# **APPENDIX G**

# **Section 29**

Outfall 010, January 24, 2009

MECX Data Validation Report



# DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL NPDES

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: ISA2190

Prepared by

MEC<sup>X</sup>, LP 12269 East Vassar Drive Aurora, CO 80014

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Boeing SSFL NPDES

Contract Task Order: 1261.100D.00

Sample Delivery Group: ISA2190
Project Manager: B. Kelly

Matrix: Water

QC Level: IV
No. of Samples: 1

No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0

Laboratory: TestAmerica-Irvine

**Table 1. Sample Identification** 

Client ID	Laboratory ID	Sub-Laboratory ID	Matrix	Collected	Method
Outfall 010	ISA2190-01	D9A270139-001, 31361-001, F9A280105-001	Water	01/24/09 1020	245.1, 245.1 (Diss), 900.0, 901.1, 903.0, 904.0, 905.0, 906.0, 908.0, 1613B

#### **II. Sample Management**

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples were received at TestAmerica-Irvine and TestAmerica-St. Louis within the temperature limit of 4 ±2°C. The samples were received at Vista and TestAmerica-Denver below the control limit; however, the samples were not noted to be damaged or frozen. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact at all laboratories. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel. As the sample was couriered to TestAmerica-Irvine, custody seals were not required. No custody seals were present on the coolers upon arrival at TestAmerica-St. Louis or Vista. Custody seal were present and intact upon arrival at TestAmerica-Denver. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Project: SSFL NPDES SDG: ISA2190

# **Data Qualifier Reference Table**

Qualifie	Organics	Inorganics
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only.
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.	The associated value is an estimated quantity.
N	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."	Not applicable.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.	Not applicable.
UJ	The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT SPICE SSFL NPDES SDG: ISA2190

# **Qualification Code Reference Table**

Qualifier	Organics	Inorganics
Н	Holding times were exceeded.	Holding times were exceeded.
S	Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits.	The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect
С	Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant.	Correlation coefficient is <0.995.
R	Calibration RRF was <0.05.	%R for calibration is not within control limits.
В	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results.
L	Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits.	Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits.
Q	MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high.	MS recovery was poor.
E	Not applicable.	Duplicates showed poor agreement.
1	Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.	ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
Α	Not applicable.	ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits.
M	Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant.	Not applicable.
Т	Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results.	Not applicable.
+	False positive – reported compound was not present.	Not applicable.
-	False negative – compound was present but not reported.	Not applicable.
F	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.
\$	Reported result or other information was incorrect.	Reported result or other information was incorrect.
?	TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed.	Not applicable.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Project: SSFL NPDES SDG: ISA2190

# **Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.**

D	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
Р	Instrument performance for pesticides was poor.	Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits.
DNQ	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.
*  , *	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.

## III. Method Analyses

#### A. EPA METHOD 1613—Dioxin/Furans

Reviewed By: S. Dellamia
Date Reviewed: March 12, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the  $MEC^{X}$  Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 0), USEPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (9/05).

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The water sample was extracted and analyzed within one year of collection.
- Instrument Performance: Instrument performance criteria were met. Following are findings associated with instrument performance.
  - o GC Column Performance: A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards. The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than 25%.
  - Mass Spectrometer Performance: The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met.
  - o Initial Calibration: Initial calibration criteria were met. The initial calibration was acceptable with %RSDs ≤20% for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and ≤35% for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the Method 1613 QC limits for all standards.
  - Continuing Calibration: Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits.
- Blanks: The method blank had detects for OCDD at 0.00000436(J) μg/L and OCDF at 0.00000189(J) μg/L; therefore, OCDF detected in sample Outfall 010 was qualified as nondetected, "U," at the reporting limit. The detect for OCDD in sample Outfall 010 was

DATA VALIDATION REPORT SSFL NPDES
SDG: ISA2190

>CRQL and exceeded five times the level of method blank contamination. The method blank had no other target compound detects above the EDL.

- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: OPR Recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613.
- Compound Identification: Compound identification was verified. The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613.
- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike recoveries. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD and 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF detects below the laboratory lower calibration level in sample Outfall 010 were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Nondetects are valid to the estimated detection limit (EDL).

#### B. EPA METHOD 245.1—Mercury

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: March 16, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the  $MEC^{\times}$  Data Validation Procedure for Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0 and DVP-21, Rev. 0), EPA Method 245.1, and the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (10/04).

- Holding Times: The analytical holding time, 28 days for mercury, was met.
- Tuning: Tuning criteria are not applicable to this method.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met. The mercury initial calibration r<sup>2</sup> value was ≥0.995 and all initial and continuing calibration recoveries were within 85-115%. Method

detection limit check standard recoveries were within 50-150%. The CRA and check standard was recovered within the control limits of 70-130%.

- Blanks: There were no applicable detects in the method blanks or CCBs.
- Interference Check Samples: ICSA/B analyses are not applicable to this analysis.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recovery was within the laboratoryestablished QC limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. The recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limit.
- Serial Dilution: No serial dilution analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: Internal standards are not applicable to this method.
- Sample Result Verification: Calculations were verified and the sample results reported on the sample result summaries were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or calculation errors were noted. Detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

#### C. VARIOUS EPA METHODS — Radionuclides

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: March 16, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the EPA Methods 900.0, 901.1, 903.1, 904.0, 905.0, and 906.0, ASTM Method D-5174, and the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (10/04).

Holding Times: The tritium sample was analyzed within 180 days of collection. Aliquots for radium-226, radium-228, and strontium-90 were prepared within the five-day holding time for unpreserved samples. The aliquots for gross alpha, gross beta, gamma spectroscopy and total uranium were prepared beyond the five-day holding time for unpreserved samples; therefore, the results for these analytes were qualified as estimated, "J," for detects and, "UJ," for nondetects.

 Calibration: The laboratory calibration information included the standard certificates and applicable preparation/dilutions logs for NIST-traceability.

The gross alpha detector efficiency was less than 20%; therefore, nondetected gross alpha in the sample was qualified as estimated, "UJ." The gross beta detector efficiency was greater than 20%.

The tritium aliquot was spiked for efficiency determination; therefore, no calibration was necessary. The tritium detector efficiency for the sample was at least 20% and was considered acceptable. The strontium, radium-226, and radium-228 chemical yields were considered acceptable. The gamma spectroscopy analytes were determined at the maximum photopeak energy. The kinetic phosphorescence analyzer (KPA) was calibrated immediately prior to the sample analysis. All KPA calibration check standard recoveries were within 90-110% and were deemed acceptable.

- Blanks: There were no analytes detected in the method blanks.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recoveries and the strontium-90, radium-226, and radium-228 RPDs were within laboratory-established control limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: Duplicate analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG for the gamma spectroscopy analytes and tritium. The RPDs were within the laboratoryestablished control limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: Matrix spike analyses were performed on the sample for tritium and MS/MSD analyses were performed for total uranium. The recoveries and RPD were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Sample Result Verification: An EPA Level IV review was performed for the sample in this
  data package. The sample results and MDAs reported on the sample result form were
  verified against the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. Total
  uranium, normally reported in aqueous units, was converted to pCi/L using a conversion
  factor for naturally occurring uranium. Detects reported below the reporting limit were
  qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES
  permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDA.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC

DATA VALIDATION REPORT SSFL NPDES
SDG: ISA2190

data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.

o Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

Analyst: JMH

Approved By:

William J. Luksemburg 07-Feb-2009 09:35

LEVEL IV

Client Data	Sample Data		Laboratory Data				
	Matrix:	Aqueous	Lab Sample:	31361-001	Date Received:	d:	27-Jan-09
	Sample Size:	1.03 L	QC Batch No.:	1848	Date Extracted	ď.	30-Jan-09
Time Collected: 24-Jan-09 Time Collected: 1020			Date Analyzed DB-5:	1-Feb-09	Date Analyzed DB-225:	d DB-225;	NA
Analyte Conc. (ug/L) DL	L a EMPCb	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	ard	%R LC	LCL-UCLd O	Oualifiers
۶	0.000000299		LS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	σc	86.9 2	25 - 164	
ND ND	0.000000378		13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	CDD	77.8 2:	25 - 181	
Ä ND	0.000000572		13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	HxCDD	83.5 37	32 - 141	
ND	0.000000576		13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	HxCDD	81.2 28	28 - 130	
ND ←	0.000000536		13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	3-H <sub>P</sub> CDD	84.7	23 - 140	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD 0.00000827 ゴ DNG		J	13C-OCDD		73.3	17 - 157	
OCDD 0.0000909		В	13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	)F	90.3	24 - 169	
2,3,7,8-TCDF ND  \( \lambda \) 0.	0.000000294		13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF		93.2	24 - 185	
DF ND	0.000000340		13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	CDF	88.6	21 - 178	
2,3,4,7,8-PcCDF ND 0.	0.000000370		13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF		85.4 20	26 - 152	
SF NO	0.000000343		13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	AxCDF	80.4 26	26 - 123	
ND -	0.000000343		13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	IxCDF	82.4 28	28 - 136	
3	0.000000372		13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	-txCDF	82.1 29	29 - 147	
ND ←	0.000000479		13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	-HpCDF	82.6 28	28 - 143	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 0.00000167 JDNG		<b>.</b>	13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	-HpCDF	87.0 26	26 - 138	
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF ND W 0.	0.000000393	ם ש	13C-OCDF	j	73.6 17 88.4 35	17 - 157 35 - 197	
			Footnotes				
ND UN	0.000000299		a. Sample specific estimated detection limit	detection limit.			
;; <del>\</del>	0.000000378		b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.	ible concentration.			
Total Hacedo 0.0000212 Total Control of the Control			d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.				
ND W	0.000000294						
Total HxCDF ND 0.	0.000000384		and the second of the second o				
0.00000506 괴디지							



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvinc, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing

Arcadia, CA 91007 Attention: Bronwyn Kelly Project ID: Routine Outfall 010

618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200

Report Number: ISA2190

Sampled: 01/24/09

Received: 01/26/09

**MCAWW 245.1** 

Reporting MDL Sample Dilution Date Date Data Analyte Method Batch Limit Limit Result Factor Extracted Analyzed Qualifiers Sample ID: ISA2190-01 (Outfall 010 - Water) - cont. Reporting Units: ug/L , JANQ 01/28/09 MCAWW 245.1 9026067 0.027 0.2 0.08401/28/09 Mercury

TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak Project Manager

The results persain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, excent in full without written nermission from TestAmerica.

ISA2190 < Page 6 of 19>



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Project ID: Routine Outfall 010

618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200 Arcadia, CA 91007

Report Number: ISA2190

Sampled: 01/24/09

Received: 01/26/09

MCAWW 245.1-Diss

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor		Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISA2190-01 (Outfall 010 Reporting Units: ug/L	- Water) - cont.								
Mercury-diss	MCAWW 245.1-Diss 9	026072	0.027	0.2	0.033	1	01/28/09	01/28/09	1 JONG



TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak Project Manager

The results pertain only to the samples tested in the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from TestAmerica.

ISA2190 <Page 7 of 19>

Outfall 010

## TestAmerica Irvine

## Client Sample ID: ISA2190-01

#### Radiochemistry

Lab Sample ID: F9A280105-001

Work Order: Matrix:

K6DD5 WATER Date Collected:

01/24/09 1020

Date Received:

01/27/09 0945

			Total Uncert.			Prep	Analysis
Parameter	Result	Qual	(2 0+/-)	RL.	mdc	Date	Date
Gamma Cs-137 & Hit	ts by EPA 901	.1 MOD	F	Ci/L	Batch #	9030092	Yld %
Cesium 137 UJ/H	-1.2	U	7.4	20.0	14	01/30/09	02/18/09
Potassium 40 🌵 🌵	-90	U	620		250	01/30/09	02/18/09
Gross Alpha/Beta E	ZPA 900		p	Ci/L	Batch #	9026139	Yld %
Gross Alpha UT/H, C.	0.44	U	0.89	3.00	1.6	01/28/09	02/01/09
Gross Beta J/H	4.36		0.96	4.00	0.99	01/28/09	02/01/09
Radium 226 by EPA	903.0 MOD		p	Ci/L	Batch #	9029072	Y1d % 92
Radium (226) U	0.04	U	0.14	1.00	0.25	01/29/09	02/23/09
Radium 228 by GFPC	EPA 904 MOD		p	Ci/L	Batch #	9029073	Y1d % 80
Radium 228 U	0.11	ŭ	0.27	1.00	0.46	01/29/09	02/23/09
TRITIUM (Distill)	by EPA 906.0	MOD	p	Ci/L	Batch #	9041114	Yld %
Tritium U	30	U	170	500	290	02/10/09	02/20/09
SR-90 BY GFPC EPA	-905 MOD		P	Ci/L	Batch # :	9029361	Yld % 74
Strontium 90 U	0.12	u	0.38	3.00	0.64	01/29/09	02/08/09
Total Uranium by K	PA ASTM 5174	-91	p	Ci/L	Batch # 9	9030382	Yld %
Total Uranium UT/M	0.176	U	0.021	0.677	0.21	01/30/09	01/31/09

LEVEL IV

NOTE(S)

Data are incomplete without the case narrative.

MDC is determined by instrument performance only. Bold results are greater than the MDC.

U Result is less than the sample detection limit. LOT# F9A280105