
Fax: (714) 730-6462

Rec'd
$03 / 2105$ sTd

```
941100
```

SENDING LABORATORY:

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: (949) 261-1022
Fax: (949) 261-1228
Project Manager: Michele Harper

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: $\square$ Initials: $\qquad$ Analysis Expiration

## Comments

Instant Nofication
J flags, Sub Truesdail for Monomethylhydrazine

Sample ID: 10C2063-01 Water Sampled: 03/25/05 12:00
Hydrazine-OUT
Level 4 Data Package
03/28/05 12:00
04/22/05 12:00
 $-$

## Containers Supplied:

1 L Amber (IOC2063-01 AM)
1 L Amber (IOC2063-01AN)
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## For Sample Conditions See Form Attached



Truesdall Laboratories, Inc.

## Sample Integrity \& Analysis Discrepancy Form



Date Delivered: $33 / 18 / 05$ Time: $91 / 2$ By: eMail $\square$ Field Service Client

1. Was a Chain of Custody received and signed?
2. Does Customer require an acknowledgement of the COC? dyes ONo INA
aYes a no dNA
3. Are there any special requirements or notes on the COC?
4. If a letter was sent with the COC, does it match the COC?
5. Were all requested analyses understood and adoptable?
aYes aN diNA
aYes aNN ENA dYes ono DNA
6. Were samples received in a chilled condition? Temperature (if yes)? $Y^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$
7. Were samples received intact (i.e. broken bottles, leaks, air bubbles, etc..)?
8. Were sample custody seals intact?
9. Does the number of samples received agree with COC?
10. Did sample labels correspond with the client ID's?
11. Did sample labels indicate proper" preservation?
Preserved (if yes) by: DTruesdail Client
12. Did sample labels indicate proper" preservation
Preserved (if yes) by: QTruesdail Client
13. Were samples pH checked? $\mathrm{pH}=$ $\qquad$ arles a no antA
14. Were all analyses within holding time at time of receipt? If not, notify the Project
15. Have Project due dates beef check a il jested?

Tum Around Time (TAT): 1 RUSH

$\qquad$dYes ONo aNTAaYes ano IN/AaYes an UN/A dives ONo aNA dyes an DNA
15. Sample Matrix: Liquid Drinking Water sludge - Q Soil sWipe Paint Solid $\qquad$
16. Comments:
17. Sample Check-In completed by Truesdail Log-In/Receiving:


## Internal Chain of Custody Logbook



Storage Temparature: 40 CR



April 02, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 25967

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,

Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 29, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC2063". These samples were extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

Results qualified with an " A " are lower than the EPA Method 1613 Minimum Level, and above the lower calibration limit.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,
Maullue Never
Martha M. Mayer
Director of HRMS Services


Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

## Section I: Sample Inventory Report

Date Received: 3/29/2005

Alta Lab. ID
25967-001

Client Sample ID
1OC2063-01


## SECTION II

| Method Blank |  |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: Sample Size: | queous$1.000 \mathrm{~L}$ | QC Batch No.: <br> Date Extracted: | $\begin{aligned} & 6653 \\ & 30-\mathrm{Mar}-05 \end{aligned}$ |  | Lab Sample: 0 -MB001 <br> Date Analyzed DB-5: 31-Mar-05 |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | : NA |  |  |
| Analyte | Conc. (ug/L) | DL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | EMPC ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Qualifiers |  |  | Labeled Standard |  | \%R | LCL-UCL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Oualifiers |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | 0.000000554 |  |  | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 85.8 | 25-164 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | 0.000000438 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 89.3 | 25-181 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.000000693 |  |  |  | 13C-1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8-HxCDD | 78.7 | 32-141 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | 0.000000669 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 92.3 | 28-130 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | 0.000000673 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 77.2 | 23-140 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | 0.000000795 |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDD}$ | 50.0 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDD | ND | 0.00000232 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 91.1 | 24-169 |  |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | 0.000000436 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 89.9 | 24-185 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.000000695 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 96.8 | 21-178 |  |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | 0.000000592 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 77.8 | 26-152 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.000000264 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 87.0 | 26-123 |  |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.000000253 |  |  |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 84.8 | 28-136 |  |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | 0.000000263 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 80.9 | 29-147 |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | 0.000000408 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 72.1 | 28-143 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | 0.000000381 |  |  |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 76.9 | 26-138 |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | 0.000000359 |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDF}$ | 57.9 | 17-157 |  |
| OCDF | ND | 0.00000147 |  |  |  | 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 90.5 | 35-197 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  | notes |  |  |  |
| Total TCDD | ND | 0.000000554 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDD | ND | 0.000000438 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | 0.000000677 |  |  |  | ple specific estimated detection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | ND | 0.000000795 |  |  |  | nated maximum possible concentration. |  |  |  |
| Total TCDF | ND | 0.000000436 |  |  |  | ood detection limit. |  |  |  |
| Total PeCDF | ND | 0.000000642 |  |  |  | er control limit - upper control limit. |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDF | ND | 0.000000291 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | 0.000000450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| OPR Resuls |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: $\quad$ Aqueous |  | QC Batch No.: | 6653 | Lab Sample: $\quad 0$-OPR001 |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |
| Sample Size: $\quad 1.000 \mathrm{~L}$ |  | Date Extracted: | 30-Mar-05 |  | Date Analyzed DB-5: 31-Mar-05 |  |  |
| Analyte | Spike Conc. | Conc. (ng/mL) | OPR Limits | Labeled Standard |  | \%R | LCL-UCL |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10.0 | 10.9 | 6.7-15.8 | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 68.5 | 25-164 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50.0 | 53.3 | 35-71 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 68.2 | 25-181 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 52.0 | 35-82 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 88.5 | 32-141 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 53.5 | 38-67 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,6,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDD}$ | 101 | 28-130 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50.0 | 41.0 | 32-81 |  | 13C-1, 2, 3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 70.5 | 23-140 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50.0 | 52.7 | 35-70 |  | 13 C -OCDD | 38.0 | 17-157 |
| OCDD | 100 | 111 | 78-144 |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 75.2 | 24-169 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10.0 | 10.4 | 75-15.8 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 66.3 | 24-185 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 50.2 | 40-67 |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 72.3 | 21-178 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 50.4 | 34-80 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,4,7,8-\mathrm{HxCDF}$ | 88.8 | 26-152 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 49.9 | 36-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 97.3 | 26-123 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 50.1 | 42-65 |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 86.3 | 28-136 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 50.5 | 35-78 |  | 13C-1, 2, 3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 84.2 | 29-147 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50.0 | 49.3 | 39-65 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 69.1 | 28-143 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50.0 | 50.3 | 41-61 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 76.9 | 26-138 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50.0 | 48.9 | 39-69 |  | 13C-OCDF | 49.3 | 17-157 |
| OCDF | 100 | 99.5 | 63-170 | CRS 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD |  | 74.7 | 35-197 |
| Analyst: RAS |  |  |  |  | Approved By: William J. Lu | emburg 01 | r-2005 13:47 |



## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $99 \%$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy

## U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Okiahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC2063




## STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Attachment 10.B. 1

## SAMPLE LOG-IN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: 25967

comment yumplers initial fund on sample label.

# LABORATORY REPORT 

Prepared For: MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05
Issued: 04/13/05 17:34

## NELAP \#01108CA California ELAP\#1197 CSDLAC \#10117

The results listed within this Laboratory Report pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. The analyses contained in this report were performed in accordance with the applicable certifications as noted. All soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless otherwise noted in the report. This Laboratory Report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of Del Mar Analytical and its client. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical. The Chain(s) of Custody, 5 pages, are included and are an integral part of this report.
This entire report was reviewed and approved for release.

## CASE NARRATIVE

SAMPLE RECEIPT:
HOLDING TIMES:

PRESERVATION:
QA/QC CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:
SUBCONTRACTED:

Samples were received intact, at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, on ice and with chain of custody documentation.
All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times and/or in accordance with the Del Mar Analytical Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the report.
Samples requiring preservation were verified prior to sample analysis.
All analyses met method criteria, except as noted in the report with data qualifiers. The percent recovery for benzidine in the BS/BSD was below method acceptance limits. Benzidine is known to be a problematic compouind and according to the EPA, it can be subject to oxidative losses during solvent extraction and its chromatographic behavior is poor. All results reported for benzidine are potentially biased low and can be considered estimates only. Results for benzidine are reported with 'L2' qualifier. The ICAL \%RSD failed the acceptance limit for 2,4-Dinitrophenol. Instrument sensitivity was acceptable based upon the response for 2,4-Dinitrophenol at the low ICAL level. The CCV and BS/BSD met acceptance limits for the analyte. Affected samples were 'ND' for this analyte, without J-flag detection. Therefore, since acceptable sensitivity is represented by the instrument and the extraction procedure, the analyte was flagged with ' $\mathrm{N}-1$ ' and reported. The sample was then reanalyzed for 2,4-Dinitrophenol and the results are reported as an RE1. Also, there was a low BSD recovery for the original batch for Oil \& Grease and the lab re-extracted and re-analyzed the sample.
Results that fall between the MDL and RL are ' $J$ ' flagged.
Refer to the last page for specific subcontract laboratory information included in this report.

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

## LABORATORY ID

IOC2064-01
IOC2064-02

CLIENT ID
Outfall 011 Composite
Trip Blank

MATRIX
Water
Water

Reviewed By:


Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

Department: Extractions
Method: EPA 625
QC Batch: 5C28041

Date: 03/31/2005
Matrix: Water

Identification and Definition of Problem:
The percent recovery for benzidine in the LCS was below method acceptance limits.

Determination of the Cause of the Problem:
Benzidine is known to be a problematic compound. According to the EPA, it can be subject to oxidative losses during solvent extraction and its chromatographic behavior is poor.

## Corrective Action Taken:

All results reported for benzidine are potentially biased low and can be considered estimates only.

Quality Assurance Approval:


Date: 04/08/2005 03:42 PM

[^49]
## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

Received: 03/25/05

## TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

## Analyte

Method

|  | MDL | Reporting |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Batch | Limit | Limit |

## Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water)

 Reporting Units: mglTotal Recoverable Hydrocarbon

Sample Dilution Date
Result Factor Extracted
Resuit

Date
Data

| Date | Data |
| :---: | :---: |
| Analyzed | Qualifiers |

EPA 418.1 5C26002 0.3
$1.0 \quad \mathrm{ND} \quad 1 \quad 03 / 26 / 05 \quad 03 / 26 / 05$

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report Number: | lOC2064 | | Sampled: 03/25/05 |
| ---: |
| Received: 03/25/05 |

## EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date <br> Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 10C2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: mgl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) | EPA 8015B | 5C26001 | 0.082 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/26/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane (40-125\%) |  |  |  |  | 65\% |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (Outfall 01 <br> Reporting Units: mgh | omposite - Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) <br> Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) | EPA 8015 Mod . | 5C26026 | 0.050 | 0.10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & 102 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-02 (Trip Blan Reporting Units: mgl | Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRO (C4-C12) <br> Surrogate: 4-BFB (FID) (65-140\%) | EPA 8015 Mod. | 5C26026 | 0.050 | 0.10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & 88 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/27/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

# Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 <br> Report Number: 10 C 2064 <br> Sampled: 03/25/05 <br> Received: 03/25/05 

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) <br> Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | $5 \mathrm{C27003}$ | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | SC27003 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | $03 / 27105$ | 03/27/05 |  |
| cis 1,3 Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.22 | 20 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | , | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 105\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 94\% |  |  |  |  |

[^50]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

# PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624) 

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyze |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Bromoform | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27105 | 03/27/05 |
| Bromomethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.33 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chloroform | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.33 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Chloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.30 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Dibromochloromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.37 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.28 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.27 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.35 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| cis-1,3 Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | 5027003 | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | EPA 624 | $5 C 27003$ | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Ethylbenzene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.25 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Methylene chloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.48 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.24 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Tetrachloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.32 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Toluene | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 0.30 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Trichloroethene | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.34 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Vinyl chloride | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.26 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Xylenes, Total | EPA 624 | 5 C 27003 | 0.52 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | EPA 624 | 5C27003 | 1.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 1 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 105\% |  |  | 03/2705 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (80-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 93\% |  |  |  |

[^51]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br>  <br> Outfall 011 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 |  |

PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Outfall 011 |$\quad$| Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| :--- |
| Report Number: |
| IOC2064 |

Received: 03/25/05

PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Sample ID: 10C2064-02 (Trip Blank - Water) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Cyclohexane | EPA 624 (MOD.) | 5C27003 | N/A | 2.5 | ND | 1 | 03/27/05 | 03/27/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water)Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.10 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Acenaphthylene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.10 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Aniline | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 2.9 | 10 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.083 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzidine | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 2.4 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | L2 |
| Benzoic acid | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 3.7 | 20 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.038 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.14 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.050 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.053 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.21 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.072 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.084 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.11 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 1.1 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.34 | 5.0 | 0.70 | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | J |
| 4 Chloroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 020 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.34 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.056 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2 -Chlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Chrysene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.072 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.083 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Dibenzofuran | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.075 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.26 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.11 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.13 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.050 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.93 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.21 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.12 | 1.0 | 0.26 | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | J |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.31 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.081 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.38 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 2.7 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 | N-1 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.23 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.24 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.17 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.087 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dllution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont.Reporting Units: ugd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fluoranthene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.089 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Fluorene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.075 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.38 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 1.8 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.51 | 3.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.19 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Isophorone | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.28 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.20 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Naphthalene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.13 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.18 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.35 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.49 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Nitrobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.23 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 4Witrophenol | EPA 625 | $5 \mathrm{C28041}$. | 0.73 | 5.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | EPA 625 | $5 C 28041$ | 0.22 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.18 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.077 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.78 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Phenanthrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.071 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Phenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.14 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Pyrene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.059 | 0.50 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5 C 28041 | 0.075 | 2.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | EPA 625 | 5C28041 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.943 | 03/28/05 | 03/31/05 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol (30-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $63 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 (35-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 66\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | 87\% |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $67 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $83 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper <br> Project Manager



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/25/05 |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 | Received: 03/25/05 |

## TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont.Reporting Units: ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.20 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1221 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.15 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.25 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | EPA 608 | 5C28048 | 0.40 | 1.0 | ND | 0.952 | 03/28/05 | 03/30/05 |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl (45-120\%) |  |  |  |  | $45 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 |  |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
|  | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |  |

## METALS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reperting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barium | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.00014 | 0.0010 | 0.024 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Boron | EPA 200.7 | 5 C 25111 | 0.0074 | 0.050 | 0.095 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/27/05 |  |
| Iron | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.0032 | 0.010 | 0.43 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064
```

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METALS

|  |  |  | MDL | Reporting | Sample | Dilution Date | Date | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | Limit | Limit | Result | Factor Extracted | Analyzed Qualifiers |  |

Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont.
Reporting Units: ug/l

| Antimony | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.18 | 2.0 | 0.29 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.49 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Berylium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.037 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Cadmium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.20 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Chromium | ERA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | B, J |
| Cobalt | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.10 | 1.0 | 0.29 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Copper | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.49 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Lead | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.13 | 1.0 | 0.43 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Manganese | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 41 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Mercury | EPA 245.1 | 5C26033 | 0.063 | 0.20 | ND | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/26/05 |  |
| Nickel | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.15 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Selenium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.36 | 2.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Silver | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.089 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Thallium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.075 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Vanadium | EPA 200.8 | 5 C 25116 | 0.86 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |
| Zine | EPA 200.8 | 5C25116 | 3.1 | 20 | 13 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/28/05 | J |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

# Del Mar Analytical 

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 |  | Report Number: |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | IOC2064 |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting <br> Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution Factor | Date Extracted | Date <br> Analyzed | Data Qualifers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water)-cont.Reporting Units: mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia- N (Distilled) | EPA 350.2 | 5C28067 | 0.30 | 0.50 | ND | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | EPA 405.1 | 5C25093 | 0.59 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/30/05 | J |
| Chloride | EPA 300.0 | 5C25048 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 9.2 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Fluoride | EPA 300.0 | 5C25048 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 | J |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N | EPA 300.0 | 5C25048 | 0.072 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Residual Chlorine | EPA 330.5 | 5C25118 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Sulfate | EPA 300.0 | 5C25048 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 22 | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) | SM5540-C | 5 C 25096 | 0.044 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM2540C | 5C28078 | 10 | 10 | 140 | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Total Organic Carbon | EPA 415.1 | 5C28077 | 0.25 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03/28/05 |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | EPA 160.2 | 5C25117 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Project ID: $:$ 13267 (Study 1) <br>  Outfall 011 |  |  |  | Sampled: 03/25/05 <br> Received: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INORGANICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample 1D: IOC2064-01RE1 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil \& Grease | EPA 413.1 | 5C28069 | 0.94 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/28/05 | 03/28/05 |  |


| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 <br> Attention: Bronwyn Kelly | Report Number: |  | 13267 Outfall IOC206 | Study 1) | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { Sampled: } & 03 / 25 / 05 \\ \text { Received: } & 03 / 25 / 05\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INORGANICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample <br> Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| Sample ID: 1OC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: m//hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Settleable Solids | EPA 160.5 | 5C25105 | 0.10 | 0.10 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Outfall 011 |  |$\quad$| Sampled: 03/25/05 |
| ---: |
| Report Number: |
| IOC2064 |

$$
\text { Received: } 03 / 25 / 05
$$

INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dillution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: NTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity | EPA 180.1 | 5C26056 | 0.040 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 1 | 03/26/05 | 03/26/05 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 | Sampled: 03/25/05 <br> Report Number: <br> 1OC2064 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |

Received: 03/25/05

## INORGANICS

| Analyte | Method | Batch | MDL <br> Limit | Reporting Limit | Sample Result | Dilution <br> Factor | Date Extracted | Date Analyzed | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: IOC2064-01 (Outfall 011 Composite - Water) - cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reporting Units: ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium VI | EPA 218.6 | 5C25058 | 0.10 | 1.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Total Cyanide | EPA 335.2 | SC25119 | 2.2 | 5.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/25/05 |  |
| Perchlorate | EPA 314.0 | 5C25061 | 0.80 | 4.0 | ND | 1 | 03/25/05 | 03/26/05 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager


MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: IOC2064
Received: 03/25/05

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)



# Del Mar Analytical 

 9494 Chesapeake Dr., Suite 805, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 505-8596 FAX (858) 505-9689 9830 South 51st St. Sutite 8-120, Phoenix, AZ 85044 (480) 785-0043 FAX (480) 785-0851 2520 E. Sunset Rd. \#3, Las Veggs, NV 89120 (702) 798-3620 FAX (702) 798-3621MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 | Sampled: |
| Report Number: | 03/25/05 |  |
|  |  | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

Received: 03/25/05

## SHORT HOLD TIME DETAIL REPORT

|  | Hold Time <br> (in days) | Date/Time <br> Sampled | Date/Time <br> Received | Date/Time <br> Extracted | Date/Time <br> Analyzed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID: Outfall 011 Composite (IOC2064-01) - Water |  |  |  |  |  |

[^52]

## TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPA 418.1)

| Analyte Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C26002 Extracted: 03/26/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26002-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons ND | 1.0 | 0.31 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26002-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons 4.72 | 1.0 | 0.31 | mgl | 5.00 |  | 94 | 65-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26002-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons 4.84 | 1.0 | 0.31 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 5.00 |  | 97 | 65-120 | 3 | 20 |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2064
R N

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## MEIHOD BLAANKIOC DATA

## EXTRACTABLE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (CADHS/8015 Modified)

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C26001 Extracted: 03/26/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C26001-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C22) ND | 0.50 | 0.082 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C40) ND | 0.50 | 0.082 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane 0.123 |  |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.200 |  | 62 | 40-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C26001-BS1) M-NR1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C40) 0.348 | 0.50 | 0.082 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane 0.0990 |  |  | $m g / l$ | 0.200 |  | 50 | 40-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C26001-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFH (C13-C40) 0.332 | 0.50 | 0.082 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.775 |  | 43 |  | 5 | 25 | $J$ |
| Surrogate: $n$-Octacosane 0.0940 |  |  | $m g /$ | 0.200 |  | 47 | 40-125 |  |  | $J$ |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: |
| :--- | :---: |
| 13267 (Study 1)  <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200  <br> Outfall 011  <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 Report Number: <br> IOC2064  |  |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## VOLATILE FUEL HYDROCARBONS (EPA 5030/CADHS Mod. 8015)



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05
```


## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bateh: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bromoform | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bromomethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloroethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloroform | ND | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.37 | ugh | \% | $\cdots$ |  | - |  |  |  |
| 1,1 Dichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ |  |  |  | \% |  |  | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 | ugd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.24 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.32 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 2.0 | 0.26 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trichlorofuoromethane | ND | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 4.0 | 0.52 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113) | ND | 5.0 | 1.2 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.2 |  |  | ugh | 25.0 |  | 105 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.2 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 22.8 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 91 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LCS Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BS1)

| Benzene | 24.0 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ugh | 25.0 | 96 | 70-120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 23.4 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | 70-140 |
| Bromoform | 22.6 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 90 | 55-135 |
| Bromomethane | 25.8 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | 103 | 60-140 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 24.2 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 97 | 70-140 |
| Chlorobenzene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | 80-125 |
| Chloroethane | 24.1 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | 60-145 |
| Chloroform | 25.1 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ugh | 25.0 | 100 | 75-130 |
| Chloromethane | 25.4 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | 102 | 40-145 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 93 | 65-145 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 23.8 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 95 | 80-120 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | 80-120 |
| 1,4 Dichlorobenzene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.37 | ugA | 25.0 | 94 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 25.2 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugn | 25.0 | 101 | 70-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.3 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | 105 | 60-150 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 24.2 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 97 | 75-135 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 24.8 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | 99 | 70-130 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/l | 25.0 | 98 | 70-120 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.8 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ugh | 25.0 | 95 | 75-130 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ugh | 25.0 | 94 | 75-135 |
| Ethylbenzene | 24.2 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ugh | 25.0 | 97 | 80-120 |
| Methylene chloride | 25.3 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/ | 25.0 | 101 | 60-135 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | 93 | 60-135 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 23.4 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | 75-125 |
| Toluene | 23.8 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 25.0 | 95 | 75-120 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 24.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 98 | 75-140 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 23.4 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | 94 | 70-125 |
| Trichloroethene | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 96 | 80-120 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 25.9 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l | 25.0 | 104 | 65-145 |
| Vinyl chloride | 21.4 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | 86 | 50-130 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 | 106 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.3 |  |  | ug $n$ | 25.0 | 101 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Eromofluorobenzene | 24.8 |  |  | ugh | 25.0 | 99 | 80-120 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 1OC2063-01 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 22.4 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-120 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 22.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-140 |
| Bromoform | 23.6 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 55-140 |
| Bromomethane | 23.5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 50-145 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 22.0 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 70-145 |
| Chlorobenzene | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 80-125 |
| Chloroethane | 21.3 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 85 | 50-145 |
| Chloroform | 23.4 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/1 | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-135 |
| Chloromethane | 22.6 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 35-145 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 23.3 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 65-145 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 75-130 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 22.0 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 75-130 |
| 14-Dichlorobenzene | 22.4 | 2.0 | 0.37 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 80.120 |
| 1, Dichloroethane | 23.3 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugh | 250 | ND | 93 | 65-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 25.8 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 103 | 60-150 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 22.6 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 65-140 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 23.0 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 65-135 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 65-130 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.2 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 93 | 70-140 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-140 |
| Ethylbenzene | 21.8 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 87 | 70-130 |
| Methylene chloride | 24.4 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ugl | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 60-135 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25.4 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 102 | 60-145 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 21.2 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 85 | 70-130 |
| Toluene | 22.3 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 70-120 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 22.1 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 88 | 75-140 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.3 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 97 | 60-135 |
| Trichloroethene | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 70-125 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 23.4 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 55-145 |
| Vinyl chloride | 19.0 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 76 | 40-135 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 106 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.1 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.2 |  |  | ug $/$ | 25.0 |  | 97 | 80-120 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

```
                Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064 Received: 03/25/05
```


## MEIHOD BLANIOOC DATA

PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

|  |  |  | Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MSD1)

| Matix | C2003-MSD1 Source: 1OC2063-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 23.1 | 1.0 | 0.28 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 70-140 | 4 | 20 |
| Bromoform | 25.2 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 101 | 55-140 | 7 | 25 |
| Bromomethane | 23.9 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 50-145 | 2 | 25 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 23.0 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-145 | 4 | 25 |
| Chlorobenzene | 23.0 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 80-125 | 4 | 20 |
| Chloroethane | 22.3 | 5.0 | 0.33 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 50-145 | 5 | 25 |
| Chloroform | 24.0 | 2.0 | 0.33 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 70-135 | 3 | 20 |
| Chloromethane | 23.0 | 5.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 35-145 | 2 | 25 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 65-145 | 5 | 25 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 23.5 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 75-130 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 22.7 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 91 | 75-130 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 23.1 | 2.0 | 0.37 | uga | 25.0 | ND | 92 | $80-120$ | 3 | 20 |
| 1,-Dichloroethane | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ugn | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 65-135 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 26.6 | 0.50 | 0.28 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 60-150 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 23.4 | 5.0 | 0.32 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 94 | 65-140 | 3 | 20 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 23.7 | 2.0 | 0.27 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 95 | 65-135 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 24.1 | 2.0 | 0.35 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 65-130 | 3 | 20 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 23.9 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 70-140 | 3 | 20 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 98 | 70-140 | 3 | 25 |
| Ethylbenzene | 22.6 | 2.0 | 0.25 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 90 | 70-130 | 4 | 20 |
| Methylene chloride | 25.4 | 5.0 | 0.48 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 102 | 60-135 | 4 | 20 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 26.3 | 2.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 105 | 60-145 | 3 | 30 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 22.2 | 2.0 | 0.32 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 89 | 70-130 | 5 | 20 |
| Toluene | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 22.7 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 91 | 75-140 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 24.9 | 2.0 | 0.30 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 100 | 60-135 | 2 | 25 |
| Trichloroethene | 22.9 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 92 | 70-125 | 3 | 20 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 23.9 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 96 | 55-145 | 2 | 25 |
| Vinyl chloride | 19.2 | 0.50 | 0.26 | ug/l | 25.0 | ND | 77 | 40-135 | I | 30 |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.7 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 107 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.0 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.5 |  |  | $u g / l$ | 25.0 |  | 98 | 80-120 |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | ---: |
| Outfall 011 |  |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 |$\quad$| Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
| :--- |

Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS (EPA 624)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrolein | ND | 50 | 4.6 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 50 | 5.1 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether | ND | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.2 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 105 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.2 |  |  | ug $/$ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 22.8 |  |  | ug $/$ | 25.0 |  | 91 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether | 24.8 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 99 | 20-175 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 106 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.3 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 101 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.8 |  |  | ug/ | 25.0 |  | 99 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed; 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 1OC2063-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether | - 26.6 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ug/ | 25.0 | ND | 106 | 20:175 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 26.6 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 106 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.1 |  |  | ug/l | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.2 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 97 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: 1OC2063-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$-Chloroethyl vinyl ether | 27.1 | 5.0 | 1.3 | ugh | 25.0 | ND | 108 | 20-175 | 2 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofuoromethane | 26.7 |  |  | $u g /$ | 25.0 |  | 107 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 25.0 |  |  | ugh | 25.0 |  | 100 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 24.5 |  |  | $u g / 1$ | 25.0 |  | 98 | 80-120 |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## PURGEABLES BY GC/MS, TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Data <br> Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C27003 Extracted: 03/27/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/27/2005 (5C27003-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cyclohexane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethane | ND | 2.5 | N/A | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## MITHHOD BLANHOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Blank Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BLK1)

| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ |
| Aniline | ND | 10 | 2.9 | ug/ |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/1 |
| Benzidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ |
| Benzoic acid | ND | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | ND | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ND | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | ND | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/ |
| Benzyl alcohol | ND | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-ctloroethoxy)methane | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ugh |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.084 | ugd |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 0.760 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ug/ |
| 4 Chloroaniline | ND | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ug/1 |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.34 | ugh |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | ND | 0.50 | 0.056 | ug/ |
| 2-Chlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ |
| Dibenz (a,h)anthracene | ND | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ |
| Dibenzofuran | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ |
| Di-a-butyl phthalate | 0.300 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.13 | ug/ |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/ |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | ND | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ |
| Diethyl phthalate | 0.220 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/l |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.31 | ug/ |
| Dimethyl phthalate | ND | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/l |

[^53]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## MEILIOD BLANKKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Oualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Blank Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ |  |  | $N-1$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/ |  |  | N-1 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | ND | 5.0 | 0.24 | ugh |  |  |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | ND | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 0.089 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 2.0 | 0.38 | ugl |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | ND | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Hexachloroethane | ND | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug/1 |  |  |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ND | 2.0 | 0.19 | uga |  |  |  |
| Is ophorone | ND | 1.0 | 0.059 | ugh |  |  |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 |  |  |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/1 |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | ND | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| N -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | ND | 1.0 | 0.077 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.78 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Phenantirene | ND | 0.50 | 0.071 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Phenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.14 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.50 | 0.059 | ugh |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | ND | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/l |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.6 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 | 68 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

## Michele Harper

Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANIEQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.7 |  |  | ug/l | 20.0 |  | 68 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.5 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 82 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.94 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 69 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.28 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 73 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 8.40 |  |  | ug $/$ | 10.0 |  | 84 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 04/11/2005 (5C28041-BLK2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | ND | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 12.9 |  |  | ug/ | 20.0 |  | 64 | 30-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.6 |  |  | ug/l | 20.0 |  | 68 | 35-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 17.1 |  |  | ug/l | 20.0 |  | 86 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.98 |  |  | $u g /$ | 10.0 |  | 70 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.68 |  |  | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate Terphenyl-d14 | 8.10 |  |  | $u g h$ | 10.0 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| Acenaphthene | 8.28 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 83 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthylene | 8.44 | 0.50 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 84 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Aniline | 7.32 | 10 | 2.9 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 73 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Anthracene | 8.48 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 85 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Beazidine | ND | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/ | 10.0 |  |  | 20-160 |  |  | $L 2$ |
| Benzoic acid | 6.74 | 20 | 3.7 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 67 | 35-120 |  |  | $J$ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 9.52 | 5.0 | 0.038 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 95 | 60-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 8.70 | 2.0 | 0.14 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 87 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 9.32 | 2.0 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 93 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 8.16 | 5.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 82 | 40-125 |  |  |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 9.24 | 0.50 | 0.053 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 92 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.62 | 5.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 76 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 7.98 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 80 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether | 6.98 | 0.50 | 0.084 | ug/l | 10.0 |  | 70 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 7.26 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 73 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 9.16 | 5.0 | 1.1 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 92 | 60-130 |  |  |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 8.10 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 81 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 9.66 | 5.0 | 0.34 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 97 | 55-125 |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 6.60 | 2.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 66 | 50-120 |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 8.52 | 0.50 | 0.059 | ugl | 10.0 |  | 85 | 55-120 |  |  |  |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine Michele Harper Project Manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Del Mar Analytical 

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064
Sampled: 03/25/05

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | ---: |
| Outfall 011 |  |$\quad$| Sampled: 03/25/05 |
| ---: |
| Report Number: |
| IOC2064 |

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05

| LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M-NR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 7.18 | 2.0 | 0.34 | ug/ | 10.0 | 72 | 60-120 |  |
| 4 Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 8.88 | 0.50 | 0.056 | ugh | 10.0 | 89 | 55-120 |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 7.12 | 1.0 | 0.12 | ugh | 10.0 | 71 | 45-120 |  |
| Chrysene | 9.14 | 0.50 | 0.072 | ugh | 10.0 | 91 | 60-120 |  |
| Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ )anthracene | 7.06 | 0.50 | 0.083 | ug/ | 10.0 | 71 | 45-130 |  |
| Dibenzofuran | 8.18 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 82 | 60-120 |  |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 9.02 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 10.0 | 90 | 55-125 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 6.26 | 0.50 | 0.11 | ug/ | 10.0 | 63 | 35-120 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 6.26 | 0.50 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 63 | 35-120 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 6.18 | 0.50 | 0.050 | ug/ | 10.0 | 62 | 35-120 |  |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 6.98 | 5.0 | 0.93 | ug/ | 10.0 | 70 | 45-130 |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 7.68 | 2.0 | 0.21 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 55.120 |  |
| Diethyl phthalate | 818 | 10 | 0.12 | $\mathrm{ug} A$ | 10. | 82 | 55120 |  |
| 2,4-Pimethylphenol | 528 | 2.0 | 0.31 | ugh | 10.0 | 53 | 30-120 |  |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 8.76 | 0.50 | 0.081 | ug/ | 10.0 | 88 | 60-120 |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 9.40 | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 94 | 50-120 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.70 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ugh | 10.0 | 87 | 40-120 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 8.00 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ugl | 10.0 | 80 | $60-120$ |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 8.28 | 5.0 | 0.24 | ugh | 10.0 | 83 | 60-120 |  |
| Di-i-octyl phthalate | 9.46 | 5.0 | 0.17 | ug/l | 10.0 | 95 | 60-130 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 8.78 | 1.0 | 0.087 | ughl | 10.0 | 88 | 60-120 |  |
| Fluoranthene | 9.26 | 0.50 | 0.089 | ugl | 10.0 | 93 | 55-120 |  |
| Fluorene | 9.18 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ugh | 10.0 | 92 | 60-120 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 8.42 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 84 | 50-120 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 6.40 | 2.0 | 0.38 | ugh | 10.0 | 64 | 40-120 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 7.30 | 5.0 | 1.8 | ugh | 10.0 | 73 | 15-120 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | 6.26 | 3.0 | 0.51 | $\mathrm{ug} /$ | 10.0 | 63 | 35-120 |  |
| Indeno( $1,2,3$-cd)pyrene | 7.72 | 2.0 | 0.19 | ug/ | 10.0 | 77 | 40-130 |  |
| Isophorone | 7.42 | 1.0 | 0.059 | ug/ | 10.0 | 74 | 50-120 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 7.88 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 50-120 |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | 6.98 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.12 | 5.0 | 0.20 | ugh | 10.0 | 71 | 45-120 |  |
| Naphthalene | 7.36 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 74 | 50-120 |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 8.62 | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 86 | 60-120 |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 7.82 | 5.0 | 0.35 | ug/ | 10.0 | 78 | 55-120 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> Michele Harper

Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## MITHOD BIANLIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)



LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BS1)

| 4-Nitroaniline | 8.16 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nitrobenzene | 6.90 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.58 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 7.60 |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 7.40 |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 7.22 |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 7.98 |
| Pentachlorophenol | 8.86 |
| Phenanthrene | 8.56 |
| Phenol | 8.12 |
| Pyrene | 9.44 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 6.52 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 8.30 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 8.76 |
| Surrogate: 2 2-Fluorophenol | 13.3 |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.1 |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 16.0 |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 6.70 |
| Surrogate: 2 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.58 |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 8.10 |

LCS Analyzed: 04/11/2005 (5C28041-BS2)

| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.72 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Surrogate: 2-Fhuorophenol | 13.0 |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 13.4 |
| Surrogate: $2,4,6$-Tribromophenol | 16.7 |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-ds | 6.72 |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.14 |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 7.92 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)<br>Outfall 011<br>Report Number: IOC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METIOO BLANKIOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BSD1)

| Acenaphthene | 8.72 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthylene | 8.94 |
| Aniline | 7.42 |
| Anthracene | 9.00 |
| Benzidine | ND |
| Benzoic acid | 7.72 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 10.0 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 9.12 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 9.82 |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 8.40 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 9.86 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 8.10 |
| Bis (2-chloroethoxy)methane | 8.56 |
| Bis (2-chloroethyl)ether | 7.40 |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether | 7.66 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate | 9.30 |
| 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether | 8.54 |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | 9.60 |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 7.20 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 8.94 |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 7.48 |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether | 9.62 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 7.62 |
| Chrysene | 9.44 |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 8.20 |
| Dibenzofuran | 8.70 |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | 9.38 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 6.86 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 6.68 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 6.62 |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 8.16 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 7.94 |
| Diethyl phthalate | 8.76 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 5.42 |
| Dimethyl phthalate | 9.26 |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { Project ID: } & \begin{array}{l}13267 \text { (Study 1) } \\ \\ \text { Outfall 011 }\end{array} & \text { Sampled: 03/25/05 } \\ \text { Report Number: } & \text { IOC2064 } & \text { Received: 03/25/05 }\end{array}$

## NHMHOD BHANKOC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

Analyte
Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05

| LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/31/2005 | -BSD1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 9.54 | 5.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 95 | 50-120 | 1 | 25 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.94 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 89 | 40-120 | 3 | 25 | $N-1$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 8.46 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 85 | 60-120 | 6 | 20 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 8.62 | 5.0 | 0.24 | ug/ | 10.0 | 86 | 60-120 | 4 | 20 |  |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | 10.0 | 5.0 | 0.17 | ugh | 10.0 | 100 | 60-130 | 6 | 20 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine/Azobenzene | 9.68 | 1.0 | 0.087 | ug/ | 10.0 | 97 | 60-120 | 10 | 25 |  |
| Fluoranthene | 9.68 | 0.50 | 0.089 | ug/ | 10.0 | 97 | 55-120 | 4 | 20 |  |
| Fluorene | 9.80 | 0.50 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 98 | 60-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 8.88 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ugl | 10.0 | 89 | 50-120 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 6.94 | 2.0 | 0.38 | ug/ | 10.0 | 69 | 40-120 | 8 | 25 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 8.62 | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/ | 10.0 | 86 | 15-120 | 17 | 30 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | 6.78 | 3.0 | 0.51 | ug! | 10.0 | 68 | 35-120 | 8 | 25 |  |
| Indeno( $1,2,3, \mathrm{~cd}$ ) pyrene | 856 | 2.0 | 0.19 | ugl | 10.0 | 86 | 40.130 | 10 | 25 |  |
| Isophorone | 7.52 | 1.0 | 0059 | ugh | 10.0 | 75 | 50-120. | 1. | 20 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 8.46 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 85 | 50-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| 2-Methylphenol | 7.30 | 2.0 | 0.28 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 45-120 | 4 | 20 |  |
| 4-Methylphenol | 7.48 | 5.0 | 0.20 | ug/ | 10.0 | 75 | 45-120 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Naphthalene | 7.94 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 50-120 | 8 | 20 |  |
| 2-Nitroaniline 3-Nitroaniline | 9.28 | 5.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 10.0 | 93 | 60-120 | 7 | 20 |  |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 8.46 | 5.0 | 0.35 | ugA | 10.0 | 85 | 55-120 | 8 | 25 |  |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 8.60 | 5.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 | 86 | 50-125 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Nitrobenzene | 7.28 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 73 | 50-120 | 5 | 25 |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 7.92 | 2.0 | 0.23 | ug/ | 10.0 | 79 | 55-120 | 4 | 25 |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 8.70 | 5.0 | 0.73 | ug/l | 10.0 | 87 | 45-120 | 13 | 25 |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 7.56 | 2.0 | 0.22 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 76 | 40-120 | 2 | 20 |  |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 7.68 8.36 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/1 | 10.0 | 77 | 45-120 | 6 | 20 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | 9.04 | 1.0 2.0 | 0.077 | ug/ | 10.0 | 84 | 55-120 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Phenanthrene | 9.06 | 0.50 | 0.78 | ug/ | 10.0 | 90 | 50-120 | 2 | 25 |  |
| Phenol | 8.62 | 1.0 |  | ugh | 10.0 | 91 | 55-120 | 6 | 20 |  |
| Pyrene | 9.74 | 1.0 0.50 | 0.14 0.059 | ug/ ug/l | 10.0 10.0 | 86 | 45-120 | 6 | 25 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 7.02 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 | 7 | 25 |  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 8.36 | 2.0 | 0.075 | ug/ | 10.0 | 84 | 60-120 | 1 | 20 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 9.06 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 10.0 | 91 | 60-120 | 3 | 20 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.5 |  |  | $u g /$ | 20.0 | 68 | 30-120 |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2064
Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ACID \& BASE/NEUTRALS BY GC/MS (EPA 625)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28041 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28041-BSD1)
Surrogate: Phenol-d6 13.7
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 16.7
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 $\quad 7.00$
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl 7.96
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 8.22
LCS Dup Analyzed: 04/11/2005 (5C28041-BSD2)

| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 8.86 | 5.0 | 2.7 | ug/ | 10.0 | 89 | 40-120 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol | 13.2 |  |  | $u \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 20.0 | 66 | 30-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Phenol-d6 | 14.3 |  |  | ugh | 20.0 | 72 | 35-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 17.2 |  |  | ugh | 20.0 | 86 | 45-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5 | 7.02 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 | 70 | 45-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 7.52 |  |  | ug/l | 10.0 | 75 | 45-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Terphenild 14 | 7.66 |  |  | ugh | 10.0 | 77 | 45-120 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

## Michele Harper

Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)


bel Mar Analytical, Irvine
fichele Harper
roject Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES (EPA 608)

Analyte
Batch: 5C28048 Extracted: 03/28/05

Result

| Reporting |  |  | Spike | Source | \%REC |  | RPD | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limit | MDL | Units | Level | Result | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Limit | Qualifiers |


| Endrin | 0.420 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.382 |
| Endrin ketone | 0.402 |
| Heptachlor | 0.371 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.388 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.399 |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.337 |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.372 |

LCS Dup Analyzed: 03/29/2005 (5C28048-BSD1)

| Aldrin | 0.291 |
| :--- | ---: |
| alpha-BHC | 0.322 |
| beta-BHC | 0.345 |
| delta-BHC | 0.352 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.328 |
| 4,4'-DDD | 0.397 |
| 4,4-DDE | 0.378 |
| 4,4--DDT | 0.531 |
| Dieldrin | 0.368 |
| Endosulfan I | 0.351 |
| Endosulfan II | 0.368 |
| Endosulfan sulfate | 0.373 |
| Endrin | 0.383 |
| Endrin aldehyde | 0.369 |
| Endrin ketone | 0.377 |
| Heptachlor | 0.320 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.349 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.375 |
| Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 0.289 |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.344 |

[^54]MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: |  | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Outfall 011 |  |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 | Sampled: $03 / 25 / 05$ |
|  |  | Received: $03 / 25 / 05$ |

## METHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## TOTAL PCBS (EPA 608)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28048 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/29/2005-03/30/2005 (5C28048-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | ND | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1221 | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1232 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ugh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1242 | ND | 1.0 | 0.15 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1248 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1254 | ND | 1.0 | 0.25 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | ND | 1.0 | 0.40 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.407 |  |  | $u g h$ | 0.500 |  | 81 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/31/2005 (5C28048-BS2) M-NR1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | 6.06 | 2.0 | 0.40 | ug/ | 8.00 |  | 76 | 50-115 |  |  | M-NRI |
| Aroclor 1260 | 5.96 | 2.0 | 0.80 | ug/l | 8.00 |  | 74 | 55-115 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.769 | $\cdots$ |  | $u g /$ | 1.00 |  | 77 | 45-120 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed, 03/30/2005 (5C28048-BSD2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aroclor 1016 | 3.08 | 1.0 | 0.20 | ug/l | 4.00 |  | 77 | 50-115 | 65 |  |  |
| Aroclor 1260 | 3.30 | 1.0 | 0.40 | ug/ | 4.00 |  | 82 | 55-115 | 57 | 25 | R-7 $R-7$ |
| Surrogate: Decachlorobiphenyl | 0.431 |  |  | ug $/$ | 0.500 |  | 86 | 45-120 |  | 2 | R-7 |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## METALS

|  | Result | Reportin Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C25111 Extracted; 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boron | ND | 0.050 | 0.0074 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boron | 0.450 | 0.050 | 0.0074 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 |  | 90 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-MS1) Source: 10C1861-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boron | 0.612 | 0.050 | 0.0074 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 | 0.13 | 96 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C25111-MSD1) Source: 10C1861-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boron | 0.642 | 0.050 | 0.0074 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.500 | 0.13 | 102 | 70-130 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C25116 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C25116-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | ND | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barium | ND | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | mg/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium | ND | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium | 0.507 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cobalt | ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Copper | ND | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron | 0.00735 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  | $J$ |
| Manganese | ND | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nickel | ND | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selenium | ND | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silver | ND | 1.0 | 0.089 | $u g / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vanadium | ND | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zinc | ND | 20 | 3.1 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Aichele Harper
roject Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| ---: | ---: |
| Outfall 011 |  |
| Report Number: | IOC2064 |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS

Analyte
Batch: 5C25116 Extracted: 03/25/05

| Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

LCS Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C25116-BS1)

| Antimony | 80.9 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 101 | 85-115 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | 84.0 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 105 | 85-115 |  |
| Barium | 0.0810 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0800 |  | 101 | $85-115$ |  |
| Beryllium | 82.8 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 104 | 85-115 |  |
| Cadmium | 78.6 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |
| Chromium | 79.4 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |
| Cobalt | 78.3 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |
| Copper | 75.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 94 | 85-115 |  |
| Iron | 0.796 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.800 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |
| Lead | 88.6 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 111 | 85-115 |  |
| Manganese | 80.3 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 100 | 85-115 |  |
| Nickel | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 98 | 85-115 |  |
| Selenium | 80.6 | 20 | 0.36 | ugh | 800 |  | 101 | 85-115 |  |
| Silyer | 87.8 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 110 | 85-115 |  |
| Thallium | 79.3 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |
| Vanadium | 79.1 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/l | 80.0 |  | 99 | 85-115 |  |
| Zine | 75.9 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 |  | 95 | 85-115 |  |
| Matrix Spi | 16-MS |  |  |  |  | ce: IOC | 062-01 |  |  |
| Antimony | 83.2 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 104 | 70-130 |  |
| Arsenic | 85.1 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.2 | 105 | 70-130 |  |
| Barium | 0.121 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | mg/ | 0.0800 | 0.036 | 106 | 70-130 |  |
| Berylium | 85.1 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/l | 80.0 | ND | 106 | 70-130 |  |
| Cadmium | 79.5 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.072 | 99 | 70-130 |  |
| Chromium | 81.2 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/l | 80.0 | 2.2 | 99 | 70-130 |  |
| Cobalt | 79.4 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.58 | 99 | 70-130 |  |
| Copper | 77.2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 93 | 70-130 |  |
| Iron | 1.44 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | mg/l | 0.800 | 0.67 | 96 | 70-130 |  |
| Lead | 86.8 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.55 | 108 | 70-130 |  |
| Manganese | 208 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/ | 80.0 | 100 | 135 | 70-130 | MI |
| Nickel | 79.1 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ugh | 80.0 | 2.8 | 95 | 70-130 | M |
| Selenium | 80.4 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ug/ | 80.0 | ND | 100 | 70-130 |  |
| Silver | 85.1 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ug/l | 80.0 | 0.10 | 106 | 70-130 |  |
| Thallium | 81.9 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.15 | 102 | 70-130 |  |
| Vanadium | 81.3 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/l | 80.0 | 1.5 | 100 | 70-130 |  |
| Zinc | 84.8 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/l | 80.0 | 14 | 88 | 70-130 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

## Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)

Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANK/QC DATA

## METALS



Batch: 5C25116 Extracted: 03/25/05
Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C25116-MSD1)

| Matrx | C251 |  | . 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antimony | 81.5 | 2.0 | 0.18 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.29 | 102 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Arsenic | 84.9 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.2 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Barium | 0.119 | 0.0010 | 0.00014 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.0800 | 0.036 | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Beryllium | 81.9 | 0.50 | 0.037 | ug/l | 80.0 | ND | 102 | 70-130 | 4 | 20 |
| Cadmium | 78.0 | 1.0 | 0.015 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.072 | 97 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Chromium | 79.8 | 2.0 | 0.26 | ug/ | 80.0 | 2.2 | 97 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Cobalt | 78.3 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/1 | 80.0 | 0.58 | 97 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Copper | 75.6 | 2.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 80.0 | 3.0 | 91 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Iron | 1.40 | 0.010 | 0.0032 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 0.800 | 0.67 | 91 | 70-130 | 3 | 20 |
| Lead | 87.0 | 1.0 | 0.13 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.55 | 108 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Manganese | 203 | 1.0 | 0.44 | ug/ | 80.0 | 100 | 129 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |
| Nickel | 78.1 | 2.0 | 0.15 | ug/ | 80.0 | 2.8 | 94 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Selenium | 79.7 | 2.0 | 0.36 | ugh | 80.0 | ND | 100 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Silver | 85.1 | 1.0 | 0.089 | ugh | 80.0 | 0.10 | 106 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Thallium | 80.9 | 1.0 | 0.075 | ug/ | 80.0 | 0.15 | 101 | 70-130 | 1 | 20 |
| Vanadium | 81.2 | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/ | 80.0 | 1.5 | 100 | 70-130 | 0 | 20 |
| Zinc | 83.4 | 20 | 3.1 | ug/ | 80.0 | 14 | 87 | 70-130 | 2 | 20 |

## Batch: 5C26033 Extracted: 03/26/05

Blank Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26033-BLK1)


## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

## MWH-Pasadena/Boeing

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## METALS

| Analyte | Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C26033 Extracted: 03/26/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/26/2005 (5C26033-MSD1)
Source: 1OC2062-01

| Mercury | 7.61 | 0.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

0.063 ugh $8.00 \quad$ ND $\quad 95 \quad 70-130 \quad 1$

20

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: 10 C 2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C25048 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25048-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride ND | 0.50 | 0.26 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flueride ND | 0.50 | 0.10 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate/Nitrite-N ND | 0.11 | 0.072 | mg 月 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulfate ND | 0.50 | 0.18 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25048-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chloride 4.97 | 0.50 | 0.26 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 99 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Fluoride 4.81 | 0.50 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 |  | 96 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Sulfate 10.3 | 0.50 | 0.18 | $\mathrm{mg} /$ | 10.0 |  | 103 |  |  |  | M-3 |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25048-MS1) | Source: 10C2038-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fluoride 5.70 | 0.50 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 5.00 | 0.88 | 96 | 80-120 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25048-MSD1) |  |  |  | Source: 10C2038-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fluoride $\%$, 570 | 0.50 | 0.10 | mg/ | 5.00 | 0.88 | 96 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C25058 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25058-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium VI ND | 1.0 | 0.10 | ugl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25058-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium VI 52.4 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 50.0 |  | 105 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25058-MS1) |  |  |  | Source: IOC2023-03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chromium VI 45.3 | 1.0 | 0.10 | ug/ | 50.0 | ND | 91 | 90-110 |  |  |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKIQCDATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: 1OC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## MIELIOL DEANKVOC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C25096 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25096-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) ND | 0.10 | 0.044 | mg/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25096-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) 0.266 | 0.10 | 0.044 | mg/ | 0.250 |  | 106 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25096-MS1) Source: IOC1920-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) 0.245 | 0.10 | 0.044 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.250 | ND | 98 | 50-125 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: $03 / 25 / 2005$ (5C25096-MSD1) Source: 10 Cl (1920-01Surfactants (MBAS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surfactants (MBAS) 0.260 | 0.10 | 0.044 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 0.250 | ND | 104 | 50-125 | 6 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C25117 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25117-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids $\quad$ ND | 10 | 10 | mg/ |  | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25117-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids 949 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 95 | 85-115 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25117-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | $\text { e: } 10 C 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C25118 Extracted: 03/25/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/25/2005 (5C25118-DUP1) |  |  |  | Source: 10C2063-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residual Chlorine ND | 0.10 | 0.10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  | ND |  |  |  | 20 |  |

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1) Outfall 011
Report Number: IOC2064

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKOC DATA

## INORGANICS



## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

| MWH-Pasadena/Boeing | Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 | Outfall 011 |  |
| Pasadena, CA 91101 | Report Number: | IOC2064 |
| Attention: Bronwyn Kelly |  |  |

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## METHOD BLANKGC DATA

## INORGANICS



MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011
Report Number: $10 C 2064$

Sampled: 03/25/05
Received: 03/25/05

## MEIHOD BIEANKIQC DATA

## INORGANICS

| Analyte Result | Reporting <br> Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: 5C28077 Extracted; 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28077-MSD1) |  |  |  | Source: 1OC2045-02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Organic Carbon 10.1 | 1.0 | 0.25 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 5.00 | 4.8 | 106 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |  |
| Batch: 5C28078 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28078-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids ND | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28078-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 956 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 1000 |  | 96 | 90-110 |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28078-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | e: IOC1 | 740-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids 288 | 10 | 10 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  | 280 |  |  | 3 | 10 |  |
| Batch: 5C28081 Extracted: 03/28/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Analyzed: 03/28/2005 (5C28081-DUP1) |  |  |  | Sour | e: 10C1 | 740-01 |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Conductance 507 | 1.0 | 1.0 | umhos/cm |  | 500 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

Michele Harper
Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: 13267 (Study 1)
Outfall 011 Sampled: 03/25/05
Report Number: 10 C 2064 Received: 03/25/05

## MEIHOD BLANKIQC DATA

## 1,4-DIOXANE BY GC/MS (EPA 5030B/8260B)

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | MDL | Units | Spike <br> Level | Source <br> Result | \%REC | \%REC <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit | Data Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch: P5D0112 Extracted; 04/01/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blank Analyzed: 04/01/2005 (P5D0112-BLK1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | ND | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.18 |  |  | ug/l | 1.00 |  | 118 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Analyzed: 04/01/2005 (P5D0112-BS1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 9.20 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 92 | 70-130 |  |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.16 |  |  | ug $/$ | 1.00 |  | 116 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| LCS Dup Analyzed: 04/01/2005 (P5D0112-BSD1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 9.55 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/ | 10.0 |  | 96 | 70-130 | 4 | 20 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.17 |  |  | $u g l$ | 1.00 |  | 117 | 80-125 |  |  |  |
| Matrix Spike Analyzed: 04/01/2005 (P5D0112-MS1) |  |  |  |  | Source: POC0730-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 12.6 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ugh | 10.0 | 3.4 | 92 | 70-150 |  |  |  |
| Surrogat Dibromofuoromethane | 122 |  |  | ugh | 1.00 |  | 122 | $80-125$ |  |  | \% |
| Matrix Spike Dup Analyzed: 04/01/2005 (P5D0112-MSD1) |  |  |  |  | Source: POC0730-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 12.9 | 1.0 | 0.49 | ug/l | 10.0 | 3.4 | 95 | 70-150 | 2 | 25 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 1.18 |  |  | ug/ | 1.00 |  | 118 | 80-125 |  |  |  |

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) <br> Outfall 011 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Report Number: |  |
| IOC2064 |  |$\quad$| Sampled: 03/25/05 |
| ---: |
| Received: 03/25/05 |

Received: 03/25/05

## DATA QUALIFIERS AND DEFINITIONS

B Analyte was detected in the associated Method Blank.
J Estimated value. Analyte detected at a level less than the Reporting Limit (RL) and greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The user of this data should be aware that this data is of unknown quality.
L2 Laboratory Control Sample recovery was below method control limits.
M1 The MS and/or MSD were above the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference. See Blank Spike (LCS).
M2 The MS and/or MSD were below the acceptance limits due to sample matrix interference. See Blank Spike (LCS).
M-3 Results exceeded the linear range in the MS/MSD and therefore are not available for reporting. The batch was accepted based on acceptable recovery in the Blank Spike (LCS).
M-NR1 There was no MS/MSD analyzed with this batch due to insufficient sample volume. See Blank Spike/Blank Spike
Duplicate.
N-1 See case narrative.
R-7 LFB/LFBD RPD exceeded the method control limit. Recovery met acceptance criteria.
ZX Due to sample matrix effects, the surrogate recovery was outside the acceptance limits.
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit or MDL, if MDL is specified.
RPD Relative Percent Difference

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

## For TICs:

All identifications are tentative and concentrations are estimates based upon spectral comparison to the EPANIH library.

## For 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine:

The result for 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine is based upon the reading of its breakdown product, Azobenzene.

## For GRO (C4-C12):

GRO (C4-C12) is quantitated against a gasoline standard. Quantitation begins immediately following the methanol peak.

## For Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) :

Unless otherwise noted, Extractable Fuel Hydrocarbons (EFH, DRO, ORO) are quantitated against a Diesel Fuel Standard.

Del Mar Analytical, Irvine<br>Michele Harper<br>Project Manager

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing<br>300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

| Project ID: | 13267 (Study 1) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outfall 011 |  |
| Report Number: |  |
| IOC2064 |  |

Received: 03/25/05

## Certification Summary

## Del Mar Analytical, Irvine

| Method | Matrix | Nelac | Callfornia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPA 120.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 160.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 180.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.7 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 200.8 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 218.6 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 245.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 300.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 314.0 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 330.5 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 335.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 350.2 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 405.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 413.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 415.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 418.1 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 608. | Water. | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| EPA 624 (M0D.) | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 624 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 625 | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 8015 Mod. | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| EPA 8015B | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM2540C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| SM5540-C | Water | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Nevada and NELAP provide analyte specific accreditations. Analyte specific information for Del Mar Analytical may be obtained by contacting the laboratory or visiting our website at www.dmalabs.com.

## Subcontracted Laboratories

Alta Analytical California Cert \#1640
1104 Windfield Way - El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
Analysis Performed: 1613 -Dioxin-HR
Samples: $10 C 2064-01$
Analysis Performed: EDD + Level 4
Samples: $10 C 2064-01$
Aquatic Testing Laboratories-SUB California Cert \#1775
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107 - Ventura, CA 93003
Analysis Performed: Bioassay-7 dy Chrnic
Samples: IOC2064-01
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
Michele Harper
Project Manager


| Client Name/Address: <br> MWH-Pasadena <br> 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 <br> Pasadena, CA 91101 |  |  |  | Project: <br> Boeing-SSFL NPDES <br> Outfall 011-13267 <br> Perimeter Pond <br> Flow Weight Composite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ANALYSIS REQUIRED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 281pm (z'OSE) mi ' N -equounv |  |  | Field readings: $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Temp}=59,7 \\ & \mathrm{pH}=6.7 \end{aligned}$ <br> Comments *Continued Analyale required on Page 2 of 2 |
| Project M <br> Sampler: | nager: | romwyn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Phone Number: (626) 568-6691 Fax Number: (626) 568-6515 |  |
| Sample Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { Matrix } \end{aligned}$ | Container Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kof } \\ \text { Cont. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sampling Deterime | Preacrivative |
| Outinll 011 | $w$ | 16 Poly | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 32700 \\ 2.00 \end{gathered}$ | None | X | X | X | X | X | X | $x$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tolal Flow (gam)=3y7rix } \\ & \text { Fiow (gpm)= } / / / \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Outtall 011 | W | 16 Poly | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | None | $x$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | Total Fow (gan) -374125 Flow ( (gpm) 10 , |
| Outfall 011 | w | 16 Poly | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & x=10 \\ & x=10 \end{aligned}$ | None | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Outsilil 011 | w | 16 Poly | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 460 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | None | X | X | x | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Flow (gati) }=5.3 \geqslant 8 \\ & \text { Fiow (gpm) } N 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Outfall 011 | W | IG Poly | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 286 \\ 1: 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | None | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Flow (gati) } 3 y / 3, \\ & \text { Fiow (gpm) } / 3 y \end{aligned}$ |
| Outfoll 011 | W | 16 Poly | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 25105 \\ 1040 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | None | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total Fiow (gaik)= } 378 \sqrt{8} \\ & \text { Fiow (gpm) } / 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Outisill 019 | W | 1G Poly | 2 | $3 / 25 / 105$ | None | X | X | $x$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total flow (gain) } 37576 \\ & \text { flow (oppm) } 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| Outfolll 011 | W | 16 Poly | 2 | $\text { F/ } \frac{5}{2} \cdot \sqrt{25}$ | None | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $x$ | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| Outtall 011 | W | 16 Poly | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 251-5 \\ 230 \end{gathered}$ | None | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Toul Flow (gomp)" } 87<1 \\ & \text { Fiow (gpm)= } 102 \end{aligned}$ |
| Trip Biank | W | VOAs | 3 | $\underline{\square}$ | HCL |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T \times P$ | $W$ | NTs | $3$ |  | NONE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $32$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nofime: } \\ & \text { /a- } / 9: 28 \\ & \text { atime: } \\ & \text { N3 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relinquithe | By |  |  | detrime: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM
Page 2 of 2

## MWH-Pasadena/Boeing

300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
Pasadena, CA 91101

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly
Project: $\quad 13267$ (Study 1)/Outfall 011
Sampled: 03/25/05
Del Mar Analytical Number: IOC2064

Dear Ms. Kelly:
Aquatic Testing Laboratories performed Fathead Minnow 96 hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002), Truesdail Laboratories tested Hydrazines by EPA 8315 M, Alta Analytical performed EPA Method 1613 by Dioxin and Eberline Services performed Gross Alpha/Gross Beta|(EPA 900.0), Tritium (H-3, EPA 906.0), Strontium-90 (Sr-90, EPA 905.0), Radium 226 (EPA 903.1), and Radium 228 (904.0) for the project referenced above. Please use the following cross-reference table when reviewing your results.

| MW ID | DEL MAR ID | ATC D | TRUESDAIL ID | ALTA ID | EBERLINE ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outfall O11 Composite | $10 C 2064-01$ | A-05032602-001/002 | $941101-1$ | $25968-001$ | PENDING |

Attached are the original reports from the subcontract laboratories. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,
DEL MAR ANALYTICAL


Michele Harper
Project Manager

# LABORATORY REPORT 

Aquatic Testing


Date:

Client:

April 2, 2005
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Attn: Michele Harper

Laboratories
"dedicated to providing quality aquatic toxicity testing*
4350 Transport Street, Unit 107
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 650-0546 FAX (805) 650-0756

CA DOHS ELAP Cert. No.: 1775

Laboratory No.: A-05032602-001/002
Sample I.D.: IOC2064-01

Sample Control: The sample was received by ATL chilled, with the chain of custody record attached.
Date Sampled: 03/25/05
Date Received: 03/26/05
Date Tested: $\quad 03 / 26 / 05$ to 04/01/05

Sample Analysis: The following analyses were performed on your sample:
Fathead Minnow 96hr Percent Survival Bioassay (EPA Method 2000.0), Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test (EPA Method 1002).

Attached are the test data generated from the analysis of your sample.

## Result Summary:

| Acute: | Survival | TUG |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fathead Minnow: | $100 \%$ | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |
| Chronic: | NOES | TUe |
| $\quad$ Ceriodaphnia Survival: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\quad$ Ceriodaphnia Reproduction: | $100 \%$ | 1.0 |

Quality Control: Reviewed and approved by:


Lab No.: A-05032602-001
Client/ID: Del Mar - IOC2064-01

## TEST SUMMARY

Species: Pimephales promelas.
Age: 8 (1-14) days.
Regulations: NPDES.
Test solution volume: 250 ml .
Feeding: prior to renewal at $\mathbf{4 8}$ hrs.
Number of replicates: 2.
Dilution water: Moderately hard reconstituted water.
Photoperiod: $16 / 8$ hrs light/dark.

Start Date: 03/26/2005

Source: In-laboratory Culture.
Test type: Static-Renewal.
Test Protocol: EPA-821-R-02-012.
Endpoints: Percent Survival at 96 hrs.
Test chamber: 600 ml beakers.
Temperature: $\mathbf{2 0 + / - 1} 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Number of fish per chamber: 10.
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050303.

TEST DATA

|  |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | DO | pH | \# Dead |  | Analyst \& Time of Readings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | B |  |
| INITIAL | Control | 20.0 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 0 | 0 | On 1000 |
|  | 100\% | 19.4 | 10.1 | 27 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24 Hr | Control | 19.4 | 7.2 | 7.9 | $C$ | $C$ | $10$ |
|  | 100\% | 19.4 | 7.4 | 7.5 | C | 0 |  |
| 48 Hr | Control | 19.5 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 0 | $\Delta$ | $\frac{2}{1000}$ |
|  | 100\% | 14.7 | 20 | 1) 5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Renewal | Control | 20.1 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 0 | a | $\begin{aligned} & \text { love } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 100\% | 20.0 | 9.3 | 27 | $c$ | 0 |  |
| 72 Hr | Control | 19.12 | 20 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{13 n}{1030}$ |
|  | 100\% | 19.7 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 96 Hr | Control | 19.8 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 0 | 0 | $2 \ln _{1030}$ |
|  | 100\% | 19.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Comments:

Sample as received: Chlorine: () mg/l $\mathrm{pH}: 27$; Conductivity: 200 umho; Temp: $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; DO: $10.1 \mathrm{mg} /$; Alkalinity: $67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{f} ;$ Hardness: $85 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}: 0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.
Sample aerated moderately (approx. $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to raise or lower DO? Yes / No.)
Control: Alkalinity: $57 \mathrm{mg} /$; Hardness: $95 \mathrm{mg} /$; Conductivity: 3 ar umho.
Test solution aerated (not to exceed 100 bubbles/min) to maintain DO $>4.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ? Yes / 6 .
Sample used for renewal is the original sample kept at $0-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with minimal headspace.

## RESULTS

$\qquad$ \%

# CERIODAPHNIA CHRONIC BIOASSAY EPA METHOD 1002.0 

Lab No.: A-05032602
Client/ID: Del Mar IOC2064-01

Test type: Daily static-renewal.
Species: Ceriodaphnia dubia.
Age: < 24 hrs; all released within 8 hrs .
Test vessel size: 30 ml .
Number of test organisms per vessel: 1 .
Temperature: $25+/-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Dilution water: Mod. hard reconstituted (MHRW).
QA/QC Batch No.: RT-050326.

Date Tested: 03/26/05 to 04/01/05

## TEST SUMMARY

Endpoints: Survival and Reproduction.
Source: In-laboratory culture.
Food: . 1 ml YTC, algae per day.
Test solution volume: 15 ml .
Number of replicates: 10.
Photoperiod: $16 / 8 \mathrm{hrs}$. light/dark cycle.
Test duration: 7 days.
Statistics: ToxCalc computer program.

RESULTS SUMMARY

| Sample Concentration | Percent Survival | Mean Number of <br> Young Per Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | $100 \%$ | 30.8 |
| $6.25 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 33.7 |
| $12.5 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 33.8 |
| $25 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 33.3 |
| $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 35.1 |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 33.2 |

* Statistically significantly less than control at $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ level.
** Reproduction data from concentrations greater than survival NOEC are excluded from statistical analysis.

CHRONIC TOXICITY

| CHRONIC TOXICITY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Survival | Growth |
| NOEC | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| TUc | 1.0 | 1.0 |

QAVOC TEST ACCEPTABILITY
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \text { Parameter } & \text { Result } \\ \hline \text { Control survival } 280 \% & \text { Pass ( } 100 \% \text { survival) } \\ \hline \geq 15 \text { young per surviving control female average } & \text { Pass (30.8 young) } \\ \hline \geq 60 \% \text { surviving controls had } 3 \text { broods } & \text { Pass ( } 100 \% \text { with } 3 \text { broods) } \\ \hline \text { PMSD }<47 \% \text { for reproduction; if }>47 \% \text { and no toxicity } \\ \text { at IWC, the test must be repeated }\end{array}\right]$ Pass (PMSD $=10.9 \%$ ).

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC2064




## Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.

| Client: | Del Mar Analytical <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attention: | Michele Harper |

Project Name: 1OC2064 Truesdail Project: 941101
Date Received: 03/28/05

Samples Cross-reference

| Truesdail 1D | Client ID | Matrix | Date Sampled | Time Sampled | Analysis Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $941101-1$ | IOC2064-01 | Water | $03 / 25 / 05$ | 1440 | Hydrazines by EPA 8315M |

Respectfully Submitted, TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

K.R.P. lIfer

Quality Control/Quality Assurance Officer


## TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

Client: Del Mar Analytical<br>17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100<br>Irvine, CA 92614<br>Attention: Michele Harper

Project Name: IOC2064
Truesdail Project:
941101
Date Received: 03/28/05

## Case Narrative

Sample Receipt The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in. The sample was kept in a locked refrigerator until analysis. Thereafter, it is being kept in ambient storage for an additional 2 months before disposal.

Analysis The analysis was perfomed as requested on the chain-of-custody.
Quality Control
The analytical results for each batch of samples performed include a minimum of one set of laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD), one matrix spike (MS) and a reagent blank (Method blank). Any exceptions or problems would be noted in the "comments" section.

The test results in this report meet all quality assurance requirements set forth by the method specification and all quality control recoveries were within the laboratory acceptance limits. No anomalies or nonconformance events occurred during the course of analysis.

The analytes were quantitated down to the Method Detection Limit (J flags) per client's request.

Respectfully Submitted, TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.
INDEPENDENT TESTING, FORENSEC SCIENCE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSES

## REPORT

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Client: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Del Mar Analytical } \\
\text { 17461 Derian Ave., Suite 100 } \\
\text { Irvine, CA 92614 }
\end{array} \\
& \\
\text { Attention: } & \text { Michele Harper } \\
\text { Sample: } & \text { Liquid / 1 Sample } \\
\text { Project Name: } & \text { 10c2064 } \\
\text { P.O. Number: } & 10 c 2064 \\
\text { Method Number: } & \mathbf{8 3 1 5} \text { (Modified) } \\
\text { Investigation: } & \text { Hydrazines in Liquid }
\end{aligned}
$$

Analytical Resuits



Truesdail Laboratories. Inc.

## Client: Del Mar Analytical 100

## REPORT

Quality Control/Quality Assurance Calibration Report
Quality Control/Quality Assurance Spikes Report

| LCS/LCSD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MS/MSD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spiked Conc. | Recovered Concentration |  |  | PercentRecovery (\%) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSI } \\ & \text { LCSD } \\ & \% D \end{aligned}$ | Fiag | Control Limits |  | Spiked Conc. ug/L | Recovered Concentration |  |  | PercentRecovery (\%) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSI } \\ & \text { MSD } \\ & \text { \%D } \end{aligned}$ | Fiag | AccuracyControl Limits |  |
| Parameter | ugh | LCS | LCSD | MB | LCS | LCSD |  |  | \%D | \% Rec. |  | MS | MSD | Sample | MS | MSD |  |  | \%D | \% Rec. |
| Monomethyl Hydrazine | 50.0 | 45.8 | 47.0 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 94.0 | 2.52\% | PASS | 20 | 70-130 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 80.8 | 10.7\% | PASS | 20 | 0-150 |
| u-Dimethyl Hydrazine | 50.0 | 46.1 | 46.8 | 0.0 | 92.2 | 93.6 | 1.49\% | PASS | 20 | 70-130 | 50.0 | 44.5 | 41.1 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 82.1 | 7.94\% | PASS | 20 | O-150 |
| Hydrazine | 10.0 | 9.39 | 8.96 | 0.0 | 93.9 | 89.6 | 4.71\% | PASS | 20 | 70-130 | 10.0 | 7.90 | 7.65 | 00 | 79.0 | 76.5 | 3.24\% | PASS | 20 | 0.150 |

ICV: Initial Calitration Verfication
QCS: Quality Control Standerd LCS: Laboratory Control Spilike
MS: Matrix Spike
\%D: Percent Difference
Flag: "Pass" II wilhin Control Limits; otherwise "Fall"
Note: Results based on detector $\% 1(\mathrm{UV}=365 \mathrm{~nm})$ diata.

This report applies only to the sample, or samples, investigated and is not necessarily indicative of the quality or condition of apparently identical or similar products. As a mutual protection to clients, the public,
and these laboratories, this report is submitted and accepted for the exclusive use of the client to whom it is addressed and upon the condition that it is not to be used, in whole or in part, in any advertising or
publicity matter without prior written authorization from these laboratories.

Fax(949) $254-1200$ Fmx (909) 370-1045 Fux (618) 505-9ces Fax (460) 7t5-025 Fax frey 7es-3021

| SENDING LABORATORY: |
| :--- |
| Del Mar Analytical, Irvine |
| 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 |
| Irvine, CA 92614 |
| Phone: (949) 261-1022 |
| Fax: (949) 261-1228 |
| Project Manager: Michele Harper |

Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is requested $\Rightarrow$ Due Date:
Analysis

Expiration


Initials: $\qquad$ Truesdail Laboratories-SUB
14201 Franklin Avenue
Tustin, CA 92680
Phone :(714) 730-6239
Fax: (714) 730-6462

| Sample D: 1OC2064-01 Wat |
| :--- |
| Hydrazine-OUT |
| Level 4 Data Package |
| Containers Súpplied: |
| 1 L Amber (IOC2064-01AM) |
| 1 L Amber (IOC2064-01AN) |



## For Sample Conditions See Form Attached



## Sample Integrity \& Analysis Discrepancy Form

## Client:



Lab\# $94 / 101$ Date DeliveredDZ12P/05 Time: Qi/2 By: eMail aField Service Eclient

1. Was a Chain of Custody received and signed?
2. Does Customer require an acknowledgement of the COC?
3. Are there any special requirements or notes on the COC?
4. If a letter was sent with the COC, does it match the COC?
5. Were all requested analyses understood and acceptable?
6. Were samples receive of a chilled condition? Temperature (if yes)? Y
7. Were samples received intact (ie. broken bottles, leaks, air bubbles, etc..
8. Were sample custody seals intact?
9. Does the number of samples received agree with COC?
10. Did sample labels correspond with the client ID's?
11. Did sample labels indicate proper preservation?

Preserved (if yes) by: OTruesdail $\square$ Client
12. Were samples pH checked? $\mathrm{pH}=$ $\qquad$
 ayes ono aNTA yves an dNA dYes oNo DN/A aYes ONo UN/A aYes ane alva aYes and $\mathbb{Q}^{2} / N / A$ ares ono aNTA

Internal Chain of Custody Logbook


April 02, 2005

## Alta Project I.D.: 25968

Ms. Michele Harper
Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
17461 Derian Avenue, Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614
Dear Ms. Harper,
Enclosed are the results for the one aqueous sample received at Alta Analytical Laboratory on March 29, 2005 under your Project Name "IOC2064". This sample was extracted and analyzed using EPA Method 1613 for tetra-through-octa chlorinated dioxins and furans. A rush turnaround time was provided for this work.

Results qualified with an " A " are lower than the EPA Method 1613 Minimum Level, and above the lower calibration limit.

The following report consists of a Sample Inventory (Section I), Analytical Results (Section II) and the Appendix, which contains the chain-of-custody, a list of data qualifiers and abbreviations, Alta's current certifications, and copies of the raw data (if requested).

Alta Analytical Laboratory is committed to serving you effectively. If you require additional information, please contact me at 916-933-1640 or by email at mmaier@altalab.com. Thank you for choosing Alta as part of your analytical support team.

Sincerely,


Martha M. Mater
Director of HRMS Services

Alta Analytical Laboratory Inc.

## Section I: Sample Inventory Report

## Date Received: 3/29/2005

## Alta Lab. ID

25968-001

## Client Sample ID

IOC2064-01

SECTION II

| OPR Results |  |  |  |  |  | EPA Method 1613 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: Aqueous |  | QC Batch No.: | 6653 | Lab Sample: $\quad 0$-OPR001 |  | Date Analyzed DB-225: |  |
| Sample Size: $\quad 1.000 \mathrm{~L}$ |  | Date Extracted: | 30-Mar-05 |  | Date Analyzed DB-5: 31-Mar-05 |  |  |
| Analyte | Spike Conc. | Conc. ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | OPR Limits | Labeled Standard |  | \%R | LCL-UCL |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10.0 | 10.9 | 6.7-15.8 | IS | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 68.5 | 25-164 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50.0 | 53.3 | 35-71 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 68.2 | 25-181 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 52.0 | 35-82 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 88.5 | 32-141 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50.0 | 53.5 | 38-67 |  | 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 101 | 28-130 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50.0 | 41.0 | 32-81 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 70.5 | 23-140 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50.0 | 52.7 | 35-70 |  | 13C-OCDD | 38.0 | 17-157 |
| OCDD | 100 | 111 | 78-144 |  | 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF | 75.2 | 24-169 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10.0 | 10.4 | 7.5-15.8 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,7,8-\mathrm{PeCDF}$ | 66.3 | 24-185 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 50.2 | 40-67 |  | 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 72.3 | 21-178 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50.0 | 50.4 | 34-80 |  | 13C-1, 2, 3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 88.8 | 26-152 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 49.9 | 36-67 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-1,2,3,6,7,8$-HxCDF | 97.3 | 26-123 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 50.1 | 42-65 |  | 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 86.3 | 28-136 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50.0 | 50.5 | 35-78 |  | 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 84.2 | 29-147 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50.0 | 49.3 | 39-65 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 69.1 | 28-143 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50.0 | 50.3 | 41-61 |  | 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 76.9 | 26-138 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50.0 | 48.9 | 39-69 |  | $13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCDF}$ | 49.3 | 17-157 |
| OCDF | 100 | 99.5 | 63-170 |  | S 37Cl-2,3,7,8-TCDD | 74.7 | 35-197 |



## APPENDIX

## DATA QUALIFIERS \& ABBREVIATIONS

B This compound was also detected in the method blank.
D The amount reported is the maximum possible concentration due to possible chlorinated diphenylether interference.

H The signal-to-noise ratio is greater than 10:1.
I Chemical Interference
J The amount detected is below the Lower Calibration Limit of the instrument.
P Homologue totals include any coplanar PCBs detected at concentrations less than the reporting limit.

* See Cover Letter

Conc. Concentration
DL Sample-specific estimated detection limit
MDL The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero in the matrix tested.

EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
NA Not applicable
RL Reporting Limit - concentrations that correspond to low calibration point
ND Not Detected
TEQ Toxic Equivalency

Unless otherwise noted, solid sample results are reported in dry weight. Tissue samples are reported in wet weight.

The control limits are "interim limits only" until in-house limits are utilized.

NELAP - (Primary AA: California, Certificate No. 02102CA)
Department of the Navy
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. EPA Region 5

Bureau of Reclamation - Mid-Pacific Region - (MP-470, Res-1.10)
Commonwealth of Kentucky - (Certificate No. 90063)
Commonwealth of Virginia - (Certificate No. 00013)
State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation - (Certificate No. OS-00197)
State of Arizona - (Certificate No. AZ0639)
State of Arkansas, Department of Health - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Arkansas, Department of Environmental Quality
State of California - (Certificate No. 1640)
State of Colorado
State of Connecticut - (Certificate No. PH-0182)
State of Florida - (Certificate No. 87456)
State of Louisiana, Department of Health and Hospitals - (Certificate No. LA000014)
State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality
State of Maine
State of Michigan (Certificate No. 81178087)
State of Mississippi - (Approval granted through CA certification)
State of Nevada - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of New Jersey - (Certificate No. CA003)
State of New York, Department of Health - (Certificate No. 11411)
State of North Carolina - (Certification No. 06700)
State of North Dakota, Department of Health - (Certificate No. R-078)
State of New Mexico
State of Oklahoma - (D9919)
State of Oregon - (Certificate No. CA413)
State of Pennsylvania - (Certificate No. 68-490)
State of South Carolina - (Certificate No. 87002001)
State of Tennessee - (Certificate No. 02996)
State of Texas - (Certificate No. TX247-1000A
State of Utah - (Certificate No. E-201)
State of Washington - (Certification No. C091)
State of Wisconsin - (Certificate No. 998036160)
State of Wyoming - (USEPA Region 8 Ref: 8TMS-Q)

## SUBCONTRACT ORDER - PROJECT \# IOC2064

| SENDING LABORATORY: <br> Del Mar Analytical, Irvine <br> 17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100 <br> Irvine, CA 92614 <br> Phone: (949) 261-1022 <br> Fax: (949) 261-1228 <br> Project Manager: Michele Harper | RECEIVING LABORATORY: <br> Alta Analytical <br> 1104 Windfield Way <br> El Dorado Hills, CA. 95762 <br> Phone: :(916) 933-1640 <br> Fax: (916) 933-0940 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard TAT is requested unless specific due date is reque <br> Analysis <br> Expiration | $\Rightarrow$ Due Date: 5 day. $\qquad$ Initials: Comments | . |
| Sample W: 1OC2064-01 Water Sampled: 03/25/05 14:40 <br> 1613-Dioxin-HR $04 / 01 / 05$ 14:40 <br> EDD + Level 4 $04 / 22 / 0514: 40$ | Instant Nofication <br> J flags, 17 congeners, no TEQ, sub to Pace-MN Excel EDD email to pm,Include Std loge for LviIV |  |
| Containers Supplied: <br> 1 L Amber (IOC2064-01G) <br> 12 Amber (IOC2064-01H) |  |  |

## SAMPLE INTEGRITY:

| SAMPLE INTEGRITY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All containers intact: | $\square$ | Yes | $\square$ | No | Sample labels/COC agree: | - $\mathrm{Yes}^{\text {a }}$ | $\square$ No | Samples Received On Leer: | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ | $\square$ No |
| Custody Seals Present: | $\square$ | Yes | $\square$ | No | Samples Preserved Properiy: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | Sumples Received at (temp): |  |  |

Released By
Released By
Project 25968

## SAMPLE LOGIN CHECKLIST

ALTA Project No.: $\qquad$


Comments:

Client $\qquad$ Contact: Michele Harper Fax Number: $949 \quad 260-3297$

Project Number: $\qquad$ 25968

Date Received: $0 / 29 / 05$

Documented by/date:


Please review the following information and complete the Client Authorization section. To comply with NELAC regulations, we must receive authorization before proceeding with sample analysis. Thank You. (Fax \#916-673-0106)

The following information or item Is needed to proceed with the analysis:
$\square$ Completed Chain-of-Custody
$\square$ Test Method Requested
$\square$ Analyse List Requested

The following anomalies were noted. Authorization is needed to proceed with the analysis:

Temperature outside $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range Samples Affected:
$\square$ PreservativeSample Identification
Collector's Name
$\square$ Sample TypeSample Collection Date /Time

Temp $\qquad$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Sample ID Discrepancy
Sample holding time missed
Custody seals broken
Insufficient Sample Size
Sample Containers) Broken Incorrect Container Type
$\qquad$
ice Present? Yes No
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$
Samples Affected: $\qquad$

Other $\qquad$
$\qquad$



[^0]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    - Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

    - Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

    - Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^3]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

[^4]:    - Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract andor method requirements.
    *Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^5]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^6]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract andor method requirements.
    b Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^7]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^8]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    - Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^9]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^10]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

[^11]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    ${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^12]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    bifferences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^13]:    - Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

    Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^14]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

[^15]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^16]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

[^17]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^18]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper Project Manager

[^19]:    Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

    - Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^20]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

[^21]:    ${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^22]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    " Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^23]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^24]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^25]:    - Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    b Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^26]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^27]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Wendy Kirkeeng For Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^28]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

    Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is reguired.

[^29]:    MWH-Pasadena/Boeing 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200 Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

    Project ID: Ourfall 011
    Report Number: IOCl 523

    Sampled: 03:18:05
    Received: 03/18:05

[^30]:    ${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.

[^31]:    ${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    ${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^32]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^33]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^34]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^35]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    ${ }^{6}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^36]:    ${ }^{2}$ Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^37]:    $M \mathrm{H} \cdot \| \cdot 0$ The resuls pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced. except in full, without written permission from Del Mar Analytical.

    IOC2063 <Page 10 a)66>

[^38]:    MWH-Pasadena/Boeing
    300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 1200
    Pasadena, CA 91101 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

[^39]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    b Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^40]:    * Subcontracted analytical laboratory is not meeting contract and/or method requirements.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Differences in protocol have been adopted by the laboratory but no action against the laboratory is required.

[^41]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^42]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^43]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^44]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^45]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^46]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^47]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^48]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^49]:    Dave Dawes

[^50]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^51]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^52]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^53]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Michele Harper
    Project Manager

[^54]:    Del Mar Analytical, Irvine
    Nichele Harper
    'roject Manager

