## **APPENDIX G**

## **Section 22**

Outfall 009, January 5, 2009

MECX Data Validation Reports



# DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Boeing SSFL NPDES

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP: ISA0133

Prepared by

MEC<sup>X</sup>, LP 12269 East Vassar Drive Aurora, CO 80014

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Task Order Title: Boeing SSFL NPDES

Contract Task Order: 1261.100D.00

Sample Delivery Group: ISA0133
Project Manager: B. Kelly

Matrix: Water

QC Level: IV No. of Samples: 1

No. of Reanalyses/Dilutions: 0

Laboratory: TestAmerica-Irvine

**Table 1. Sample Identification** 

Client ID	Laboratory ID	Sub-Laboratory ID	Matrix	Collected	Method
Outfall 009	ISA0133-01	D9A070161-001, 31294-001, F9A070140-001	Water	01/05/09 1245	245.1, 245.1 (Diss), 900.0, 901.1, 903.0, 904.0, 905.0, 906.0, 908.0, 1613B

#### **II. Sample Management**

No anomalies were observed regarding sample management. The samples were received at TestAmerica-Irvine above the temperature limit; however, the samples had insufficient time to cool during transport. The samples were received at Vista and TestAmerica-St. Louis within the temperature limit of 4 ±2°C and at TestAmerica-Denver below the control limit; however, the samples were not noted to be damaged or frozen. According to the case narrative for this SDG, the samples were received intact at all laboratories. The COCs were appropriately signed and dated by field and/or laboratory personnel but did not list the sample collection date and time. As the sample was couriered to TestAmerica-Irvine, custody seals were not required. Custody seals were intact upon arrival at TestAmerica-Denver, TestAmerica-St. Louis, and Vista. If necessary, the client ID was added to the sample result summary by the reviewer.

1

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Project: SSFL NPDES SDG: ISA0133

## **Data Qualifier Reference Table**

Qualifie	Organics	Inorganics
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. The associated value is the quantitation limit or the estimated detection limit for dioxins.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is either the sample quantitation limit or the sample detection limit. The associated value is the sample detection limit or the quantitation limit for perchlorate only.
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.	The associated value is an estimated quantity.
N	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."	Not applicable.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.	Not applicable.
UJ	The analyte was not deemed above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.	The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated value is an estimate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and to meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Project: SSFL NPDES SDG: ISA0133

## **Qualification Code Reference Table**

Qualifier	Organics	Inorganics
Н	Holding times were exceeded.	Holding times were exceeded.
S	Surrogate recovery was outside QC limits.	The sequence or number of standards used for the calibration was incorrect
С	Calibration %RSD or %D was noncompliant.	Correlation coefficient is <0.995.
R	Calibration RRF was <0.05.	%R for calibration is not within control limits.
В	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) blank results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the preparation (method) or calibration blank results.
L	Laboratory Blank Spike/Blank Spike Duplicate %R was not within control limits.	Laboratory Control Sample %R was not within control limits.
Q	MS/MSD recovery was poor or RPD high.	MS recovery was poor.
E	Not applicable.	Duplicates showed poor agreement.
1	Internal standard performance was unsatisfactory.	ICP ICS results were unsatisfactory.
Α	Not applicable.	ICP Serial Dilution %D were not within control limits.
M	Tuning (BFB or DFTPP) was noncompliant.	Not applicable.
Т	Presumed contamination as indicated by the trip blank results.	Not applicable.
+	False positive – reported compound was not present.	Not applicable.
-	False negative – compound was present but not reported.	Not applicable.
F	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.	Presumed contamination as indicated by the FB or ER results.
\$	Reported result or other information was incorrect.	Reported result or other information was incorrect.
?	TIC identity or reported retention time has been changed.	Not applicable.

DATA VALIDATION REPORT Project: SSFL NPDES SDG: ISA0133

### **Qualification Code Reference Table Cont.**

D	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.	The analysis with this flag should not be used because another more technically sound analysis is available.
Р	Instrument performance for pesticides was poor.	Post Digestion Spike recovery was not within control limits.
DNQ	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.	The reported result is above the method detection limit but is less than the reporting limit.
*  , *	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.	Unusual problems found with the data that have been described in Section II, "Sample Management," or Section III, "Method Analyses." The number following the asterisk (*) will indicate the report section where a description of the problem can be found.

#### III. Method Analyses

#### A. EPA METHOD 1613—Dioxin/Furans

Reviewed By: L. Calvin

Date Reviewed: February 7. 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the  $MEC^{X}$  Data Validation Procedure for Dioxins and Furans (DVP-19, Rev. 0), USEPA Method 1613, and the National Functional Guidelines Chlorinated Dioxin/Furan Data Review (8/02).

- Holding Times: Extraction and analytical holding times were met. The water sample was extracted and analyzed within one year of collection.
- Instrument Performance: Instrument performance criteria were met. Following are findings associated with instrument performance.
  - OC Column Performance: A Windows Defining Mix (WDM) containing the first and last eluting congeners of each descriptor and isomer specificity compounds was not analyzed prior to the initial calibration sequence or at the beginning of each analytical sequence; however, the first and last eluting congeners and isomer specificity compounds were added to the midpoint of the initial calibration and to the continuing calibration standards. The GC column performance in the calibrations was acceptable, with the height of the valley between the closely eluting isomers and 2,3,7,8-TCDD reported as less than 25%.
  - Mass Spectrometer Performance: The mass spectrometer performance was acceptable with the static resolving power greater than 10,000.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met.
  - o Initial Calibration: Initial calibration criteria were met. The initial calibration was acceptable with %RSDs ≤20% for the 16 native compounds (calibration by isotope dilution) and ≤35% for the one native and all labeled compounds (calibration by internal standard). The relative retention times and ion abundance ratios were within the Method 1613 QC limits for all standards.
  - Continuing Calibration: Calibration verification (VER) consisted of a mid-level standard (CS3) analyzed at the beginning of each analytical sequence. The VERs were acceptable with the concentrations within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of EPA Method 1613. The ion abundance ratios and relative retention times were within the method QC limits.
- Blanks: The method blank had no target compound detects above the EDL.

• Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: Recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 6 of Method 1613.

- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: This SDG had no identified field duplicate samples.
- Internal Standards Performance: The labeled standard recoveries were within the acceptance criteria listed in Table 7 of Method 1613.
- Compound Identification: Compound identification was verified. The laboratory analyzed for polychlorinated dioxins/furans by EPA Method 1613.
- Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits: Compound quantitation was verified by recalculating any sample detects and a representative number of blank spike concentrations. The laboratory calculated and reported compound-specific detection limits. Detects reported below the lower calibration level were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Nondetects are valid to the estimated detection limit (EDL).

#### B. EPA METHOD 245.1—Mercury

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: February 13, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the  $MEC^{\times}$  Data Validation Procedure for Metals (DVP-5, Rev. 0 and DVP-21, Rev. 0), EPA Method 245.1, and the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (10/04).

- Holding Times: The analytical holding time, 28 days for mercury, was met.
- Tuning: Tuning criteria are not applicable to this method.
- Calibration: Calibration criteria were met. The mercury initial calibration r<sup>2</sup> value was ≥0.995 and all initial and continuing calibration recoveries were within 85-115%. Method detection limit check standard recoveries were within 50-150%. The CRA and check standard was recovered within the control limits of 70-130%.
- Blanks: There were no applicable detects in the method blanks or CCBs.

Interference Check Samples: ICSA/B analyses are not applicable to this analysis.

- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recovery was within the laboratoryestablished QC limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: No laboratory duplicate analysis was performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: MS/MSD analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG. The recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limit.
- Serial Dilution: No serial dilution analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG.
- Internal Standards Performance: Internal standards are not applicable to this method.
- Sample Result Verification: Calculations were verified and the sample results reported on
  the sample result summaries were verified against the raw data. No transcription errors or
  calculation errors were noted. Detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as
  estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit.
  Reported nondetects are valid to the MDL.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:
  - Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.
  - Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

#### C. VARIOUS EPA METHODS — Radionuclides

Reviewed By: P. Meeks

Date Reviewed: February 13, 2009

The sample listed in Table 1 for this analysis was validated based on the guidelines outlined in the EPA Methods 900.0, 901.1, 903.1, 904.0, 905.0, and 906.0, ASTM Method D-5174, and the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (2/94).

Holding Times: The tritium sample was analyzed within 180 days of collection. Aliquots
for gross alpha, gross beta radium-226, radium-228, strontium-90, and gamma
spectroscopy were prepared within the five-day holding time for unpreserved samples.
The aliquot for total uranium was prepared beyond the five-day holding time for

7 Revision 1

unpreserved samples; therefore, total uranium detected in the sample was qualified as estimated, "J."

 Calibration: The laboratory calibration information included the standard certificates and applicable preparation/dilutions logs for NIST-traceability.

The gross alpha detector efficiency was less than 20%; therefore, the detected gross alpha result in the sample was qualified as estimated, "J." The gross beta detector efficiency was greater than 20%.

The tritium aliquot was spiked for efficiency determination; therefore, no calibration was necessary. The tritium detector efficiency for the sample was at least 20% and was considered acceptable. The strontium and radium-228 chemical yields were considered acceptable. The gamma spectroscopy analytes were determined at the maximum photopeak energy. The kinetic phosphorescence analyzer (KPA) was calibrated immediately prior to the sample analysis. All KPA calibration check standard recoveries were within 90-110% and were deemed acceptable.

- Blanks: Tritium was detected in the method blank but was not detected in the sample. There were no other analytes detected in the method blanks.
- Blank Spikes and Laboratory Control Samples: The recoveries and the strontium-90, radium-226, and radium-228 RPDs were within laboratory-established control limits.
- Laboratory Duplicates: Duplicate analyses were performed on the sample in this SDG for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium. The RPDs were within the laboratory-established control limits.
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate: Matrix spike analyses were performed on the sample for gross alpha, gross beta, and tritium. The recoveries were within the laboratoryestablished control limits.
- Sample Result Verification: An EPA Level IV review was performed for the sample in this data package. The sample results and MDAs reported on the sample result form were verified against the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted. Total uranium, normally reported in aqueous units, was converted to pCi/L using a conversion factor for naturally occurring uranium. Detects reported below the reporting limit were qualified as estimated, "J," and coded with "DNQ," in order to comply with the NPDES permit. Reported nondetects are valid to the MDA.
- Field QC Samples: Field QC samples were evaluated, and if necessary, qualified based on method blanks and other laboratory QC results affecting the usability of the field QC data. Any remaining detects were used to evaluate the associated site samples. Following are findings associated with field QC samples:

8 Revision 1

DATA VALIDATION REPORT SSFL NPDES
SDG: ISA0133

 Field Blanks and Equipment Rinsates: This SDG had no identified field blank or equipment rinsate samples.

o Field Duplicates: There were no field duplicate samples identified for this SDG.

9 Revision 1

William J. Luksemburg 15-Jan-2009 10:56

Approved By:

Client Data		Samı	Sample Data		Laboratory Data				
Name: Te Project: IS Date Collected: 5- Time Collected: 12	Test America-Irvine, CA ISA0133 5-Jan-09 1245	Matrix: Sample	Size:	Aqueous 1.04 L	Lab Sample: QC Batch No.: Date Analyzed DB-5:	31294-001 1802 12-Jan-09	Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed DB-225;	ed: ed: ed DB-225;	7-Jan-09 9-Jan-09 NA
Analyte	Conc. (ug/L)	DL a EM	EMPCb	Qualifiers	Labeled Standard	dard	%R LC	rcr-ncr <sub>q</sub>	Oualifiers
2,3,7,8-TCDD	NO ON	0.00000118			IS 13C-2,3,7,8-TCDD	JDD TO	90.5 2	25 - 164	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	ND	0.00000399			13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	eCDD	97.5	25 - 181	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.00000467			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	-HxCDD	84.5	32 - 141	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	ND	0.00000434			13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	-HxCDD	94.6	28 - 130	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	N N	0.00000418			13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	,8-нрСDD		23 - 140	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	D ND	0.00000936			13C-OCDD		67.9	17 - 157	
ОСДО	0.0000602				13C-2,3,7,8-TCDF	)DF	90.3	24 - 169	
2,3,7,8-TCDF	QN	0.0000000955			13C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	PeCDF	97.1	24 - 185	
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	ND	0.00000209			13C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	PeCDF	98.6	21 - 178	
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	ND	0.00000202			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	3-HxCDF	76.1	26 - 152	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	ND	0.0000000978			13C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	8-HxCDF	76.5	26 - 123	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	ND	0.000000087			13C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	3-HxCDF	87.0	28 - 136	
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	ND	0.00000104			13C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	-HxCDF	85.2	29 - 147	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	ND	0.00000148			13C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	7,8-HpCDF	68.4	28 - 143	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	F ND	0.00000581			13C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	3,9-НрСDF	73.7	26 - 138	
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	F ND	0.00000200			13C-OCDF		67.5	17 - 157	
OCDF	ND	0.0000137			CRS 37CI-2,3,7,8-TCDD	СDD	85.0	35 - 197	
Totals					Footnotes				
Total TCDD	ND	0.00000203			a. Sample specific estimated detection limit.	ted detection limit.			
Total PeCDD	ND	0.00000399			b. Estimated maximum possible concentration.	ossible concentration.			
Total HxCDD	ND	0.00000439			c. Method detection limit.				
A Total HpCDD	0.0000102				d. Lower control limit - upper control limit.	pper control limit.			
Total TCDF	NO	0.0000000955							
Total PeCDF	R	0.00000205					8		
Total HxCDF	ND	0.00000111					Í.		
Total HarCIDE	5	0.00000501							

Analyst: MAS



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200 Arcadia, CA 91007

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Report Number: ISA0133

Sampled: 01/05/09

Received: 01/05/09

MCAWW 245.1

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor		Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISA0133-01 (Outfall 009 -	Water) - cont.								
Reporting Units: ug/L Mercury Ú	MCAWW 245.1	9009232	N/A	0.2	ND	1	01/12/09	01/12/09	



**TestAmerica Irvine** 

Joseph Doak Project Manager



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

MWH-Pasadena/Boeing

Project ID: Routine Outfall 009

618 Michillinda Avenue, Suite 200

Attention: Bronwyn Kelly

Sampled: 01/05/09

Arcadia, CA 91007

Report Number: ISA0133

Received: 01/05/09

#### MCAWW 245.1 Diss

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result	Dilution Factor		Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: ISA0133-01 (Outfall 009	· Water) - cont.								
Reporting Units: ug/L Mercury-diss	MCAWW 245.1 Diss	9009255	N/A	0.2	ND	1	01/12/09	01/12/09	



TestAmerica Irvine

Joseph Doak Project Manager Ostfall 009

## TestAmerica Irvine

#### Client Sample ID: ISA0133-01

#### Radiochemistry

Lab Sample ID: F9A070140-001 Work Order:

Matrix:

K5HRF

WATER

Date Collected:

01/05/09 1245

Date Received:

01/07/09 0900

Total

Parameter	Result	Qual	Uncert. (2 g+/-)	RL	mdc	Prep	Analysis Date
Gamma Cs-137 & I	Hits by EPA 901	.1 MOD	1	pCi/L	Batch #	9009124	Yld %
Cesium 137 U	1.4	U	7.2	20.0	13	01/09/09	01/14/09
Potassium 40 U	-70	U	460		270	01/09/09	01/14/09
Gross Alpha/Bets	R EPA 900		1	Ci/L	Batch #	9009070	Yld %
Gross Alpha J/R	3.1		1.9	3.0	2.6	01/09/09	01/11/09
Gross Beta J/I	MQ 3.90.	J	0.93	4.00	0.94	01/09/09	01/11/09
Radium 226 by B	EPA 903.0 MOD		I	ci/L	Batch # !	007188	Yld % 86
Radium (226) 1/D	NQ 0.22	J	0.12	1.00	0.15	01/07/09	01/30/09
Radium 228 by GI	PPC BPA 904 MOD		F	ci/L	Batch # 9	007189	Yld % 69
Radium 228 U	0.008	Ū	0.29	1.00	0.51	01/07/09	01/30/09
TRITIUM (Distill	) by EPA 906.0	MOD	p	C1/L	Batch # 9	024094	Yld %
100 ANY 140	-130	U	170	500	310	01/24/09	01/27/09
SR-90 BY GFPC E	PA-905 NOD		p	Ci/L	Batch # 9	007190	Yld % 44
Strontium 90	0.24	U.	0.41	3.00	0.69	01/07/09	01/17/09
Total Uranium by	KPA ASTM 5174	-91	р	Ci/L	Batch # 9	014031	Yld %
Total Uranium J/			0.13	0.69	0.21	01/14/09	01/20/09

# **LEVEL IV**

Data are incomplete without the case narrative.

MDC is determined by instrument performance only. Bold results are greater than the MDC.

LGT# FRAME 762 1/4 Cater than sample detection limit but less than stated reporting limit. Result is less than the sample detection limit.

7 of 360