APPENDIX G

Section 35

Outfall 010, February 13, 2009 MEC^X Data Validation Report



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL NPDES

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: ISB1694

Prepared by

MEC^X, LP 12269 East Vassar Drive Aurora, CO 80014

I. INTRODUCTION

3 SSFL NPDES
100D.00
94
У
merica-Irvine

Table 1. Sample Identification

Client ID	Laboratory ID	Sub-Laboratory ID	Matrix	Collected	Method
Outfall 010	ISB1694-01	D9B170145-001, 31431-001, F9B170213-001	Water	02/13/09 1515	245.1, 245.1 (Diss), 900.0, 901.1, 903.0, 904.0, 905.0, 906.0, 908.0, 1613B

II. Sample Management

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples were received at TestAmerica-Irvine and TestAmerica-St. Louis within the temperature limit of $4 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The samples were received at Vista and TestAmerica-Denver below the control limit; however, the samples were not noted to be damaged or frozen. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact at all laboratories. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel. As the sample was couriered to TestAmerica-Irvine, custody seals were not required. Custody seal were present and intact upon arrival at TestAmerica-Denver, TestAmerica-St. Louis, and Vista. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

Qualifier	· Organics	Inorganics
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only.
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.	The associated value is an estimated quantity.
Ν	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."	Not applicable.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.	Not applicable.
UJ	The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

Data Qualifier Reference Table

Qualifier	Organics	Inorganics
Н	Holding times were exceeded.	Holding times were exceeded.
S	Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits.	The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect
С	Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant.	Correlation coefficient is <0.995.
R	Calibration RRF was <0.05.	%R for calibration is not within control limits.
В	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results.
L	Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits.	Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits.
Q	MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high.	MS recovery was poor.
Е	Not applicable.	Duplicates showed poor agreement.
I	Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.	ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
А	Not applicable.	ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits.
М	Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant.	Not applicable.
Т	Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results.	Not applicable.
+	False positive – reported compound was not present.	Not applicable.
-	False negative – compound was present but not reported.	Not applicable.
F	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.
\$	Reported result or other information was incorrect.	Reported result or other information was incorrect.
?	TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed.	Not applicable.

Qualification Code Reference Table

Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.

D	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
Ρ	Instrument performance for pesticides was poor.	Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits.
DNQ	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.
*11, *111	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.

III. Method Analyses

A. EPA METHOD 1613—Dioxin/Furans

Reviewed By: K. Shadowlight Date Reviewed: March 25, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC[×] Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 0), USEPA Method 1613,* and the *National Functional Guidelines Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review* (9/05).

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The water sample was extracted and analyzed within one year of collection.
- Instrument Performance: Instrument performance criteria were met. Following are findings associated with instrument performance.
 - o GC Column Performance: A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards. The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than 25%.
 - Mass Spectrometer Performance: The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met.
 - Initial Calibration: Initial calibration criteria were met. The initial calibration was acceptable with %RSDs ≤20% for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and ≤35% for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the Method 1613 QC limits for all standards.
 - Continuing Calibration: Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits.
- Blanks: The method blank had no target compound detects above the EDL.

- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: OPR recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613.
- Compound Identification: Compound identification was verified. The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613.
- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike concentrations. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Any detects between the estimated detection limit (EDL) and the reporting limit (RL) were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Nondetects are valid to the estimated detection limit (EDL).

B. EPA METHODS 245.1—Mercury

Reviewed By: P. Meeks Date Reviewed: March 24, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *MEC[×]* Data Validation Procedure for Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0 and DVP-21, Rev. 0), EPA Methods 2007, 200.8, and 245.1, and the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (10/04).

- Holding Times: The analytical holding time, 28 days for mercury, was met.
- Tuning: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met. Mercury initial calibration r² values were ≥0.995. Initial and continuing calibration recoveries were within 85-115%. The CRA standard was recovered within the control limits of 70-130%.
- Blanks: There were no applicable detects in the method blanks or CCBs.

- Interference Check Samples: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recovery was within the laboratoryestablished QC limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: No MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. Method accuracy was evaluated based on LCS results.
- Serial Dilution: No serial dilution analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: Not applicable to this analysis.
- Sample Result Verification: Calculations were verified and the sample results reported on the sample result summaries were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
 - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
 - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

C. VARIOUS EPA METHODS — Radionuclides

Reviewed By: P. Meeks Date Reviewed: March 25, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the *EPA Methods 900.0, 901.1, 903.1, 904.0, 905.0, and 906.0, ASTM Method D-5174,* and the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review* (10/04).

• Holding Times: The tritium sample was analyzed within 180 days of collection. The aliquots for gross alpha, gross beta, cesium-137, potassium-40, and total uranium were prepared beyond the five-day holding time for unpreserved samples; therefore, the results for these analytes were qualified as estimated, "J," for detects and, "UJ," for

nondetects. All remaining aliquots were prepared within the five-day holding time for unpreserved samples.

• Calibration: The laboratory calibration information included the standard certificates and applicable preparation/dilutions logs for NIST-traceability.

The gross alpha detector efficiency was less than 20%; therefore, nondetected gross alpha in the sample was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The gross beta detector efficiency was greater than 20%.

The tritium aliquot was spiked for efficiency determination; therefore, no calibration was necessary. The tritium detector efficiency for the sample was at least 20% and was considered acceptable. The strontium, radium-226, and radium-228 chemical yields were considered acceptable. The gamma spectroscopy analytes were determined at the maximum photopeak energy. The kinetic phosphorescence analyzer (KPA) was calibrated immediately prior to the sample analysis. All KPA calibration check standard recoveries were within 90-110% and were deemed acceptable.

- Blanks: There were no analytes detected in the method blanks.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recoveries and the strontium-90, radium-226, and radium-228 RPDs were within laboratory-established control limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: No matrix spike or MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. Method accuracy and precision, when applicable, were evaluated based on LCS results.
- Sample Result Verification: An EPA Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. The sample results and MDAs reported on the sample result form were verified against the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. Total uranium, normally reported in aqueous units, was converted to pCi/L using a conversion factor for naturally occurring uranium. Detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDA.

The reviewer noted that the total uncertainty for potassium-40 was more than an order of magnitude larger than usually reported for site samples. The laboratory attributed this high uncertainty to a very low sample count and a slightly high background count.

• Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

- Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
- Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

Project 31431

m
\leq
m
<

Analyst:

MAS

Approved By: William J. Luksemburg 25-Feb-2009 13:44

Total HpCDF Totals OCDF Date Collected: Time Collected: Name: Total HxCDF Total PeCDF Total TCDF Total HpCDD Total HxCDD Total PeCDD Total TCDD 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,7,8-TCDF OCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDD Analyte Project: Client Data Sample ID: 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD l,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD ISB1694-01 1515 13-Feb-09 Test America-Irvine, CA ISB1694 Conc. Ŋ Ŋ ND Ŋ ND ND U ND ¥ ND 0.0000228 JIDNA ND AB Ŋ A NDW 0.00000621 JING B B A ND Ŋ 0.00000984 Janq Ŋ Ŋ 0.0000172 JIDNR 0.000100 5 (ug/L) Out fall DL 0.00000102 0.00000152 0.00000116 0.00000112 0.000000547 0.00000242 0.00000229 0.00000110 0.000000973 0.00000217 0.00000793 0.000000705 0.00000681 0.00000117 0.00000108 0.000000547 0.00000230 0.00000248 0.00000248 0.00000733 Blo Sample Size: Matrix: Sample Data EMPC 1.05 L Aqueous Qualifiers CRS 37CI-2,3,7,8-TCDD 5 Lab Sample: d. Lower control limit - upper control limit. c. Method detection limit. b. Estimated maximum possible concentration. QC Batch No .: Sample specific estimated detection limit. Footnotes Date Analyzed DB-5: Laboratory Data 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 13C-OCDF 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF 13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 13C-OCDD 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD 13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF Labeled Standard 1900 31431-001 21-Feb-09 %R Date Analyzed DB-225: Date Received: Date Extracted: 91.2 80.4 92.9 89.8 65.8 82.4 84.0 81.9 99,0 95.2 80.9 80.6 85.2 79.2 87.1 74.1 70.8 LCL-UCL^a 21 - 178 17 - 157 26 - 138 28 - 143 32 - 141 25 - 181 35 - 197 29 - 147 28 - 136 26 - 123 26 - 152 24 - 185 24 - 169 23 - 140 28 - 130 25 - 164 17 - 157 EPA Method 1613 Oualifiers NA 17-Feb-09 19-Feb-09



	THE LEADER IN ENVIRONME	NTAL TESTING	17461 Derian Avenu	e. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 926	514 (949) 261-1	022 Fax:(949) 20	60-3297
	MWH-Pasadena/Boeing	Project ID:	Routine Outfall 010				
	618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200				Sampled:	02/13/09	
1	Arcadia, CA 91007	Report Number:	ISB1694		Received:	02/13/09	
	Attention: Bronwyn Kelly						
		Μ	CAWW 245.1				
			MDI Damand'an	Coursely Dilution	Dete	Data	Data

Analyte	Method	Batch	Limit	Limit	Result	Factor	Extracted	Analyzed	Qualifiers		
Sample ID: ISB1694-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.											
Reporting Units: ug/L Mercury J/DNQ	MCAWW 245.1	9049249	0.027	0.2	0.027	1	02/18/09	02/18/09	J		



TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

ISB1694 🛛

12



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

	MCA	WW 24: MDL	Reporting	Sample	Dilution	Date	Date	Data	
	MON								
Attention: Bronwyn Kelly									
Arcadia, CA 91007	Report Number:	ISB1694				Received:	02/13/09		
618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200						Sampled:	02/13/09		
MWH-Pasadena/Boeing	Project ID:	Routine O	utfall 010						
								2 R (

Analyte	Method Bate	h Limit	Limit	Result	Factor	Extracted	Analyzed	Qualifiers		
Sample ID: ISB1694-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont.										
Reporting Units: ug/L										
Mercury ()	MCAWW 245.1-DISS 90492	0.027	0.2	ND	1	02/18/09	02/18/09			



TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

ISB1694 🛛

02/13/09 1515

02/17/09 0900

TestAmerica Irvine

Client Sample ID: ISB1694-01

Radiochemistry

Date Collected:

Date Received:

C	offall 010	Rad
Lab Sample ID:	F9B170213-001	
Work Order:	K7AN7	
Matrix:	WATER	

Parameter	Result	Qual	Total Uncert. (2 g+/-)	RL	mdc	Prep Date	Analysis Date
Gamma Cs-137 & Hits	by EPA 901.1	MOD		pCi/L	Batch # 90	58211	Yld %
Cesium 137 VJ/H	2.8	U	7.0	20.0	13	02/27/09	03/13/09
Potassium 40 🗸 🕹	-80	U	5200		300	02/27/09	03/13/09
Gross Alpha/Beta EPA	900			pCi/L	Batch # 90	50133	Yld %
Gross Alpha UT/H_1C	1.3	U	1.2	3.0	1.7	02/24/09	03/03/09
Gross Beta J/H	4.2		1.0	4.0	1.1	02/24/09	03/03/09
Radium 226 by EPA 9	03.0 MOD			pCi/L	Batch # 90	48417	¥ld % 74
Radium (226)	0.13	U	0.13	1.00	0.19	02/17/09	03/12/09
Radium 228 by GFPC E	PA 904 MOD	<u></u>		pCi/L	Batch # 90	48418	¥1d % 62
Radium 228 U	-0.13	υ	0.29	1.00	0.55	02/17/09	03/12/09
TRITIUM (Distill) by	EPA 906.0 MO	D		pCi/L	Batch # 90	64253	Yld %
Tritium 🕖	30	U ·	180	500	310	03/05/09	03/11/09
SR-90 BY GFPC EPA-9	05 MOD			pCi/L	Batch # 90	48419	¥ld % 56
Strontium 90 🕖	0.36	σ	C.48	3.00	0.79	02/17/09	02/28/09
Total Uranium by KPA	ASTM 5174-91			pCi/L	Batch # 90	50413	Yld %
Total Uranium J/4	1.21		0.13	0.68	0.21	02/19/09	03/08/09

LEVELIV

NOTE (S)

Data are incomplete without the case narrative.

MDC is determined by instrument performance only. Bold results are greater than the MDC.

LOTH F9RE417026 Jess than the sample detection limit.

7 of **798**7